

Biomarkers in Follow-up of Post – transplanted Patients

Nexhibe Nuhii¹, Sefedin Biljali², Driton Selmani³, Abdije Bilalli³,
Enis Rexhepi⁴

¹Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medical Science, University of Tetovo, Macedonia

²Clinic University of Clinical Biochemistry, Skopje, Macedonia

³Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medical Science, University of Tetovo, Macedonia

⁴Department of oral maxillofacial surgery, European University Skopje, Macedonia

Corresponding Author: Nexhibe Nuhii

Abstract: Background: Acute graft rejection (AGR), acute tubular necrosis (ATN) and chronic allograft dysfunction (CAD) are the most common causes of renal transplant failure. The early detection of renal transplant failure using reliable and noninvasive biomarkers is crucial for the adequate treatment and outcome.

Material and Methods: In order to find out noninvasive markers of AGR or other early post transplantation complications and potential indicators for the development of CAD, urinary excretions of enzymes NAG, AAP, γ -GT; LMW proteins: α_1 M, β_2 M; serum/urine creatinine and serum Cystatin C were measured in 46 renal graft recipients within the first post transplantation month, and excretion of the same biomarkers in 29 graft patients six months after transplantation. Study group was divided in four groups: healthy individuals who served as control group (30), patients with stable graft function (21), acute renal injury(15) and acute tubular necrosis(10). The proximal tubular enzymes N-Acetyl-D-glucosaminidase (NAG), alanine aminopeptidase (AAP) and γ -glutamyl transferase (γ GT) were measured with standardized kinetic method and glutathion transferase (α/π form) was measured with the Biotrin test. LMW proteins - α_1 microglobulin, β_2 microglobulin in urine and serum Cystatin C were determined with DAKO test. Serum and urine creatinine were analyzed with kinetic Jaffe method. Daily enzymuria, LMW proteins, serum Cystatin C and creatinine were measured in all consecutive renal allograft recipients for first 21 postoperative days. Graft dysfunction was defined as >20% increase in serum creatinine and >100% increase in enzymuria over the baseline. **Results:** During the first post transplantation month 15 patients had AGR, whereas 21 patients did not show any signs of rejection. In patients with AGR, urinary α_1 M/creatinine ratios increased a few days before rejection, whereas in patients with stable graft function urinary α_1 M/creatinine ratio decreased and during the follow-up period. Excretion of NAG was significantly higher in patients with AGR ($p < 0.001$) and ATN ($p < 0.01$) compared to patients with stable graft function (SGF). Highly increased π -GST excretion ($p < 0.001$) was noted in AGR patients compared to ATN and SGF. Acute tubular necrosis resulted in rapid elevation of urinary levels of both α - and π -transferase..

Conclusion: Enzymuria assay is a simple, reliable and noninvasive method for characterization of acute tubular necrosis and acute rejection in renal allograft recipients. Cystatin C has been described as an ideal GFR marker and has been reported to be at least as accurate as the commonly used serum creatinine to detect impaired renal function in various patients groups, including renal transplant patients.

Keywords: Acute graft rejection, acute tubular necrosis, NAG, AAP, γ -GT, β_2 M, α_1 M/creatinin, α/π GST, renal transplantation

Date of Submission: 04-12-2017

Date of acceptance: 30-12-2017

I. Introduction

Renal function in the early post-transplantation period depends largely on factors affecting the kidney prior to implantation. Function of the graft may be also disturbed by the most common complications of the early post-operative period such as acute graft rejection (AGR), acute tubular necrosis (ATN) and may be modified by nephrotoxic action of cyclosporine A (CsA). The transplanted kidney is exposed to different forms of injury which result in tissue damage. Acute graft rejection (AGR) is defined as an immune response against donor antigens (1). It induces and mediates functional and structural deterioration of the graft and is an independent non-immunologic cause of renal dysfunction. The recent immunosuppressive regimens have progressively reduced the incidence of clinical acute rejections (First, 2003). It has been shown that the occurrence of AGR episodes associates with the development of chronic allograft dysfunction (CAD). All grafts that develop CAD have undergone previous tissue injury. Recent findings suggest that continuing or repetitive tissue injuries or impaired repair from the injury result in increased renal fibrosis and in the development of CAD (Sundberg et al., 1994). After renal transplantation, defects in the proximal renal tubules are the earliest

and a constant manifestation of acute graft rejection. The early detection of injuries and the follow-up of tissue response and recovery from injury is crucial for the adequate treatment and outcome. Many tubular enzymes have been studied as markers of the necrotic/apoptotic damage or dysfunction of proximal tubular cells (Donadio et al., 1996; Thompson et al., 1977). Three major origins have been identified: the lysosomes, the brush-border membrane, and the cytoplasm of the cells. Several studies have demonstrated that the cells of the proximal tubuli are extremely sensitive to the immunological and toxic processes, and that the release of enzymes or proteins such as alanin aminopeptidase, γ -glutamyl-transpeptidase, brush border glycoprotein SGP-240, N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase (NAG), glutathione-S-transferase (α and π -GST), and various proximal and distal tubular derived antigens can be used as an early noninvasive indicator of proximal tubular cell damage due to graft rejection (Geber et al., 1998; Kotanko et al., 1986; Tolko-Rubin et al., 1996). The increased urinary excretion of these markers often precedes clinical signs of AGR by several days. Evaluation of excretion of enzymes and low molecular weight proteins (LMWP) has been proposed as a potential tool in making the differential diagnosis of the most common complications in the early postoperative period following cadaveric kidney transplantation such as AGR and ATN. Several studies have demonstrated that increased urinary amounts of enzymes are useful in detection of acute tubular damage at very early stage, but increased enzymuria may also be induced by a reversible mild dysfunction of the cells not necessarily associated with irreversible damage. Enzymuria may reflect mild injury or injury that is reversible, although Jung et al. (Jung et al., 1986) found that elevated initial levels of urinary alkaline phosphatase (AP) and N-acetyl- β -d-glucosaminidase (NAG) were associated with mortality in their population of patients with AGR. Previous studies have demonstrated that tubular enzymuria can detect tubular injury 12 h to 4 days earlier than standard parameters of renal function (Conti et al., 2005). Estimation of excretion of isoenzymes characteristic to different tubule segments: proximal (α -GST), and distal (π -GST) tubule, may allow the differentiation of the etiology of complications, which damage the tubule by divergent pathogenic mechanisms (Herger-Rosenthal et al., 2004). Higher excretion of π -GST, an isoenzyme localized and released from various nephron segments, in particular the distal tubule, can be a sign of widespread renal damage, which is distinctive for an AGR. Tissue localization of this isoenzyme may explain higher excretion in AGR than in ATN patients, where ischemic injury focuses mostly on the proximal tubule. Significantly raised π -GST excretion in AGR group compared to SGF and ATN recipients suggests the usefulness of its measurement for differentiation of AGR from other post-transplant renal disorders. However, enzymes are also released during chronic glomerular diseases, which might limit their use as markers of tubular injury only (Campbell et al., 1996; Crespo et al., 2001). Enzymuria seemed highly sensitive for renal tubular injury and directly correlates with elevated serum levels of cretonne, Cystatin C (Bornstein et al., 1996; Harrison et al., 1998). The low-molecular weight proteins that escape complete reabsorption when the proximal tubular cells are overloaded or damaged have been used as markers of damage or dysfunction of these cells. Some of the best-characterized tubular proteins for detection of proximal tubular injury are α_1 - and β_2 -microglobulin, retinol-binding protein, and Cystatin C. Produced at different sites, they are freely filtered by the glomerulus and reabsorbed but not secreted by proximal tubular cells. At least one study showed that tubular proteinuria seems to be superior compared to enzymuria in predicting the need for renal replacement therapy in AGR. Urinary excretions of LMW-proteins usually increase long before the elevation of other markers of AGR, such as rise of serum creatinine or general proteinuria (Vincent et al., 1979; Bentham et al., 1993). As many small molecular weight proteins such as α_1 - and β_2 -microglobulins and cystatin C are excreted almost exclusively through glomerular filtration, their serum concentrations can be used as marker of GFR (Steinhoff @ Sack, 1993). By using SDS-polyacrylamide electrophoresis, Bosket et al. (1974) demonstrated LMW-proteinuria in 86% of patients with AGR, but in none of the patients with uncomplicated post transplantation course. Serum β_2 -microglobulin (β_2 M) has been extensively measured in renal transplanted patients (Wibell et al., 1997; Shea et al., 1981). High pretransplantation serum levels of β_2 M have been reported to decline toward normal at varying rates after successful renal transplantation (Bernier @ Post, 1973; Edwards et al., 1983). High concentrations of urinary β_2 M have been reported during or even several days before clinical signs of acute rejection (Hemminge et al., 1978; Pistil et al., 1994; Maury @ Tempo, 1984), whereas a continuous decline of urinary β_2 M was found in the recipients with uncomplicated post transplant outcome (Maury @ Tempo, 1984). Constantly high or increasing serum β_2 M have shown to predict clinical rejection by several days (Beckman et al., 1986). The increase of serum β_2 M is not, however, specific for acute rejection but may be associated with cyclosporine toxicity, bacterial, viral and fungal infections (Donaldson et al., 1996) and with the deterioration of GFR due to other causes (Uhland et al., 1996; Leach et al., 1992). Beckman et al. (1986) reported that the serum β_2 M is unable to distinguish between AGR and cyclosporine toxicity, but suggests that is helpful in the early detection of CMV infection. Measurement of urinary α_1 M has many advantages compared to that of β_2 M. α_1 M is stable in urine and unlike β_2 M, its urinary concentration is not affected by serum paraproteins or by CMV-infection (Cont et al., 1995; Uchida @ Goths, 2002). Cystatin C is a 13 KD molecular weight, non-glycosylated protein that is produced by all nucleated cells and eliminated from blood by glomerular filtration. Its production rate is constant and it is unaffected by inflammation, abnormal tissue growth, muscle mass, sex, age, diet or nutritional

status (Le Brice et al., 2002; Rich et al., 2001). A single reference value can be used for children and adults of both sexes. A single measurement of serum cystatin C provides a precise and accurate estimation of GFR (Larsson et al.2004). During the first four post transplant days, cystatin C decreases more rapidly than serum creatinine. In the immediate post transplant period, cystatin C may thus detect graft dysfunction and its recovery more rapidly than serum creatinine (Nishi et al., 1992; Lijkenboom et al., 2001). Glucocorticoids increase the production of cystatin C and glucocorticoid medication should therefore be taken into account when interpreting serum cystatin levels in renal transplant patients (Bold et al., 2003; Cresses et al., 2002).

The aim of this study was to assay a broad number of markers of tubular injury in the early post-renal transplant period, and then to select a set which would enable to classify the etiology of this damage. The search for complication-specific excretion marker was justified by dissimilarity of path mechanisms of tubular lesions in each of the afore mentioned complications.

II. Material And Methods

Study was performed on 46 cadaveric renal allograft recipients and 30 healthy individuals who served as controls. Post-transplant monitoring included determination of urinary enzymes, LMW proteins, serum creatinine and Cystatin C, urine analysis and urine volume. Patients were classified into one of three groups: stable graft function (SGF, n=21)—the patients with satisfactory and SGF during the period of initial 30 days after kidney transplantation, those with acute graft rejection (AGR, n=15) and those with acute tubular necrosis (ATN, n=10). Inclusion criteria into the AGR group involved the clinical symptoms of AGR confirmed by graft biopsy. Patients requiring at least one dialysis session in the first week after transplantation and without other reason for delayed graft function were included in the ATN group. Demographic and biochemical characteristics of patients enrolled in the study are presented in Table 1. Measurements of urine enzymes and LMWP were performed every day during the first 21 days after kidney transplantation. Measurements were done in the second morning urine samples of the day several times in each patient. At least two measurements were performed during initial twenty one days after renal transplantation-usually on day 1,2,3,7,11,14,17 and day 21. Elements of urinary sediment were removed by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 15 min. Serum Cystatin C and β_2 Microglobulin (β_2 M) and urinary α_1 Microglobulin (α_1 M) were determined with DAKO test. N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase concentration in urine was measured by fluorimetric method. Concentration of NAG was measured with the 4-methyl-umbelliferyl-2-acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranoside substrate, and the 4-methylumbelliferone (4MU) product was determined in alkaline pH. Alaninaminopeptidase activity was determined calorimetrically with L-alanyl- β -naphthylamide, and released β -naphthylamide was bound to p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde [12]. γ -Glutamyltransferase activity was determined calorimetrically with γ -glutamyl-p-nitroanilide using the Roche diagnostic test. The urinary enzyme activity was expressed in U/mmol creatinine. The concentration of α -GST and π -GST were quantified by the Biotin test using enzyme immunoassay technique. Serum and urine creatinine was measured by colorimetric techniques based on Jaffe reaction. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated and differences between groups were assessed by Student's t test or analysis of variance (ANOVA). Pearson's coefficients were used to calculate correlations between variables. Differences were classified as significant at P<0.05. All calculations were performed with Statistics 5.0 software package.

III. Result

During the follow-up period (mean 3 post transplantation weeks), 16 patients (34.7 %) (9 men and 7 women) had AGR episode whereas 21(45.6 %) patients (14 men and 7 women) did not show any signs of rejection. In ten patients we confirmed acute tubular necrosis initially requiring dialysis. Rejection occurred on days 3 to 21 (mean 12.0) after transplantation. The clinical characteristics of evaluated patients were shows in table 1.

Table1. Clinical characteristics of the evaluated patients

Groups		Controls (N=30)	SGF (N=21)	AGR (N=16)	ATN (N=10)
Sex	F	12	7	4	1
	M	18	14	12	9
Age(years)		37.5+/-4.3	32.6+/-9.3	36.4+/-6.5	31.2+/-5.2
Serum creatinine μ mol/L		82+/-24	180+/-36*	246+/-58*	292+/-76*
Serum Cystatin C mg/L		0.95+/-0.45	1.85+/-0.75	3.26+/-1.15*	2.2+/-0.95**
Proteinuria g/L		0.085+/-0.012	0.219+/-0.085	0.426+/-0.175*	0.275+/-0.112**

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD ; *p<0.001;**p<0.01

The mean pre transplant urinary excretion levels of the enzymes NAG, AAP and γ GT in the 46 patients with chronic renal failure during the present study are shown in table 2. The levels were found to be higher by 9.0 fold for NAG, 4.0 fold for AAP and 2.5 fold for γ GT, as compared to the mean excretion levels of the corresponding enzymes in 30 healthy volunteers .

Table2. The mean excretion levels of urinary enzymes and LMW proteins in pre transplant patients with chronic renal failure compared with values of controls

	Controls	Pretransplanted patients
NAG U/mmol creatinine	0.7+/- 0.45	6.6+/-3.1*
AAP U/mmol creatinine	0.5+/-0.25	2.3+/-1.6*
γ GT U/mmol creatinine	1.35+/-0.55	3.75+/-2.05*
α 1M/creatinine ratio mg/mmol	0.32 \pm 0.12	18.3 \pm 5.6*
serum β 2M mg/L	1.7+/-0.98	16.8+/-12.3*

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD *p <0.001

We selected a group of patients with acute graft rejection (n= 16) and compared their results with another group of patients (ATN) requiring dialysis directly after transplantation (n=10).The GS transferases were measured in urine collected during the 1st day after transplantation or as soon as urine was produced (Table 3, figure 1). In the group with AGR significantly higher levels of π -GST (p<0.001) and α -GST(p<0.05) were observed compared to the SGF group. When the patients with ATN were compared with those with AGR, the increase was found to be 11.8-fold for urinary π -GST and 3.3- fold for α -GST, respectively.

Table3. Levels of α -GST and π -GST in the urine

Groups Biomarkers	Controls (N=30)	SGF (N=21)	AGR (N=16)	ATN, (N=10)
α -GST ng/ml	2.5+/-1.3	4.6+/-0.8	6.1+/- 2.9	17.2 +/- 13.5*
π -GST ng/ml	13.4+/-5.3	15.1+/-1.3	24.3 +/- 6.8*	18.4 +/- 8.9*

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD; *p< 0.001.

Excretion of most of the markers of tubular function was significantly higher in patients than in controls (Table 4).The levels of NAG was significantly higher in patients with AGR (p<0.001) and ATN (p <0.01) than in SGF recipients. Significant differences (p<0.05) were found in excretion of AAP, γ -GT and β 2M between SGF and those with AGR and ATN. Averagely one week after transplantation, the mean α 1M/creatinine ratio of transplanted patients was over 57 times higher than that of the controls (18.3 \pm 5.6 vs. 0.32 \pm 0.12 mg/mmol), and the urinary excretion of α 1M was associated with cold ischemia time (r=0.347,p< 0.001). At that time, no significant difference in the urinary excretion of α 1M/creatinine was noticed between patients who developed AGR and those with SGF (19.6+/-7.8 mg/mmol vs. 15.3+/-6.1 mg/mmol, NS).

Table 4. Urinary excretion of biomarkers in the evaluated groups in the first 21 days

Groups Biomarkers	Controls (N=30)	SGF (N=21)	AGR (N=16)	ATN (N=10)
NAG U/mmol creatinine	0.7+/- 0.45	4.9+/-2.3	14.4+/-3.9*	12.3+/-4.2*
AAP U/mmol creatinine	0.5+/-0.25	1.8+/-0.7	2.8+/-1.05**	2.1+/-1.3
γ GT U/mmol creatinine	1.35+/-0.55	1.45+/-0.68	2.95+/-0.92**	2.7+/-1.1
α 1M/creatinine ratio mg/mmol	0.32 \pm 0.12	15.3+/-6.1*	21.6+/-7.8*	15.8+/-8.6*
Serum β 2M mg/L	1.4+/-0.9	13.0+/-11.6*	15.6+/-12.9*	30.8+/-14.2*

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD; *p<0.001; **p <0.05

Table 5. Urinary α 1M/creatinine ratios in patients with and without AGR at various days after renal transplantation.

	Days after transplantation	alfa1M/creatinine ratio (mg/mmol) mean(range)
Acute graft rejection n= 16	7 (3 – 11)	17 (2.5 – 31)
	14 (12 – 20)	20 (6.0 – 35)
	21(17 – 31)	28 (9.0 – 47)
Stable Graft function n=21	7 (5 – 10)	16 (3.0 – 27)
	14 (11 – 21)	15 (2.0 – 18)
	21 (15 – 23)	11 (2.0 – 16)

During the second posttransplantation week, α 1M/creatinine ratio decreased in 14 of 21 patients (66.6 %) with stable graft function, but only in 3 of 15 (20 %) of those with acute rejection ($p < 0.01$). During the follow-up period (mean 3 post transplantation weeks), 16 patients (32.6%) had AGR episode whereas 21 patients did not show any signs of rejection. Rejection occurred on days 5 to 25 (mean 15.0) after transplantation.

On the other hand, in patients with AGR the α 1M/creatinine ratio between first and second urine samples increased in 20 of 27 patients (74.1%). Averagely two weeks after transplantation, the mean α 1M/creatinine ratio was significantly higher in patients who developed AGR than in those who did not (Table 3). After the first post transplantation week, the decreasing α 1M/creatinine ratio had 95% positive predictive value in detecting non rejecting patients, and the increasing ratio had 71% predictive value in detecting rejecting patients. At 6 months from transplantation, the mean α 1M/creatinine ratio was more than two times higher in the AGR than in the SGF (mean \pm SD, 4.2 ± 2.2 vs. 1.3 ± 1.1 , $p < 0.01$).

IV. Discussion

Acute graft rejection is defined as an immune response against donor antigens. It induces and mediates functional and structural deterioration of the graft and is independent of non-immunologic causes of renal dysfunction. It usually occurs within weeks to months of transplantation. The recent immunosuppressive regimens have progressively reduced the incidence of clinical acute rejections (First, 2003). Still, at least one episode of clinical and/or histological acute rejection occurs in 40-50% of cadaver kidney allograft recipients (Crespo, 2003; Gaber, 1998). More than half of the rejection episodes occur within the first 30 days, and almost 90% occur in the first 3-6 months post transplant, but they may occur much later (Gaber, 1998). Acute rejection episodes have been documented as late as in the third decade after transplantation. The interpretation of the results of the function of a transplanted cadaveric kidney requires consideration of its initial state, which is affected by case-specific factors in the donor terminal period, the ischemic reperfusion damage, factors related to the surgery, and post-operative period, mainly immunosuppressant. Concurrent influence of these factors results in elevation of all biomarkers of proximal tubule injury in the early post-transplant period, reflecting its extreme sensitivity to ischemia and various nephrotoxic factors (Price, 1982). Acute graft rejection, ATN, and nephrotoxicity to CsA are the most common complications resulting from these factors. Making a differentiation between these three etiologies is crucial for the achievement of the long-term graft success. As AGR, ATN and CsA have dissimilar pathogeneses; one could expect that profiles of enzymes excreted in these entities will be dissimilar. Among the multitude of enzymes excreted in urine, lysosomal NAG enzyme and enzymes of brush border of epithelial cells of proximal tubule-AAP and GGP have proved to be the most useful. The mean levels of pre-transplant enzymuria from the 47 patients with chronic renal failure was significantly higher ($p < 0.001$) compared to 30 healthy volunteers. Our data is in agreement with previous reports of increased urinary excretion of the brush border enzymes in patients with interstitial nephritis, glomerulonephritis and diabetic nephropathy (Tempo, 2005; Burke et al., 1995). The levels were found to be higher by 9.0 fold for NAG, 4.0 fold for AAP and 2.5 fold for γ GT. Conflicting reports are present regarding the relative occurrence of enzymuria during the episodes of graft dysfunction in renal transplant recipients). Bornstein et al. (1996) reported that enzymuria increases concurrently or after the serum creatinine is increased in response to graft dysfunction, while some others reported that it precede an increase(ment) of serum creatinine (Polak et al., 1999; Beckman, 1998). The important findings of our investigation are that the increase in excretion of NAG preceded the serum creatinine in all 21 episodes of graft dysfunction (AGR, ATN), whereas the γ GT and AAP preceded it in 15 and 11 episodes. In our study the NAG excretion was significantly higher in AGR and ATN groups compared to SGF patients; the highest NAG excretion was observed in the AGR group. The difference between AGR and ATN group was not statistically significant. These results are also supported by earlier reports, which suggest that excretion of NAG fails to identify a specific cause of increased enzymuria in patients after renal transplantation (Bourbouze et al., 1985; Sandburg et al., 1994). Independently of the elevated NAG excretion, increased excretion of brush border enzymes-AAP and GGT were observed in AGR and ATN groups in the early post-transplant period. Lack of significant difference in excretion of these enzymes did not allow

characterization of patients with ATN, AGR from those with SGF. This may be a result of several injurious processes that affect tubules at that time. Estimation of excretion of isoenzymes characteristic to different tubule segments: proximal (α -GST), and distal (π -GST) tubule, may allow the differentiation of the etiology of complications, which damage the tubule by divergent pathogenic mechanisms (Beckman et al., 1995; Donadio et al., 1989). Higher excretion of π -GST, an isoenzyme localized and released from various nephron segments, in particular the distal tubule, can be a sign of widespread renal damage, which is distinctive for an AGR. Tissue localization of this isoenzyme may explain higher excretion in AGR than in ATN patients, where ischemic injury focuses mostly on proximal tubule (51). Significantly raised π -GST excretion in AGR group compared to SGF and ATN recipients suggests the usefulness of its measurement for differentiation of AGR from other post-transplant renal disorders (Polak et al., 1995). The highest levels of α GST were found in patients with a well-presented renal function (SGF), whereas the highest levels of π GST were found in patients with acute renal failure. As compared with α GST, the excretion of π GST correlated with the excretion of NAG ($r=0.62$, $p<0.001$) and beta 2 microglobulin ($r=0.48$, $p<0.001$). A significant negative correlation was found between the urinary excretion of π GST and creatinine clearance ($p=-0.24$, $p<0.001$). Our observation may also explain high π -GST excretion has been described in the first post transplant day in patients with ATN. Impaired reabsorptive and catabolic functions of proximal tubule in the early post transplant period is a consequence of various injuries of this nephron segment that is vulnerable to ischemia and toxicity. The damage is evidenced by the greater excretion of LMWP- α 1M and β 2M in comparison to controls. This observation is supported by reports of elevated LMWP excretion even in patients with normal renal graft function (Marchewka et al., 1999). Our presented study shows that averagely one week after transplantation the mean α 1M/creatinine ratio in transplant recipients was about 57-fold higher than that of the healthy subjects. At that time the urinary excretion of α 1M correlated with the duration of cold ischemia and was suggested to reflect ischemia induced injury. The mean α 1M/creatinine ratios were about the same in patients with stable graft function and in those who later developed rejection. In patients with uncomplicated post transplantation course the ratio decreases. The decrease of α 1M/creatinine ratio in consecutively collected urine samples thus indicates recovering tubular reabsorption capacity and rules out complications. The results shows that the α 1M/creatinine ratio during the first post transplantation week serves as the measure of ischemia-induced injury, there after the decrease of α 1M/creatinine ratio in consecutively collected urine samples indicates recovering tubular function and rules out rejection, whereas the increase of α 1M/creatinine ratio signals acute rejection or other complications. However, at 6 months from transplantation, the mean urinary α 1M/creatinine ratio of all transplanted patients was still 8 times higher than that of the healthy subjects. Same results were found by Tempo (2005) where α 1M/creatinine ratio was 20 times higher compared to healthy patients. These findings suggest the occurrence of persistent or prolonged injury in the majority of patients. β 2M excretion is particularly difficult to interpret without concomitant estimation of its blood levels, due to its increased excretion in transplant patients (55). High pre transplantation serum levels of β 2M have been reported to decline toward normal at varying rates after successful renal transplantation. Constantly high or increasing serum β 2M levels have shown to predict clinical rejection by several days. The increase of serum β 2M is not, however, specific for acute rejection but may be associated with cyclosporine toxicity (Pistil, 1989), bacterial, viral and fungal infections (Edwards et al., 1983; Pistil, 1989) and with the deterioration of GFR due to other causes (Maury @ Tempo, 1984). The normal concentration of cystitis C is low and independent of age and body mass. In renal tubular disorders, it was demonstrated that urinary cystitis C concentrations increased approximately 200-fold (Leach et al., 2002). In the absence of circadian variations, cystitis C can be quantified in a single urine sample, and results may be confirmed within 1 h (Le Brice et al., 1999). Our results showed that during the first four post transplant days, cystitis C decreases more rapidly than serum creatinine. In the immediate post transplant period, cystitis C may thus detect graft dysfunction and its recovery more rapidly than serum creatinine.

V. Conclusion

In conclusion, non-invasive measurements of urinary tubular biomarkers can provide information of the microenvironment of the allograft in transplant recipients. Enzymuria assay is simple, reliable, noninvasive method which can be used to distinguish acute tubular necrosis and acute rejection in renal allograft recipients. High NAG values are present in both ATN and AGR patients. Evaluating urinary excretion of π -GST may be helpful in differentiating AGR from ATN. The decrease of α 1M/creatinine ratio in consecutively collected urine samples indicates recovering tubular function and rules out rejection, whereas the increase of this ratio indicates acute rejection or other complications. Increased urinary excretion of α 1M associates with progressive deterioration of graft function and serves as an early and sensitive indicator of poor graft outcome.

References

- [1]. Beckman L, Appelkvist EL, Ringden O, Dallner G., (1988) Glutathione transferase in the urine: a marker for post transplant tubular lesions. *Kidney Int*, 33:571–577.
- [2]. Beckman L, Ringden O, Björkhem I, Lindbäck B. (1986). Increased serum β 2-microglobulin during rejection, cyclosporine-induced nephrotoxicity, and cytomegalovirus infection in renal transplant recipients. *Transplantation*, 42: 368-371
- [3]. Bentham R, Catelli WR. (1993). Proteinuria: Path physiology, significance and recommendations for measurements in clinical practice. *Ann Clin Biochemist*, 30: 425-434.
- [4]. Bernier GM, Post RS. (1973). β 2-microglobulin: A marker of renal homograft survival. *Transplantation*, 15:176-179.
- [5]. Bold J, Brenner T, Lehmann A, Lang J, Kummel B, Welling C. (2003). Influence of two different volume replacement regimens on renal function in elderly patients undergoing cardiac surgery: comparison of a new starch preparation with gelatin. *Intensive Care*, 29:763-769.
- [6]. Bornstein, B., Arenas, J., Morales, M.J., Praga, M., Rodicio, L.J., Martinez, A., Valdivia's, L. (1996). Cyclosporine nephrotoxicity and rejection crisis: diagnosis by urinary enzyme excretion. *Nephron*, 72, 402-406.
- [7]. Bourbouze R, Glickman JC, Frantz P et al. (1985). Early monitoring of human renal transplantations N-acetyl-beta- D-glucosaminidase isoenzyme activities in urines. *Clin Chim Acta*, 149:185–195
- [8]. Burke BA, Chavers BM, Willingham KJ, Kasthan CE, Manivel JC, Mauer SM, Nevins TE, Matas AJ.(1995). Chronic renal allograft rejection in the first 6 months post transplant. *Transplantation*, 60: 1413-1447.
- [9]. Campbell PM, Jahangir GS, Cockfield SM, Huizinga R, Schlaut J, Halloran PF. (1996). Clinical characteristics and outcomes of antibody mediated acute rejection. *J Am Soc Nephrology*, 9: A 3416.
- [10]. Conti, M, Zater, M, Lallali, K, Durrbach A, Mouteraeu, S.(2005). Absence of circadian variation in urine Cystatin C allows its use on urinary samples. *Clin.Chem*, 51:272-273
- [11]. Cresco M, Pascal M, Tolkoff-Rubin N, Mauyyedi S, Collins AB, Fitzpatric D, Farrell ML, Williams WW, Delmonico FL, Cosimi AB, Colvin RB, Saidman SL.(2001). Acute humoral rejection in renal allograft recipients: I. Incidence, serology, and clinical characteristics. *Transplantation*, 71: 652-658.
- [12]. Cresses, G, Roberts, D, Snowden, CP. (2002). Renal tubular injury after infrarenal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Cardiothoracic Vasc Anesth.*, 16:290-293
- [13]. Donadio C, Puccini R, Lucchesi A, Giordano R, Rizzo G. (1998). Urinary excretion of proteins and tubular enzymes in renal transplant patients. *Ren Fail*, 20:707–715.
- [14]. Donat C, Puccini R, Lucchesi A, Giordano R, Rizzo G (1998) Urinary excretion of proteins and tubular enzymes in renal transplant patients. *Ren Fail* 20:707–715.
- [15]. Donaldson MDC, Chambers RE, Woolridge MW, Whicher JT. (1990). Stability of alpha 1-microglobulin, beta 2- microglobulin and retinol binding protein in urine. *Clin Chim Acta*,179: 73-78.
- [16]. Edwards LC, Lederman JM, Hamm LL, Ludwin D, Gailiunas P Jr., Hull AR. (1983). Noninvasive monitoring of renal transplant function by analysis of beta 2 micro globulin. *Kidney Int*, 23:767- 770.
- [17]. First MR. (2003). Renal function as a predictor of long-term graft survival in renal transplant patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*, 18 (Supple 1): S3-S6.
- [18]. Geber LW, Moore LW, Geber AO, First MR, Guttman RD, Pouletty P, Schroeder TJ, Soullillou J-P.(1998). Utility of standardized histological classification in the management of acute rejection. *Transplantation*, 65: 376-380.
- [19]. Harrison DJ, Kharbanda R, Cunningham DS; McClellan LI (1989). Distribution of glutathione S-transferase isoenzymes in human kidney: basis for possible markers of renal injury. *J Clin Pathol*,42: 624-628.
- [20]. Hemminge L, Jensen H, Startup P. (1978). The urinary excretion of ten plasma proteins in long-term renal transplant patients. *Acta Med Scand*, 199: 311-316.
- [21]. Herger-Rosenthal S, Poppen D, Husing J, Markggraf G. (2004). Prognosis value of tubular proteinuria and enzymuria in non-oliguric acute tubular necrosis. *Clin Chem*, 50:225-228.
- [22]. Jung, K., Diego, J., Strolbelt, V., Schloz, D., Schreiber G.(1986). Diagnostic significance of some urinary enzymes for detecting acute rejection crises in renal transplant recipients: alanine amino peptidase, alkaline phosphatase, gamma-glutamyl transferase, N-acetyl-B-D glucosaminidase and lysozyme. *Clin. Chem*, 32, 1807-1811
- [23]. Kotanko P, Keller R, Knabl L, Aulitzky W, Margreiter R, Gstraunthaler G, Paller W.(1986). Urinary enzyme analysis in renal allograft transplantation. *Clin Chim Acta*, 160: 137-144.
- [24]. Leach TD, Kitiyakara C, Price CP, Stevens JM, Newman DJ.(2002). Prognostic significance of serum cystatin C concentration in renal transplant recipients: 5-year follow-up. *Transplant Proc*, 34:1152-1158.
- [25]. Le Brice T, There E, Benlakehal M, Bousquet B, Legendre C, Erlich D.(1999). Changes in plasma cystatin C after renal transplantation and acute rejection in adults. *Clin Chem*, 45: 2243-2249.
- [26]. Lijkenboom JJA, Van Erick LTGJ, Pickers P, Peters WHM, Wetzel JFM, Van der Hoeven HG. (2005). Small increases in the urinary excretion of glutathione S-transferase A1 and P1 after cardiac surgery are not associated with clinically relevant renal injury. *Intensive Care Med*, 31:661-667.
- [27]. Marchewka Z., Kuzniar J., Długosz A. (1995). Enzymatic markers of cyclosporine nephrotoxicity in patients after renal transplantation. *Intern Urol Nephrology*, 31:727–734.
- [28]. Maury CPJ, Tempo AM. (1984). Comparative study of serum amyloid-related protein SAA, C-reactive protein, and β 2-microglobulin as markers of renal allograft rejection. *Clin Nephro*, 22,284-292.
- [29]. Nishi S, Kouda Y, Miyazaki S, Hiragana Y. 1992). What is a true cause of high level of urinary beta 2-microglobulin after renal transplantation. *Nephron*, 61:485–486.
- [30]. Pistil F, Grimmer F, Schwabe M, Schindler J, Balcke P, Kops H, Pinter G, Schwarzmeier J, Zazgojnik J. (1989). Beta-2-microglobulin for differentiation between cyclosporine A nephrotoxicity and graft rejection in renal transplant recipients. *Nephron*, 51: 330-337.
- [31]. Polak WP, Kosieradzki M, Kwiatkowski A et al. (1999). Activity of Glutathione S-transferases in the urine of kidney transplant recipients during the first week after transplantation. *Ann Transp*, 4:42–45.
- [32]. Price RG. (1982). Urinary enzymes, nephrotoxicity and renal disease. *Toxicology*, 23:99–134.
- [33]. Rich L, Herklotz R, Blumberg A, Huber AR. (2001). Effects of glucocorticoid immunosuppressant on serum cystatin C concentrations in renal transplant patients. *Clin Chem*, 47: 2055-2059.
- [34]. Shea PH, Maher JF, Horal E. (1981). Prediction of glomerular filtration rate by serum creatinine and β 2-microglobulin. *Nephron*, 29: 30-35.
- [35]. Steinhoff J, Sack K.(1993). Real causes of high level of urinary β 2-microglobulin after renal transplantation. *Nephron*, 65: 501-502.

- [36]. Sundberg AGM, Appelkvist E-L, Bacman L, Dallier G.(2004). Urinary p-class glutathione transferase as an indicator of tubular damage in the human kidney. *Nephron*, 67:308–316.
- [37]. Sundberg AGM, Appelkvist E-L, Bacman L, Dallner G. (1994)..Urinary π -class glutathione transferase as an indicator of tubular damage in the human kidney. *Nephron*, 67:308–316.
- [38]. Tempo AM, Excretion of urinary proteins as predictors of early post transplantation complications and late renal allograft failure. Academic Dissertation, Medical Faculty of Helsinki, 2005.
- [39]. Tempo AM, Honaker E, Ahonen J, Gronhagen-Riska C. (2000).Changes of urinary alpha1-microglobulin in the assessment of prognosis in renal transplant recipients. *Transplantation*, 1154-1159.
- [40]. Thompson AE, Tucker SM, Price RG.(1977). Assay of N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase in urine of patients with renal transplants as an indicator of rejection. *Clin Chem*, 23: 301-302.
- [41]. Thompson RE, Piper DJ, Gal berg C, Chan TH, Tolkoff-Rubin NE, Rubin RH.(1985). Adenosine delaminate binding protein, a new diagnostic marker for kidney disease. *Clin Chem*, 31: 679-683.
- [42]. Tolko-Rubin NE, Cosmic AB, Delmonico FL, Russell PS, Thompson RE, Piper DJ, Hansen WP,Bander NH, Finstad CL, Cordon-Cardo C, Klotz LH, Old LJ, Rubin RH. (1996). Diagnosis of tubular injury in renal transplant patients by a urinary assay for a proximal tubular antigen, the adenosine- delaminate-binding protein. *Transplantation*, 41: 593-597.
- [43]. Uchida K, Goths A: (2002).Measurement of cystatin C and creatinine in urine. *Clin Chim Acta*, 323:121-128.
- [44]. Uhland EJ, Hock KG, Issitt C, Sneering MR, Cervelli DR, Gorman RT, Scott MG (2001).. Reference intervals for plasma cystatin C in healthy volunteers and renal patients, as measured by the Dade Behring BN II System, and correlation with creatinine. *Clin Chem*, 47: 2031-2033.
- [45]. Vincent C, Reviled JP, Pellet H, Trailer J. (1979). B2-microglobulin in monitoring renal transplant function. *Transplant Proc*, 11: 438-442.
- [46]. Wibell L, Ervin P-E, Beggar I. (1973). Serum β 2-microglobulin in renal diseases. *Nephron*, 10:320-331.

Nexhibe Nuhii "Biomarkers in Follow-up of Post – transplanted Patients". IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science (IOSR-JNHS) , vol. 6, no.6 , 2017, pp. 24-31.