Prevalence, Effect and Management of Cancer Pain at Garissa, Kenya

Fatuma. A. Affey [1], Catherine Mwenda [2], Wesley Too[3], Mohamed Elmi [4]

[1] Umma University, [2] Mount Kenya University, [3] Kabarak   University, [4] Rongo University
Corresponding Author: Fatuma A. Affey,

Abstract: *High prevalence, negative effect and suboptimal cancer pain management has been reported from resource-limited countries such as Kenya. Precisely, trends of cancer cases are on the increase at Garissa County in Kenya, yet no data exist on the prevalence, effect and management of cancer pain. This study was to examine the prevalence, effect and cancer pain management of adult patients at Garisssa County Referral Hospital. A descriptive cross sectional survey was employed and 94 cancer patients from both outpatient on follow up care and inpatient were recruited to participate. MBPI (Modified Brief Pain Inventory) and ECOG (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group) tools were used for data collection. Pain Management Index was calculated and significant levels were set at P<0.05 for all tests. Mean age of participants was 50years, composed of 42(44.7%) male and 52 (55.3%) female. Cancers of Breast and prostate were most prevalent with (25%) female and (14%) male respectively. Prevalence of cancer pain was 78% with majority reporting moderate to severe pain and undertreatment with PIM of (p-value < 0.05). Participants accounting 76.9% male and 66.7% female reported cancer pain interference with ability to walk and 91% male and 70% female reported that pain interfered with their mood. Male participants reported to experience more psychological, physical and social pain than female participants. A total of 81.9% (77) participants incorrectly utilised WHO analgesic ladders, 65% (61) and 77.6% (73) considered alternative therapy of Quran and Somali herbs respectively for pain management. This study found a high prevalence of cancer pain with negative impact and suboptimal cancer pain management.*

Keywords: *prevalence, impact, cancer pain.*

Date of Submission: 10-12-2018   Date of acceptance: 25-12-2018

I.   Introduction

Pain is the main symptom that cancer patients experience [1, 2]. Cancer pain is a subjective and a complex symptom that results from a mixed mechanism, that involves inflammatory, ischemic, and neuropathic and compression mechanisms at multiple sites[1]. It is a diverse experience for a cancer patient, modified by genetics, history, mood, expectation, and culture. Cancer pain involves not only physical pain but also psychological, social and spiritual dimensions of an individual[5, 9]. Thus cancer pain is multi-dimensional occurrence having sensory-discriminative, cognitive evaluative and emotional-motivational aspects. Literature publications of 4117 titles and 122 studies found that pain prevalence rates were 39.3% after curative treatment, 55.0% during anticancer treatment and 66.4% in advanced disease globally [15]. Moderate to severe pain (numerical rating scale score ≥5) was reported by 38.0% of all patients [14]. Despite the increased attention on assessment and management of cancer pain, moderate to severe pain continues to be a prevalent symptom in cancer patients [9, 15].Cancer pain management in African countries is suboptimal and moderate to severe pain is very common among cancer patients[9]. Prevalence of cancer pain reported ranged from 35.7% to 87.5% in many African countries and most patients reported to health facilities in the late stage cancer diagnosis due to the inadequate screening of the disease [8, 9]. Prevalence of pain and management of 400 cancer patients at Moi Referral Hospital in Kenya reported 66% of patients with undertreated pain and negative scores on the pain management index [5]. The presence of cancer pain in 520 ambulatory patients at oncology unit of national referral of Kenyatta National Hospital in Kenya was 38.5% [8]. Severe pain experience was associated with a late-stage diagnosis of cancer when most patients were also seeking medical help[8]. Studies in Kenya reveal high prevalence and poorly managed cancer pain due to limited treatment options, patients presenting in an advanced stage and limited availability and accessibility of analgesics[5, 8.] While these studies were conducted at a major national referral hospital in the urban area of Kenya, many cancer patients in rural Kenya live in remote areas and make a long journey to get access to health services[11].

Cancer pain has negative impacts that include emotional distress, clinical depression, mood disorders [3]. The most commonly occurring symptoms in cancer are the pain, emotional distress and fatigue [3, 12]. An approximately one-sixth of all cancer patients have depression and about one quarter have other mood disorders

---

during treatment[2]. Cancer pain leads to the development of clinical depression, decrease adherence to treatment or therapy, increased suicide rates, more extended hospitalization, poor quality of life and heightened desire to die[13]. Cancer pain also interferes with the different components of patient's life and negatively affects their daily activities, mental health, family and social relationships with others and interactions at workplace. Interference of pain on functional performance had statistical significant associated with the stage of the tumour, presence of metastasis, history of treatment modality, history of pain, and pain management adequacy [10]. Cancer patients with tumour stage I and stage II with adequate treatment had less pain interference on functional performance than those with stage III and Stage IV cancers[10]. This will result to poor quality of life in cancer patients [7]. Moreover, the challenges of cancer pain management in developing countries has been well documented in several studies [5, 8, 9] yet no studies have done in rural and marginalized area of Kenya. The purpose of this study was to establish cancer pain prevalence, impact and management at Garissa County, Kenya.

II.   Materials And Methods
A descriptive cross sectional survey   using MBPI (Modified Brief Pain Inventory) and ECOG (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group) tools for functional performance was conducted at Garissa county referral Hospital from May to November 2017. Participants were 94 cancer patients with mean average age of 50years

Study design: A descriptive cross sectional survey of hospital based population of 94 cancer patients from both outpatient and inpatient departments of Garissa County Referral Hospital were recruited.

Study Location: the study area was Garissa County Referral Hospital with 230 beds inpatients capacity and various outpatient clinics. This hospital is situated at Garissa Township that is categorized as a marginalized area of Kenya [16], with a high number of nomadic pastoralist's population of Somali origin.

Study Duration: 18th May to 17th November 2017

Sample size: 100 cancer patients.

Sample size calculation: The study sample size was estimated from a single proportion design. The target study population was selected using purposive, simple random and snow ball sampling   to achieve the desired sample of 154 cancer patients. Our confidence level was 95% and our regression coefficients revealed that effect of pan has the highest standardized Beta coefficient β (0.952) with p value < 0.05 meaning on the regression model, effect of pain was statistically significantly. The sample size actually obtained for our study was 100 patients from both inpatient and outpatient and 6 % drop out rate.

Subjects & selection method: The study Participants were cancer patients drawn from medical and surgical wards of the hospital, palliative clinic and those on follow up care at hospital neighborhood. Participants were patients with a pathological diagnosis of cancer, aware of their condition, mentally stable and above 18 years to provide consent for the study. Simple random sampling, purposive and snowball sampling were used to recruit participants during the study period of 18/5/2017 to 17/11/2017.

Inclusion criteria:
- All adult cancer patients were considered included
- Irrespective of their period of hospital stay
- Irrespective of type of cancer, stage of cancer disease and other comorbidities present.
- Adult cancer patients who consented
- Adult cancer patients within hospital environment or at home on follow up plan.

Exclusion criteria:
- All Cancer Patients under the age of 18years with mental illness were excluded
- Critically ill cancer patients were excluded from the study.

Procedure methodology: Each cancer patient was questioned and administered with MBPI questionnaire after signing consent to determine the presence of cancer pain, pain severity, effect and management. They were also questioned on information regarding the cancer type diagnosed, type of pain treatment or analgesics prescribed an alternative therapy to pain. Those patients who could not understand English, the researcher and the research assistant translated the questions to either Swahili or Somali language. ECOG performance status was also scored by the researcher and the research assistant as the patients verbalized his functional performance. Pain intensity was assessed from the adequacy of pain management received by individual patients. Adequacy of treatment was assessed by calculating pain management index (PMI). PMI compares the patient's pain rating against prescribed analgesics to decide if a patient's pain is adequately treated or not.

Statistical analysis: Questionnaires was sorted, coded and entered in SPSS version 17. Prevalence of cancer pain was calculated using each subject response on the exponential scale rate. Linear regression was used to analyse the relationship between cancer pain management and its independent and intervening variables. To analyse whether the WHO cancer pain management tool was used chi-square was utilised.

Regression analysis was conducted between variables to examine the level of relationship between pain management, pain prevalence, and effects of cancer pain. The desired level of accuracy was set to a confidence level of 95% and significant levels were set at $P<0.05$ for all tests.

III. Result

This study focused on respondent of cancer patients of either gender hospitalized at the GCHR with cancer-related health complications or attending the palliative clinic or on follow up at home. Demographic information of the participants was analyzed to establish the age of cancer patients and current treatment for pain to ascertain how cancer pain was managed. Table I demonstrates participants' composed of 44.7% (42) males and 55.3% (52) females. Majority 40.4% (38) of the participants were 51-65 years in age, followed by 35-50 years with 33% (31) then above 65 years were 23.4% (22) and least below 35 years with 3.2% (4). Majority of participants were female gender 55.3 %(52), with majority no formal education 44.7% (42), from ethnic Somali community 68.1 %(64) and with low income 93.6 %(88) earning less than Ksh. 23, 670 (200$) per month.

Table1: Demographic Analysis for Patients

Variable	Frequency (%) n = 94
Age	
<35 Years	4 (4.3%)
35-50Years	31 (33%)
51-65 Years	38 (40.4%)
>65 Years	21 (22.3%)
Mean Age (SD)	50.6 (0.833)
Min –Max	35 – 65
Gender	
Male	42(44.7%)
Female	52 (55.3%)
Education Level	
Primary	31 (33%)
Secondary	17 (18.1%)
Tertiary	4 (4.3%)
No formal education	42 (44.7%)
Ethnic Background	
Somali	64 (68.1%)
Non-Somali	30 (31.9%)
Income Level	
< Ksh. 23, 670	88 (93.6%)
Ksh. 23, 671 – 120, 000	4 (4.3%)
> Ksh. 120, 000	2 (2.1%)

Prevalence of Cancer Pain

The majority of participants (78%) noted that their pain was due to cancer disease while (22%) reported that their pain was not due to medical procedures as shown in Figure 1 below .


Figure 1: prevalence of cancer pain

Cancer pain management

Pain Management Index (PMI) was calculated to analyze the intensity of pain experienced by cancer patients. This is explained as a way to quantify how pain is adequately managed with pharmacological intervention, as demonstrated in Figure 2 above. The analysis of the adequacy of pharmacological pain management (PMI > 0); and inadequacy (PMI ≤ 0) was calculated using the pain management index. Pain management index is a comparison of the most potent analgesic used by patients on the worst pain. For this study, the level of pain was scored as follows: level 1 for mild pain (1-3 NRS), level 2 for moderate pain (4-6 NRS) and 3 for severe pain (7-10 NRS). The comparative level of analgesic used was graded as follows: 0 for no analgesic; 1 for non-opioids analgesic used, two was used for mild opioids, for moderate pain, while 3 for strong opioids for severe pain.


Figure 3: Adequacy of pain management.

Table 2: Pain Management Index

Pain Management Step	Index Score by Reported Pain Level			
	None (0)	Mild Pain (1)	Moderate (2)	Severe (3)
No Analgesic Prescribed (0)	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nonopioids (I)	0%	6.9%	72.4%	20.7%
Mild Opioid (II)	0%	3.3%	66.7%	30.0%
Strong Opioid	0%	35.3%	55.9%	8.8%

Participants were questioned to rate the pain at its least and worst, and the medication they used for each level of WHO analgesic ladder. The purpose of this question was to examine if patients were using the right pain medication for each pain level as prescribed by WHO analgesic ladder. The result of this study revealed that this was not the case. For instance, at the worst pain, patients are supposed to be on the strongest Opioids, that is, Morphine/hydromorphone /Methadone / Levorphanol / Fentanyl / Oxycodone &+Adjavants. However, when the participants were asked to indicate their pain management at their worst, the majority were using wrong drug level to manage pain. The findings show that (57.1%) of male participants and (73.3%)female who was experiencing severe pain, we're still using level 1 drug (Aspirin/Paracetamol/ Acetaminophen, NSAD's & Adjuvants) contrary to WHO analgesic ladder level 3 for severe pain management guidelines. Similarly, (91%) of male participants and (70%) of female participants were still using Codeine / Hydrocodone / Oxycodone / Dihydrocodeine/tramadol & Adjuvants, which are level 2 pain management drugs and not recommended for severe pain management. Only (29%) of men and (12%) of women were using the right medication for the right level of pain. Participants were asked whether they were using an alternative treatment for pain management and the following was revealed as in table 3

Table 3: Most Prominent alternative cancer pain management therapy

Cultural therapy	F	%
Quran	62	65%
Somali Herbs	73	77.6%

Effect of cancer pain
Cancer Pain interferes with functional abilities

Patients scored their functional abilities using scale in MBPI and this was then analysed. The findings indicated the existence of an association between cancer types and its effects on walking ability, $X^2 = 6.072$, df (3); however, the association was not statistically significant (p-value > 0.05), the study also revealed the existence of a relationship between cancer and interfering with patients' mood, $X^2 = 2.167$, df (3); however, the association was not statistically significant (p-value > 0.05), and findings also indicated the existence of an

association between cancer and the pain's effect on patients relationships, $X^2 = 3.869$, df (3); however, the association was not statistically significant (p-value > 0.05).

Table 4: Pain Interferes with Walking Ability

I feel my pain is due to cancer		Pain Interference with Walking Ability			
		Does not Affect	Slightly Affects	Moderately Affects	Completely affects
Male	Yes	7(33.3%)	3(60.0%)	18(85.7%)	10(76.9%)
	No	2 (66.7%)	2 (40.0%)	3 (14.3%)	3 (23.1%)
Female	Yes	1 (100.0%)	12 (80.0%)	17 (94.4%)	12 (66.7%)
	No	0 (0.0%)	3 (20.0%)	1 (5.6%)	6 (33.3%)
Chi Square Value		$X^2 = 6.072$, df (3); p value = 0.108			
I feel my pain is due to cancer		Pain Interference with mood			
		Does not Affect	Slightly Affects	Moderately Affects	Completely affects
Male	Yes	0 (0.0%)	12 (92.3%)	12 (66.7%)	8 (80.0%)
	No	1 (100.0%)	1 (7.7%)	6 (33.3%)	2 (20.0%)
Female	Yes	1 (100.0%)	11 (78.6%)	17 (81.0%)	13 (81.3%)
	No	0 (0.0%)	3 (21.4%)	4 (19.0%)	3 (18.8%)
Chi Square Value		$X2 = 2.167$, df (3); p value = 0.539			
I feel my pain is due to cancer		Pain Affects Relationship with Others			
		Does not Affect	Slightly Affects	Moderately Affects	Completely affects
Male	Yes	7 (77.8%)	9 (90.0%)	8 (88.9%)	8 (57.1%)
	No	2 (22.2%)	1 (10.0%)	1 (11.1%)	6 (23.8%)
Female	Yes	6(75.0%)	9 (90.0%)	6 (85.7%)	21 (77.8%)
	No	2 (25.0%)	1 (10.0%)	1 (14.3%)	6 (22.2%)
Chi Square Value		$X^2 = 6.072$, df (3); p value = 0.108			

ECOG performance status

ECOG is a scale used by oncologist and researchers to assess how a patient's disease is progressing, and how it impacts patients' daily activities. For this study, (99%) indicated they take daily pain drugs and study sorted to assess their ECOG performance status. For instance, ECOG score of 0(a patient is fully active, and carries his/her duties without hindrance); a score of 1 means (restriction in patients strenuous physical activities, but can carry light housework). A score of 2 means (patient is ambulatory and not able to carry any work activities) and a score of 3 means (patient has limited self-care and refined to bed) and a score of 4 means patient is (completely disabled, and cannot carry on any self-care). This study revealed that 78 %(32) had experienced ECOG status 3. The findings also show that in all cancer categories, females were less likely to experience cancer pain as compared to the male participants (OR=0.67). However, the experience is not statistically significant (p-value > 0.05). Those whose ECOG status slightly affecting were more likely to experience cancer pain compared to those whose ECOG status are affected (OR=1.125). The patients experiencing ECOG pain level 3 had a statistically significant pain threshold level (P-value <0.05).

Effect of cancer pain on psychosocial and physical components

Participants were questioned to score the different types of cancer pain they experience. Male participants indicated psychological pain effect of cancer pain as (73%), and female participants were (52%) (OR = 0.72). Men were more likely to experience psychological pain than female, but the variability was not statistically significant (p-value > 0.05). On physical pain, both male and female who indicated they experienced the pain were (34.2%); (OR = 0.54). Men were more likely to experience physical pain than female, but the variability was not statistically significant (p-value > 0.05). When Physical and Social Pain was combined, (34.2%) of patients experienced the pain; (OR = 2.36); Men were more likely to experience both physical and social pain than female. The variability between men and female was statistically significant (p-value < 0.05). When asked about psychological, physical and social pain, (8.2%) of participants experienced the pain; (OR = 2.72); Men were more likely to experience psychological, physical and social pain than female. The variability between men and female was statistically significant (p-value < 0.05). The combined physical, social, spiritual; and physical and spiritual equally had a statistically significant relationship between cancer pain and associative pain; (p-value < 0.05).

Table 5: Psychosocial, physical and spiritual effect of cancer pain

Variable		Cancer Pain		OR (95% CI)	P-value
		Yes	No		
Age		73 (77.7%)	21 (2.3%)	-	0.448
Sex					
	Male	73 (%)	1 (2.4%)	1.00 (Ref)	
	Female	52 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.72 (0.475 – 1.549)	0.298

Effect of Cancer Pain			
Psychological pain	12 (16.4%)	3 (14.3%)	1.00 (Ref)	
Physical Pain	25 (34.2%)	10 (47.6%)	0.536 (-0.821 – 1.892)	0.439
Psychological pain & Physical Pain	1 (1.4%)	1 (4.8%)	-1.202 (-2.58 – 0.177)	0.087
Social Pain	0 (0%)	1 (4.8%)	0.579 (-00777 – 1.936)	0.403
Spiritual Pain	1 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	0.624 (-0.734 -1.981)	0.368
Physical and Social Pain	25(34.2%)	4 (19%)	2.36 (0.908 - 3.811)	0.001
Psychological, Physical & Social Pain	6 (8.2%)	0 (0%)	2.715 (1.219 - 4.211)	0.000
Physical, Social, Spiritual	1 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	4.173 (2.252 -- 6.094)	0.000
Physical and Spiritual	0 (0%)	1 (4.8)	4.875 (2.506 – 7.245)	0.000

Regression of Variables

To establish the level of relationship between pain management, pain prevalence, and effects of cancer pain a regression analysis between variables was done. The result shows an adjusted R-value of (0.898), which means, (89.8%) of the variability of pain management, effects of pain and cancer pain prevalence. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was also carried out to examine if there is significant variance in the means between pain management, effects of cancer pain, and pain prevalence, $F_{(2, 91)} = 4.622$; (p-value < 0.05) which means the mean difference between the variables was statistically significant. .Regression coefficients revealed that the effect of pain has the highest standardized Beta coefficient β (0.952); p-value < 0.05 which means on the regression model, the effect of pain was statistically significant. The Beta coefficient β (-0.009) for pain prevalence was not statistically significant.

IV.   Discussion

This study revealed that there was a relationship between cancer pain prevalence and cancer pain management. There was an association between the effect of cancer pain and cancer pain management. Pain management is a dependent variable while the prevalence of pain and the effect of pain are independent variables. We found a high prevalence of cancer pain at (78%) with the majority of patients experiencing moderate to severe pain. This is similar to [5, 8] studies of Kenya, though the prevalence of pain tends to be higher in this study. This is because our study was carried in a rural, marginalized area of Kenya with the high number of population practicing nomadic lifestyle.

This study revealed poor and incorrect utilization of WHO analgesic ladder for pain management by many participants. Inadequate cancer pain management was also reported by 61% (57) participants with (PMI ≤ 0). It was also found that wrong level of WHO analgesic ladder was used to manage the wrong level of pain intensity. That is (57.1%) of male participants and (73.3%) female who were experiencing severe pain and still utilized level 1 drug (Aspirin/Paracetamol/ Acetaminophen, NSAD's & Adjuvants) instead of level 3 with strong opioids (Morphine/hydromorphone /Methadone / Levorphanol / Fentanyl / Oxycodone &+Adjavants). This result is similar to [5] with 66% of patients undertreated for pain and had negative scores. Our study also found that many participants were using alternative cultural approach for pain management. A total of 65% and 77.6% used the Quran and Somali herbs respectively. This is similar to [12] that revealed Islamic healing practices continued to be accepted by many cancer patients despite the advances in the modern treatment of cancer pain.

The findings in this study indicated that there is an association between cancer pain and interference with walking ability at (p-value > 0.05) and majority (76.9%) of male participants and (66.7%) female participants have been affected. We also found that there is a relationship between cancer pain and mood interference with patients at (p-value > 0.05) and so as pain's effect on patient's relationships with others at (p-value > 0.05). Therefore cancer pain has a negative impact because it affects the patient's physical activity, mood and relationship with others [6, 7].

Our study also revealed on variation of pain response. Male participants were more likely to express their experience of psychological, physical and social pain than female. The combined physical, social, spiritual; and physical and spiritual had a statistically significant relationship between cancer pain and associative pain at (p-value < 0.05). Most of the participants were Somali origin and in Somali culture pain is often expressed by rest or body language rather than expressing verbally[17]. Male gender dominate and are perceived as leaders who can express their need and feeling unlike women gender who are shy to share their experiences. Expressing pain verbally through crying or moaning is also perceived as weakness and as such women have been culturally prepared not express pain. Somalis believed that Allah gives someone the burden of pain so one should not express or verbalize the pain[17].

V.   Conclusion

Management of cancer pain is suboptimal in Kenya. Our study found a high prevalence and an adverse effect of cancer pain leading to poor quality of life of cancer patients at Garissa County Referral Hospital. Therefore, there is a need for acceptable strategy and understanding alternative therapy for cancer pain management at GCRH. We recommend more studies in cultural perspective for pain management and knowledge and skills of healthcare workers in cancer pain management

Reference

[1].   Armida Parala-Metz(2013) Cancer Pain: disease management. Cleveland clinic foundation.   Retrieved on 2/4/2018. http://www.clevelandclinicmeded.com/medicalpubs/diseasemanagement/hematology-
[2].   Bortolon C, Krikorian A, Carayol M, Brouillet D, Romieu G & Ninot G(2014) Cancer-related fatigue in breast cancer patients after surgery: a multicomponent model using partial least squares-path modelling. Psychooncology.23(4):444-51
[3].   Everdingen, M. J., DeRijke, J., Kessels, A., Schouten, H., vanKleef, M., & Patijn, J. (2007). Prevalence of Pain in Patients with Cancer :a systematic review of 40years. Annla of Oncology, 18(9), 1437-1449.
[4].   Fornasari D (2012). Pain mechanisms in patients with chronic pain. Clin Drug Investig 32(suppl 1):45–52
[5].   Huang, K. Owino, C., Gramelspacher, G., Monahan, P., Tabbey, R., Hagembe, M., Strother, R.M., Njuguna, F. and Vreeman, R..(2013). Prevalence and correlates of pain and pain treatment in a western Kenya referral hospital. Journal of palliative medicine, 16(10), pp.1260-1267
[6].   Karen L. Mark P. J, Mendoza M., Jean Y, Hannah F and Francis J. K (2014) Psychological and Behavioral Approaches to Cancer Pain Management. J Clin Oncol 1; 32(16): 1703–1711.   https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4031190/ Retrieved on 29/7/2018
[7].   Mwanda, W., Abdalla, F., Obondo, A., & Musau, F. (2004). Quality of life in male cancer patients at Kenyatta National Hospital. East Africa Medical Journal, 81(7), 334-347.
[8].   Ndegwa, J. 2013. Prevalence and management of cancer pain in ambulatory patients at Kenyatta National Hospital. Retrieved November 1, 2014, from http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/62864.
[9].   Namukwaya, E., Leng, M., Downing, J., and Katabira, E. (2011). Cancer Pain Management in Resource-Limited Settings: A practice Review.
[10].   Nega R, Tachbele E, Kassa GM (2014) Cancer Pain and its Management: Knowledge of Nurses at Selected HealthInstitutions, Offering Cancer Treatment in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2013. J Pain Relief 3: 137.
[11].   Pam M, Juli B, Allison T, Joyce, Betty F and Zipporah A (2016) Providing Palliative Care to Patients with Cancer: Addressing the Needs in Kenya. Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing. 4(1)
[12].   Suhami N, Muhamad and Kraus (2015) Why Cancer Patients Seek Islamic Healing. J Relig HealthIbrahim, F. & M., W., 2008. Pathophysiology, Haramaya: Haramaya University.
[13].   Trancas B, Cardoso G, Luengo A, Viera C & Reis D (2010)Depression in cancer patients: diagnostic and therapeutic considerations. Acta Med Port.23(6):1101-12. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21627886/ Retrieved 29/7/2018
[14].   Van den Beuken-van Everdingen (2012) Chronic pain in cancer survivors: A growing issue. J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother.26(4):385-7. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23216187 retrieved 29/7/2018.
[15].   Van den Beuken-van Everdingen MH1, Hochstenbach LM, Joosten EA, Tjan-Heijnen VC, and Janssen DJ (2016) Update on Prevalence of Pain in Patients With Cancer: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Pain Symptom Manage: 51(6):1070-1090.
[16].   Kenya, Commission for Revenue Allocation. (2013). Creating A county Development Index to Identify Marginalized Counties. CRA Working paper Number .2012
[17].   Finnström, B. & Söderhamn, O. (2006) Conceptions of Pain among Somali Women. Journal of Advanced Nursing 54 (4): 418-425