IOSR Journal Of Pharmacy And Biological Sciences (IOSR-JPBS)
e-ISSN:2278-3008, p-ISSN:2319-7676. Volume 17, Issue 4 Ser. I (Jul. –Aug. 2022), PP 66-70
www.Iosrjournals.Org

A Review of Physiological Responses of Plants to Salinity

Dr. Reena Tomar
Department of Botany,
S. G. (P. G.) College, Meerut

Abstract
Salinity is a key environmental stressor that has a negative impact on plant productivity and growth. Most crop plants are quite susceptible to salinity, however certain plants, such as halophytic species, have evolved defenses to resist high salt concentrations. Developing ways to increase crop tolerance and productivity in saline environments requires a thorough understanding of the physiological reactions of plants to salinity. We will examine the physiological reactions of plants to salinity and how they affect plant growth and productivity in this in-depth review. We will examine how salinity affects cellular processes of such salt compartmentation, osmotic regulation and cell wall hardening. The reactions of the entire plant, such as leaf necrosis, altered phenology and ultimately plant mortality, are tied to these occurrences.
Keywords: *Salinity, osmotic effect, ionic concentration, osmotic regulation etc.*

I. Introduction

Water-based soil solutions around the roots of vascular plants, which make up the majority of agricultural crops, become a vital component of their finely balanced aquatic environment. Down energy gradients, or in reaction to osmotic potentials on opposing sides of root membranes, the soil's water and a few other solutes are transported into the plant. Plants that grow in salty soil must contend with soil solutions that have a wide variety of dissolved salt concentrations and ionic compositions. Concentrations alter as a result of various factors, including variations in the water source, drainage, evapotranspiration, the availability of solutes, hydrostatic pressures, etc.

Mechanisms for Salt Tolerance

The stressors brought on by salinity are related to the ion concentration and composition within the plants. It becomes more challenging for water and nutrients to pass through root membranes and into the plant as dissolved salt concentrations in soil solutions rise. Although it slows, the intake of water and other substances continues. The plant's aqueous transportation stream's ionic concentrations rise over time as a result of the solute-rich soil water. This osmotic effect, first observed at the root membrane, is present at all internal membranes of the plant that are supplied by its conducting tissue.

Concentrated solutes also have ionic effects that result from the solute's unique chemical makeup as it passes through plant tissue in addition to their osmotic effects. Internal overabundances of specific ions can harm membranes, mess with solute balances, or change nutritional amounts. Particular plant damage symptoms, such as colour change, tip-burn, marginal necrosis, succulence, etc., can be seen most obviously in the leaves.

The leaves of glycophytic plants cannot tolerate high salt concentrations without suffering damage and they frequently do not encounter lethal salt levels in their native environments. Halophytes, in contrast, preferentially store salt in their leaves, which are then used to balance the osmotic potential of salts present outside the plant. Halophytes and glycophytes differ significantly in their opposing adaptation strategies. Without employing the concentrated salts of the soils they are growing in as a balancing osmoticant, halophytes could not thrive in salty situations. Glycophytes are unable to survive in situations where halophytes flourish because they lack this adaptive mechanism. However, the essential biosynthetic processes for plant metabolism, such as photosynthesis and respiration, are equally susceptible to salts whether a plant is a glycophyte or a halophyte. The ability of the plant to control salt's invasion of the cytoplasm and prevent it from negatively affecting enzymatic functions is what gives it resilience to salt accumulation. To enable water entry, this must be done while achieving a beneficial balance between the water potential of the soil solution and that of the plant.
The capacity of live cells along the road to the leaf to filter the salt from the cell sap before it reaches the leaf determines the rate at which salt accumulates in the leaf. Although it might be thought that halophytes and glycophytes differ mostly in the properties of their respective root systems with regard to salt retention, the conclusion of this review is that the essential distinction to be made in their various capacities to compartmentalise salt in their leaves.

Different mechanisms are thought to be responsible for plants' capacity to withstand high salt concentrations. Crop response to salt is mediated by ion exclusion, which involves the selective uptake and transport of ions, mainly potassium (K+) and sodium (Na+). Another crucial mechanism that aids plants in maintaining ion homeostasis is the differentiation between K+ and Na+. Osmotic adjustment, which entails the buildup of suitable solutes, also aids plants in preserving cell turgor and preventing water loss.

THE DESTINY OF SALT-IMPACTED PLANT LIFE

The series of events leading to cell death and ultimately plant death is the subject of this section. Events that occurred in the shoots are highlighted. This is not meant to minimize the significant contributions made by the root system's salt exclusion and ion discrimination systems, which control the initial entry of salt ions into the plant and may even be responsible for some species' apparent salt tolerance. Later parts will go into greater detail on this subject. No matter how effective the exclusion process is, there is a solute concentration that will surpass the root systems' capacity to totally reject the ion, even for plants that thrive in saline soils by minimizing salt ion entrance. Salt ions will then start to build up in the shot at that point.

Effects of Salinity on Cell Growth

Early Action

There are basically just two methods to keep saline solutes out of the cytoplasm once they get to the leaf. Salt ions can accumulate within the vacuole, a membrane-enclosed vessel inside the cell or they might be isolated within the apoplast, the network of gaps between the cells. Gradually raising the osmotic gradient between the inside and exterior of the cell would result from salt buildup in the apoplast. Water inside the cell would migrate outward into the intercellular gaps to reach a thermodynamic equilibrium, causing increasing cellular dehydration and, ultimately, cell death. In any case, it appears that the transpiration stream and cytoplasm are contiguous (Canny 1995), which may prevent salt ions from discharging from the xylem stream into the apoplast. Therefore, it is most likely that saline solutes are divided into the cell vacuole once they reach the shoot. The vacuole, which makes up the majority of the cell's volume, is ideal for compartmentalizing solutes. Contrarily, the cytoplasm, or symplastic volume, can make up as little as 1% of the volume of a cell and is thus potentially susceptible to even minute variations in the rate of salt transport into the cell. The presence of concentrated inorganic solutes in that compartment would appear to be impossible given the cytoplasmic-based metabolic machinery's sensitivity to saline conditions. However, a complete knowledge of the role of the cytoplasm in salt storage is hampered by the technical limitations of measuring solute composition and cytoplasm concentration. The significance of the leaf's salt store capacity in maintaining high rates of salt ion transport, on the other hand, has been demonstrated, suggesting the significance of the vacuole's salt storage capacity in plants exposed to saline circumstances.

Before entering the vacuole, salt ions must penetrate the plasma membrane, the cell's dividing membrane and enter the cytoplasm. To reduce the risk of salt damage, the rate of solute supply across the plasma membrane must not be greater than the rate of vacuolar deposition. The movement of salt ions into the vacuole must also match the rate of salt export from the root to the leaves because if the cell cannot compartmentalize the salt ions at a rate comparable to salt delivery, salt would leak into the cytoplasm and the apoplastic space outside of the cell. This is dependent on the root's ability to store ions and the amount of salt present in the soil solution. In conclusion, for a plant to adjust to salt, its vacuolar compartmentation capacity must match the rate at which salt ions are delivered from the xylem to the leaf.

Leaf cell development is susceptible to the effects of salty solutes even when export and compartmentalization processes are operating at their peak. This is caused, in part, by the energy required to synthesize the organic solutes used in the relatively salt-free cytoplasm to balance the osmotic potential of the salt-enriched vacuole, as well as the energy cost associated with maintaining an ion gradient beneficial for ion compartmentation. As a result, adding salt to the vacuole uses up energy that would otherwise be used to drive biosynthetic activities within the cell. Saline solutions also directly affect cell growth, however the exact mechanism by which this happens is still unknown.

Uptake and Control of Ions

In saline environments, controlling ion uptake at the membrane level is crucial for plant viability. To control the intake of ions, plants use a variety of transporters, including as potassium channels, sodium/hydrogen exchangers and sodium transporters. Creating salt-tolerant crop types requires an understanding of the molecular processes underpinning ion uptake and control.

ROLE OF CALCIUM IN SALT TOLERANCE

The relationship between calcium (Ca2+) and salinity tolerance in plants has been clarified by recent study. Ion transport, osmotic regulation and cell wall remodeling are just a few of the physiological processes that calcium is essential for. Developing ways to improve crop tolerance to salinity can be aided by an understanding of the complex link between calcium signaling and salinity tolerance.

Modifications to Cell Growth and Turgor

Salinity has a smaller proportional impact on the number of kernels and kernel mass per spike than it does on the number of tillers per plant. According to Francois et al. (1994), the salinity's impact on kernel mass per spike was influenced by both the timing and intensity of the salinization process. Kernel mass was not greatly affected by early salinity that was later removed from the plant. However, the number of kernels per spike and the individual kernel mass were considerably lowered and altered by salinity introduced either late or throughout a plant's development. Similar results were shown by Maas and Grieve (1990), but they also found that spring wheat grain mass per spike on the main stems remained practically constant with root-zone salinity enrichments. They concluded that the propensity for an increase in kernel mass more than offset the loss in kernel numbers per spike. Maas et al. (1996) further showed that spring wheat produced noticeably fewer kernels per spike on secondary tillers than within spikes borne on primary tillers and main stems when the plants were salinized after they had emerged.

Although the phenological reactions to salt stress are undoubtedly complex, they seem to produce fewer but better-quality seeds as quickly as possible. Nevertheless, it is not advisable to generalize from species- or even varietal-level responses to all plants. Aloy (1992), for instance, discovered that in barley, 1000-seed weight was most substantially affected and accounted for the majority of the loss in grain yield, whereas grains per spike and spikes per unit area were quite resistant to field-applied salinity.

Plant death follows cell death

The export of carbon from mature leaves helps in the formation of new leaves. The ability of new growth to withstand the continual export of salt from the root reduces as the ability of older leaves to provide new leaf growth diminishes due to salt-induced leaf necrosis. The second phase of a plant's response to salinization is characterized by salt-specific effects that cause premature senescence of older leaves. The first phase is characterized by decreased leaf growth in response to a more negative osmotic potential caused by concentrated solutes in the root zone (Munns 1994). The plant loses the ability to compartmentalize salt during this second stage of the salt response quite quickly. In other words, plants die because the rate of leaf ageing outpaces the rate of new leaf growth. Munns (1994) hypothesized that variations in the time it takes for salt to reach its peak concentration in leaf vacuoles among genotypes are related to variations in salt tolerance. Therefore, salt-sensitive plants are less able than salt-tolerant plants to compartmentalize salts in their leaves effectively or to high concentrations and this may be made worse by quicker rates of salt transport to the leaves.

Plants under salinity stress see dramatic changes in cell development and turgor. High salt concentrations can impair cell division and growth, resulting in slowed plant growth and lower growth rates (Yildiz et al,2023). Under saline conditions, osmotic adjustment, which involves the buildup of compatible solutes, aids in maintaining cell turgor and preventing water loss.

SALINITY TOLERANCE WORKS

Osmotic Modification

A significant osmotic gradient is produced across the vacuolar membrane as a result of the accumulation of salt from the cytoplasm in the vacuole. Osmotic adjustment, a process that increases the synthesis of solute molecules in the cytoplasm, balances this gradient. Because it aids in maintaining turgor and cell volume, osmotic adjustment is recognized as a crucial component of plants' salinity adaptation. Numerous so-called suitable solutes have been found, each of which is characterized by a low polar charge, high solubility and a sizable hydration shell. Compatible solutes are thought to stabilize the active state of cytoplasmic enzymes, shielding them from inactivation by inorganic ions, as a result of their fairly unique features, which also help to maintain cell turgor. Compounds like proline, glycine-betaine and other similar quaternary ammonium compounds, pinitol, mannitol and sorbitol are examples of compatible solutes. For instance, proline synthesis rose up to 80 times in tobacco plants under salt stress. Glycine-betaine's importance in boosting salt tolerance has been shown genetically in barley and maize. Mannitol, an essential Osmo-protectant found in celery, (a marshland plant) has also been supported by findings similar to this.

However, the metabolic cost of producing enough osmotica could limit the plant by consuming a sizable amount of carbon that would otherwise be used for growth. Accumulating a lot of ions from the external medium is an alternative method for producing organic osmotica. When compared to the benefits provided by organic molecules synthesized in the cell, the energetic cost of osmotic correction by inorganic ions is far lower. Another issue arises as a result of the hazardous ions' potential to disrupt normal biochemical processes in cells at such high concentrations. Plants may use osmotic adjustment as a defense mechanism to deal with salt stress, but it can also inhibit growth due to ion toxicity, ion insufficiency and/or other physiological processes.

MOLECULAR TRANSPORT

Strict and coordinated control of ion movement at the root-soil interface and at numerous control sites along the plant, up to and including the shoot meristem, is necessary for sustained plant growth in saline environments. In the end, control is accomplished via modulating ion transport across the cell membrane. Crop salt tolerance may be increased by grasping the fundamentals of ion regulation at the membrane level.

Since the plasma membrane is hydrophobic, salt ions can only enter the cell when there are thermodynamic gradients, which are mostly brought on by the activity of proton pumps located within the membrane. These pumps create an electrochemical gradient that transports salt ions across the plasma membrane by coupling the free energy of ATP or pyrophosphate hydrolysis to the transport of hydrogen ions ($H+$). A net negative membrane potential is created inside the cell as a result of the plasma membrane-based proton pump, which controls the passage of $H+$ ions outward. When plants are under salinity stress, they frequently exhibit leaf necrosis and phenological changes. Plants that are susceptible to salt frequently exhibit leaf necrosis, which is characterized by the destruction of leaf tissue. Another typical reaction to salinity stress is altered phenology, which includes adjustments in the timing of blooming and seed production. Predicting the effects of salinity on crop yield and productivity depends on being able to understand these reactions.

SALINITY TOLERANCE THRESHOLD

Producers need to understand how saline soils may affect their crops. In order to meet this demand, the idea of threshold salinity tolerance was created. The idea suggests a biphasic reaction to salt, where crop output is inversely linked to salt concentration beyond a certain threshold and crop growth is minimal within a certain range of salt concentrations. The framework of salt impacts created in this review is consistent with this pattern of influence. The rate of salt delivery to the shoot may be balanced by vacuolarization on the tolerance side of the inflection point, where yield is unaffected by salinity. This could theoretically be done by delaying the influx of salt through exclusion at the root surface or through growth that accommodates the influx of salt by producing more vacuoles.

Techniques to Improve Salt Tolerance

For ensuring food security in saline areas, it is essential to develop techniques to increase salt tolerance in agricultural plants. A promising strategy is to produce salt-tolerant crop types using traditional breeding or genetic engineering. The development of salt-tolerant crop varieties can be facilitated by understanding the genetic underpinnings of salt tolerance and identifying key genes involved in salt tolerance mechanisms.

Salinity Stress Is Influenced by Environmental Factors

Different environmental elements, such as soil type, irrigation techniques and climate conditions, have an impact on salinity stress. Saline water irrigation or naturally occurring soil salinity both have a substantial impact on plant development and output. Salinity stress on plants can be exacerbated by climatic factors like high temperatures and little rainfall.

Strategies for Saline Environments Management

Sustainable agriculture in salty regions requires the application of management measures to reduce the effects of salinity on plant growth and yield. Crop rotation, soil additives, and correct irrigation management are a few techniques that can help to reduce salt stress's detrimental consequences. Furthermore, employing crop types that can tolerate salt and implementing precision agriculture practices can increase yield in saline settings.

II. Summary & Conclusion

Developing ways to increase crop tolerance and productivity in saline environments requires a thorough understanding of the physiological reactions of plants to salinity. In order to increase crop output and assure food security in the face of rising salinity stress, we must first understand the mechanisms behind salt tolerance and identify the important genes and signaling pathways involved.

We may overcome the difficulties brought on by salinity stress and pave the path for sustainable agriculture in saline regions by adopting a multifaceted strategy that combines conventional breeding methods, genetic engineering and sustainable management practices. Salinity places plants under restrictions that start at the cellular level, where good adaptation keeps salt ions from impeding typical biosynthetic processes. This reaction needs to coincide with the inward flux of solutes brought on by salt intake. Clearly, certain plant species are more adaptable than others when it comes to these needs for surviving in saline conditions. Our knowledge of how plants successfully adapt to salt stress depends on our ability to comprehend how single cell responses to salt are coordinated with organismal and whole-plant responses to maintain an ideal balance between salt uptake and compartmentation. We still have a lot to learn about the mechanisms underlying the outward signs of salt tolerance. As our understanding of the subject deepens, we may need to adjust how we perceive the activities that take place when plants are exposed to saline environments.

References:

[1]. Ehret, D. L., Remann, R. E., Harvey, B. L. and Cipywnyk, A. 1990. Salinity-induced calcium deficiencies in wheat and barley. Plant Soil 128: 143–151.

[2]. Everard, J. D., Gucci, R., Kann, S. C., Flore, J. A. and Loescher, W. H. 1994. Gas exchange and carbon partitioning in the leaves of celery Apiumgraveolens L. at various levels of root zone salinity. Plant Physiol. 106: 282–292.

[3]. Gorham, J. 1994. Salt tolerance in the Triticae: K/Na discrimina- tion in some perennial wheatgrasses and their amphiploids with wheat. J. Exp. Bot. 45: 441–447.

[4]. Grieve, C. M., Francois, L. E. and Maas, E. V. 1994. Salinity affects the timing of phasic development in spring wheat. Crop Sci. 34: 1544–1549.

[5]. Harivandi, M. A., Butler, J. D. and Soltanpour, P. N. 1983. Effects of soluble salts on ion accumulation in Puccinellia spp. J. Plant Nutr. 6: 255–264.

[6]. Hasegawa, P. M., Bressan, R. A. and Handa, A. K. 1980. Growth characteristics of NaCl-selected and nonselected cells of Nicotianatabacum L. Plant Cell Physiol. 21: 1347–1355.

[7]. Hedrich, R. and Shroeder, J. I. 1989. The physiology of ion channels and electrogenic pumps in higher plants. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 40: 539–556.

[8]. Huang, J. and Redmann, R. E. 1995. Responses of growth, mor- phology, and anatomy to salinity and calcium supply in cultivated and wild barley. Can. J. Bot. 73: 1859–1866.

[9]. Janzen, H. H. and Chang, C. 1987. Cation nutrition of barley as influenced by soil solution composition in a saline soil. Can. J. Soil Sci. 67: 619–629.

[10]. Khatun, S. and Flowers, T. J. 1995. Effect of salinity on seed set in rice. Plant, Cell Environ. 18: 61–87.

[11]. Lahaye, P. A. and Epstein, E. 1971. Calcium and salt toleration by bean plants. Physiol Plant. 25: 213–218.

[12]. Lauchli, A. 1990. Calcium, salinity and the plasma membrane. Curr. Topics Plant Physiol. 4: 26–35.

[13]. Lauter, D. L. and Munns, D. N. 1986. Salt resistance of chickpea genotypes in solutions salinized with NaCl or Na2SO4. Plant Soil 95: 271–279.

[14]. Lockhart, J. A. 1965. An analysis of irreversible plant cell elon- gation. J. Theor. Biol. 8: 264–275.

[15]. Lynch, J., Cramer, G. O. and Lauchli, A. 1987. Salinity reduces membrane-associated calcium in corn root protoplasts. Plant Physiol. 83: 390–394.

[16]. Maas, E. V. and Grieve, C. M. 1987. Sodium-induced calcium deficiency in salt-stressed corn. Plant, Cell Environ. 10: 559–564. Maas, E. V. and Grieve, C. M. 1990. Spike and leaf development in salt-stressed wheat. Crop Sci. 30: 1309–1313.

[17]. Maas, E. V. and Hoffman, G. J. 1977. Crop salt tolerance-current assessment. J. Irrig. Drain. Div. Am. Soc. Civil Eng. 103IR2: 115–134.

[18]. Turner and J. B. Passioura, eds. Plant growth, drought and salinity. CSIRO, Melbourne, Australia.

[19]. Michelet, B. and Boutry, M. 1995. The plasma membrane H+- ATPase. A highly regulated enzyme with multiple physiological functions. Plant Physiol. 108: 1–6.

[20]. Naidoo, G. and Rughunanan, R. 1991. Salt tolerance in the suc- culent, coastal halophyte, Sarcocornianatalensis. J. Exp. Bot. 41: 497–502.

[21]. Neumann, P. M., Azaizeh, H. and Leon, D. 1994. Hardening of root cell walls: a growth inhibitory response to salinity stress. Plant, Cell Environ. 17: 303–309.

[22]. Newmann, I. A. and Azaizeh, H. 1994. Hardening of root cell walls, a growth inhibiting response to salinity stress. Plant, Cell Environ. 17: 303–309.

[23]. Niu, X., Narasimhan, Salzman, R. A., Bressan, R. A. and Hasegawa, P. M. 1993. NaCl regulation of plasma membrane H+- ATPase gene expression in a glycophyte and a halophyte. Plant Physiol. 103: 713–718.

[24]. Niu, X., Bressan, R. A., Hasagawa, P. M. and Pardo, J. M. 1995. Ion homeostasis in NaCl stress environments. Plant Physiol. 109: 735–742.

[25]. Richardson, S. G. 1982. High and low sodium biotypes of four- wing saltbush: their responses to sodium and potassium in tec- torated oil shale. J. Range Manage 35: 795–803.

[26]. Romero, J. M. and Maranon, T. 1994a. Long-term responses of Melilotussegitalis to salinity. I. Growth and partitioning. Plant, Cell Environ. 17: 1243–1248.

[27]. Romero, J. M. and Maranon, T. 1994b. Long-term responses of Melilotussegitalis to salinity. II. Nutrient absorption and utiliza- tion. Plant, Cell Environ. 17: 1249–1255.

[28]. Schroeder, J. I., Ward, J. M. and Gassmann, W. 1994. Perspectives on the physiology and structure of inward-rectifying K+ channels in higher plants: biophysical implications for K+ uptake. Ann. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 23: 441–471.

[29]. Shen, Z., Shen, Q., Liang, Y. and Liu, Y. 1994. Effect of nitrogen on the growth and photosynthetic activity of salt-stressed barley. J. Plant Nutr. 17: 787–799.

[30]. Storey, R., Gorham, J., Pitman, M. C., Hanson, M. G. and Gage, D. 1993. Response of Melantherabiflora to salinity and water stress. J. Exp. Bot. 44: 1551–1561.

[31]. Suhayda, C. G., Redmann, R. E., Harvey, B. L. and Cipywnyk,

a. L. 1992. Comparative response of cultivated and wild barley species to salinity stress and calcium supply. Crop Sci. 32: 154–163.

[32]. Wyn Jones, R. G. and Gorham, J. 1983. Osmoregulation. Pages 35–38 in O. L. Lange, P. S. Nobel, C. B. Osmond, and H. Ziegler, eds. Physiological plant ecology. III. Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology. Vol. 12C. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.

[33]. Yeo, A. R. 1983. Salinity resistance: physiologies and prices. Physiol. Plant 58: 214–222.

[34]. Yildiz M., Poyraz I., Cavdar A., Ozgen Y. and Beyaz R. 2021. Plant Responses to Salt Stress in Plant Breeding Current and Future Views edited by Ibrokhim Y. Abdurakhmonov , Print ISBN 978-1-83968-310-978-1-83968-309-1, EBOOK ISBN 978-1-83968-311-20214