Health Related Quality Of Life (HRQoL) Of Patients Unergoing Hemodialysis

Mrs. Molly R

Lecturer

Travancore College Of Nursing

Dr.Prof.Susan Jose

Mrs. Stalin Lyse R J

Principal Associate Professor KIMS College Of Nursing KIMS College Of Nursing

Abstract

The present study aimed to assess the health related quality of life (HRQoL) of patients undergoing hemodialysis. The objectives of the study were to assess the HRQoL of patients undergoing hemodialysis, analyze the relationship between each subscale with other subscales and overall score of HRQoL and find the association between HRQoL and selected socio personal and clinical variables. The conceptual framework of the study was based on Sister Callista Roy's Adaptation model. Descriptive study design was used in the study. 75 patients within the age group 35 to 75 years attending hemodialysis unit of KIMS Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram was selected by consecutive sampling technique. HRQoL was assessed by KDQOL TM -36 questionnaire. After data collection, individualized teaching was provided with pamphlet on measures to improve quality of life in hemodialysis patients. Data were analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The finding shows that 81.3% of patients had average and above average HRQoL in PCS, 82.7% in MCS, 81.3% in DB, 78.7% in SP List and 90.7% in EKD. The mean and standard deviation of HRQoLsubscalescoreofthePCS60.67±16.95,MCS88.69±11.4,DB66.13± 10.89, SP List 88.17 ± 7.76, EKD 77.63 ± 11.02 and overall score 79.79 ± 8.73. There is statistically significant positive correlation (p<0.05, p<0.01) between HRQoL with various subscales such as physical component summary, mental component summary, burden of kidney disease, symptom problem list, effect of kidney disease and overall score of HRQoL. There is statistically significant association of HRQoL with age and complications of CKD (p<0.01), religion, gender, etiology of CKD and duration of disease (p<0.05).

Date of Submission: 20-10-2022 Date of Acceptance: 04-11-2022

I. Background of the problem

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a worldwide public health problem. CKD is a progressive irreversible condition that leads to series of biochemical, clinical and metabolic disorders which directly or indirectly linked to high rates of hospitalization, morbidity and mortality. It causes the physiological and emotional alterations at the time of diagnosis and during the course of treatment. The estimated prevalence of CKD around the world is 10.4% and 11.8% among men and women respectively. According to the Global burden of disease (2015), CKD was ranked 17th leading cause of deaths. According to World Health Organisation (WHO) Global Burden of Disease project, disease of the kidney and urinary tract contribute to approximately 850,000 deaths every year. The International Society of Nephrology reported that prevalence of CKD in India was 17%. End stage renal disease (ESRD) is a major public health hazard in India. A community based study on burden of renal failure among adults in rural Kerala reported that 4.8% of patients have chronic kidney disease of any stage and 3.6% had renal failure.

Hemodialysis is incapable of altering the natural course of the underlying kidney disease or completely replaces the kidney function. It has a detrimental impact on the quality of life of patients and affect the physical and psychological wellbeing. In Brazil, the number of patient in dialysis mounted to 87,044 in 2008; 90% were on hemodialysis and 10% on peritoneal dialysis. According to Nephrology and kidney transplantation research centre, Iran; about 29,000 patients with CKD were under treatment in 2007 and 14,000 (48.5%) of them were undergoing hemodialysis. Recent studies revealed that, in India over 1,30,000 patients receiving dialysis in the year 2018 and the number is increasing about 232 per million population. In Kerala around 23,500 patients are undergoing hemodialysis; 635 per million population in the state.

DOI: 10.9790/1959- 1106010109 www.iosrjournals.org 1 | Page

Need and significance of the study

A community based study was conducted among 50 patients in Tamilnadu to assess the quality of life of patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing hemodialysis by using Kidney Disease Quality of Life-Short Form (KDQOLTM-SF) questionnaire. The study reported that physical health was significantly affected among all the four domains of KDQOL and an average score was 25.45 ± 11.85 (p<0.0015). The study concluded that focusing on various domains of QoL could lead to more patient-centred care and improved health and wellbeing. 11A descriptive study was conducted in Nepal to assess the quality of life and association between the selected variables of patients undergoing hemodialysis. Out of 75 patients on hemodialysis, 47 were males and 28 were females. Data were collected by Kidney Disease and Quality of Life -36 (KDQOL TM-36) tool by interview technique. The study result showed that out of 5 domains; the highest score was on symptom of disease 75 (64.58-83.33) and the lowest score was on mental health components 25 (20-40) and burden of disease 25 (0-25). Education levelissignificantly associated with quality of life (p<0.05). The study concluded that patients with hemodialysis have poor quality of life and the most affected domain was mental health. A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted among 50 patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis in a tertiary level referral hospital in Kerala to assess the health related quality of life (HROoL) by using Kidney Disease Quality of Life-Short Form (KDQOL-SF) questionnaire. The study result showed that the mean score of kidney disease component summary (60.48 \pm 11.81) was higher than mental component summary (41.83 \pm 15.78) and physical component summary (36.49 \pm 16.30). Patients possessed better quality of life in social support (73.54), dialysis staff encouragement (67.56) and quality of social interaction (67.56) and the worst scores in role-physical (13.57) and role-emotional (17.72) scales. The study concluded that the quality of life of hemodialysis patients was highly impaired and it clearly defines how the disease state adversely affects the physical and mental status of thepatient. 21 Regular assessment of symptoms and measurement of health related QoL of patients with CKD is essential to integrate kidney supportive care and treatment strategies. 12

Statement of the problem

A descriptive study to assess health related quality of life (HRQoL) of patients undergoing Hemodialysis in a selected tertiary care hospital, Thiruvananthapuram.

Objectives

- 1. Assess the health related quality of life of patients undergoinghemodialysis.
- 2. Analyze the relationship between each subscale with other subscales and overall score of HRQoL of patients undergoinghemodialysis.
- 3. Find the association between health related quality of life of patients undergoing hemodialysis with selected socio personal and clinical variables.

Hypotheses

H1: There is a significant relationship between each subscale with other subscales and overall score of HRQoL of patients undergoing hemodialysis.

H2: There is a significant association between health related quality of life of patients undergoing hemodialysis and selected socio personal variables like age, gender, religion, education and clinical variables like etiology of CKD, duration of disease, duration of dialysis, complications of CKD, complications of dialysis.

II. Methodology

Research approach

Quantitative Approach

Research design

Non experimental descriptive survey design.

Setting of the study

Hemodialysis unit of KIMS Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram.

Population

Patients undergoing hemodialysis within the age group of 35-75 years

Sample and sample size

Patients undergoing hemodialysis within the age group of 35-75 years at KIMS hospital, Thiruvananthapuram.Sample consist of 75 patients with CKD.

Sampling technique

Consecutive sampling technique.

Criteria for sample selection

Inclusion criteria

- Patients who are on dialysis for a period of 1 year ormore.
- Patients who are willing to participate in the tudy.
- Patients who are able to speak or understand Malayalam or English.

Exclusion criteria

- Patients who are criticallyill.
- Patients who are healthprofessionals.

Description of tools

Tool 1: Interview schedule- To collect socio-personal and clinical data

Tool 2: Kidney disease and quality of life (KDQOL)TM -36

Section 1: Socio-personal and clinical data

Socio personal variable	f		%
Age in years			
36-45	4		5.3
46-55	12		16
56-65	33		44
66-75	26		34.7
Gender			
Male	53	70.7	
Female	22	29.3	
Marital status			
Married			73 97.3
Unmarried			00
Living together			00
Divorced / separated			00
Widow			2 2.7
Occupation			
Unemployed		16	5 21.3
Private job		1	1.3
Government job		5	9.3
Retired		40	53.4
Others		11	14.7
Mode of Work			
Moderate work		64	4 85
Heavy work		11	15

Source of income

Salary	10 13.3	
Pension	1621.3	
Aid from children	18 24	
Aid from family members	5	6.7
		0.7
Assets	26 34.7	
Medical insurance		
Yes	26	34.7
No	49	65.3
Type of family		
Nuclear family	54	72
Joint family	21	28
Availability of family support		
Yes	75	100
No	0	0
amily history of diseases		
Cidney disease		
'es	2	2.7
lo	73	97.3
lypertension		
res	25	33.3
io Diabetes mellitus	50	66.7
rapetes mentus 'es	41	54.7
lo	34	45.3
Iypertension and Diabetes mellitus		
'es	7	9.3
Ю	68	90.7
biet pattern		
egetarian egetarian	2	2.3
Ion vegetarian	73	97.
labits of smoking		
Current smoker	0	0
x- smoker	28	37.
Ion-smoker	47	62.
se of alcohol		
Current alcoholic	0	0
x- alcoholic	30	40

Etiology of CKD Diabetes mellitus Yes No Hypertension Yes No Kidney disease Yes No Exposure to nephrotoxic substances Yes No Duration of disease	46 29 25 50 4 71		61.3 38.7 33.3 66.7
Yes No Hypertension Yes No Kidney disease Yes No Exposure to nephrotoxic substances Yes No	29 25 50 4		38.7
No Hypertension Yes No Kidney disease Yes No Exposure to nephrotoxic substances Yes No	29 25 50 4		38.7
Hypertension Yes No Kidney disease Yes No Exposure to nephrotoxic substances Yes No	25 50		33.3
Yes No Kidney disease Yes No Exposure to nephrotoxic substances Yes No	50		
Yes No Exposure to nephrotoxic substances Yes	4		66.7
Yes No Exposure to nephrotoxic substances Yes No			
No Exposure to nephrotoxic substances Yes No			
Exposure to nephrotoxic substances Yes No	71		5.3
Yes No			94.7
No	0	0	
	0 75	0	100
Duration of disease	73		
≤10 years	68	90.6	
>10-20 years	5	6.7	
>20 years	2	2.7	
Duration of dialysis			
≤5 years	65	86.7	
>5-10 years	9	12	
>10 years	1	1.3	
IDWG (Inter Dialytic Weight Gain) in kg			
≤3	40		53.3
>3	35		46.7
BMI			
<18.5	7		9.3
18.5 - 24.9	34		45.3
25 - 29.9	26		34.7
30-34.9	8		10.7
Adherence to dialysis			
Yes	75		100
No	0	0	
Complications of CKD	~		
Pulmonary edema			
	34		45.3
	41		54.7
Anaemia Vas	62		g2 7
	62 13		82.7 17.3
Cardiovascular disease	13		17.3

	of Bije (IIIQOB) of I ditents one	
Yes	48	64
No	27	36
Bone disease		
Yes	0	0
No	75	100
Hepatitis		
Yes	0	0
No	75 100	
Chronic liver disease		
Yes	0	0
No	75 100	
Sepsis		
Yes	0	0
No	75	100
Cancer		_
Yes	0	0
No Others	75	100
Others Yes	0	0
No	75	100
Complications of dialysis		100
Hypotension		
Yes	6	8
No	69	92
Muscle cramps		
Yes	56	74.7
No	19	25.3
Nausea/Vomiting		
Yes	18	24
No	57	76
Anorexia		
Yes	23	30.7
No	52	69.3
Skin problem		
Yes	24	32
No	51	68
Fatigue		
Yes No	33 42	3 44 2 56
Febrile reactions		
Yes	(0
No	75	5 100
Access site infection		
Yes	(0

No 75 100

Section- 2 Frequency distribution and percentage of patients undergoing hemodialysis based on scores of HRQoL is classified as below average, average and above average.

Health Related Quality of Life	(HRQo	L)								
HRQoL subscales	below a	verage		Average		above average				
	f		%	f	%	F	%			
Physical Component Summary(PCS)										
• ` '	14	18.7		46	61.3	15	20			
Mental Component Summary (MCS)										
	13	17.3		41	54.7	21	28			
Burden of Kidney Disease (DE	3)									
	14	18.7		48	64	13	17.3			
Symptom/problem List(SP List)	st									
,	16	21.3		50	66.7	9	12			
Effect of Kidney Disease (EKI))									
	7	9.3		55	73.4	13	17.3			

Section - 3 Mean and standard deviation of HRQoL subscale scores and overall score.

			95% confidence interval				
HRQoL subscales	Mean	SD					
LowerBound UpperBound							
Physical component summary (PCS)			_		_		
M (1)		60.67 16.95	56.77	64.57			
Mental component summary (MCS)		88.69 11.41	86.06	91.31			
Burden of kidney disease (DB)				7 - 12 - 2			
		66.13 10.89	63.63	68.64			
Symptom /problem list (SP)		88.17	7.7686.39	89.96			
Effect of kidney disease (EKD)		77.63 11.02	75.1	80.17			
Overallscore		79.79	8.7377.78	81.80			

Section: 4 Correlation between each subscale with other subscales and overall score of HRQoL.

	PCS	MCS	DB	SP list	EKD	Overall scores
Subscales						
Pearson correlation coefficient' r'	alue					
Physical component summary						
(PCS)	-	0.67644	0.550**	0.55.644	0.670**	0.040**
Mental component summary		0.676**	0.558**	0.576**	0.679**	0.848**
(MCS)						
	0.676**	-	0.630**	0.61**	0.626**	0.846**
Burden of kidney disease (DB)						
	0.558**	0.630**	_	0.277*	0.453**	0.637**
Symptom /problem list (SP)	0.556	0.030	_	0.277	0.433	0.037

DOI: 10.9790/1959-1106010109

Effect of kidney disease (EVD)	0.576**	0.610**	0.227*	-	0.678**	0.828**
Effect of kidney disease (EKD)	0.679**	0.626**	0.453**	0.678**	-	0.876**

^{**}Significant at 0.01 level

Section 3: Association of HRQoL with socio personal and clinical variables.

Chi square value showing the association of HRQoL of patients undergoing hemodialysis and socio personal variables like age, gender, religion and education.

Health related quality of life (HRQoL)- Subscales

Socio personal variable	Physical Component Mental Component Summary Summary					Burden of Symptom/ Kidney Problem List Disease			Effect Disease	of Kidney	Overall Score		
	df	χ2	df	χ2	df	χ2	df	χ2	df	χ2	df	χ2	
Age	6	26.15**	6	10.68	6	7.33	6	3.63	6	30.14**	6	17.72	
Gender	2	3.11	2	2.21	2	1.68	2	4.2	2	0.31	2	7.93*	
Religion	4	3.37	4	6.1	4	4.52	4	3.21	4	9.98*	4	3.76	
Education	8	6.4	8	8.58	8	7.22	8	11.27	8	7.39	8	11.1	

^{*}Significant at 0.05 level

Chi square value showing the association of HRQoL of patients undergoing hemodialysis and clinical variables such as etiology of CKD, duration of disease, duration of dialysis, complications of CKD and complications of dialysis.

Health related quality of life (HRQoL)- Subscales

			•									
Clinical variables	Physical Compor Summar	ent	Mental Component Summary		Burden of Kidney Disease		Symptom/ ProblemList		Effect of Kidney Disease		Overall Score	
	df	χ2	Df	χ2	df	χ2	df	χ2	df	χ2	df	χ2
Etiology of												
CKD	4	9.83*	4	6.95	4	1.46	4	1.99	4	7.37	4	8.83
Duration of												
disease	4	3.37	4	4.77	4	3.95	4	9.98*	4	10.89*	4	12.59*
Duration of												
dialysis	4	4.68	4	2.84	4	3.83	4	0.96	4	7.39	4	3.96
Complications of CKD												
Complications	12	17.14	12	16.35	12	25.29**	12	18.02	12	9.73	12	29.09**
of dialysis												
	54	45.58	54	65.7	54	63.82	54	66.45	54	53.19	54	60.52

^{*}Significant at 0.05 level

Nursing Implications

Nursing Practice:

Need based patient education should be made mandatory in every hospital to provide required health information to patients and motivate them to change the baseline behaviours through their own effort. Dialysis nurse should give health education sessions during the dialysis time regarding the measures to improve the quality of life.

Nursing Education:

Student nurses should be exposed to various aspects which improve the quality of life of patients with hemodialysis. Continuing education programs need to be conducted regularly for nurses in order to update them with the recent advances in the hemodialysis and lifestyle modification needed and they in turn motivate patient undergoing hemodialysis to improve the quality of life.

^{*}Significant at 0.05 level

^{**} Significant at 0.01 level

^{**} Significant at 0.01 level

Nursing Administration:

Nursing is a rapidly growing profession. Nurse administrator should initiate in creating policy, developing protocols and procedures related to the assessment and improvement in health related quality of life of patients undergoing hemodialysis. The nurse administrators need to convince the hospital management about setting up of a patient education desk along with the hemodialysis unit to provide patient teaching and counselling services.

Nursing Research:

The researcher can take initiative to conduct research studies on various aspects of improving health related quality of life in hemodialysis patients. There is a need for extensive and intensive research in this area.

Limitations

- The study was confined to hemodialysis patients attending the hemodialysis unit of KIMS Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram; so that it is difficult to draw generalization.
- The study was confined to hemodialysis patients not on patients with peritonealdialysis.
- The study was confined in a private sector hemodialysisunit.

Recommendations

- A comparative study can be done among patients undergoing hemodialysis and peritonealdialysis.
- An experimental study can be conducted among patients undergoing hemodialysis to assess the effect of nurse ledinterventions.
- Structured teaching programme may be made available in the hemodialysis unit to make patients and family members aware about the impact of hemodialysis.
- Similar study can be conducted with large sample size and different settings.

References

- [1]. Oliveira AP, Schmidt DB, Amatneeks TM, Santos JC, Cavallet LH, Michel RB. Quality of life in hemodialysis patients and the relationship with mortality, hospitalizations and poor treatment adherence.Brazilian Journal of Nephrology. [Internet] 2016 Dec;38(4):411-20[Cited on 2019 June 12]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28001183/.
- [2]. Thenmozhi.P, V.Sowmiya. Complications of Hemodialysis-Hospital based cross sectional study. International journal of pharmaceutical sciences review and research.[Internet] 2019 March- April; 55(1): 41-45[Cited on 2020 April 4]. Availablefrom:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332106211_Complications_of_Hemodialysis_Hospital_Based_Cross_Sectional_Study.
- [3]. Thenmozhi p. Quality of life of patients undergoing hemodialysis. Asian journal of pharmaceutical and research. [Internet] 2018 January; 11 (4): 219-223.[Cited on 2019 Feb 12]. Available from https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ajpcr/article/view/24007.
- [4]. Santhosh V, George A. Chronic kidney disease in India A clarion call forchange. American society of nephrology.[Internet] 2018 May; 13: 802-4 [Cited on 2019 May25]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC59 69474/.
- [5]. Sheela P.H, Sebastian NM, Jesha MM, AryaS. Nath. Burden of Renal failure among adults in Rural Kerala: A community based study. Indian Journal of Forensic and Community Medicine. [Internet] 2016 December; 3(4): 288-291 [Cited on 2019 March 3]. Available from: https://www.innovativepublication.com/innovativefile?file=IJFCM_3%26%2340 %3B4%26%2341%3B_288-291.pdf.
- [6]. Asgari MR, Asghari F, Ghods AA, Ghorbani R, HoshmandMotlagh N, Rahaei F. Incidence and severity of nausea and vomiting in a group of maintenance hemodialysis patients. Journal of Renal Injury Prevention. [Internet] 2016 Sep 3;6(1):49-55[Cited on 2019 March 3]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5414519.
- [7]. Manmaya R1 ,Nona S. Quality of Life of Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis in Selected Hospital. International Journal of Nursing Research and Practice.[Internet] 2017 January —June;4(1):40-47[Cited on 2020 January 4].Available from: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Quality-of-Life-of- Patients-Undergoing-Hemodialysis RanaShakya/ 7cb 33a beaf6 d7c17f2 106a09 bbee327ac0501961.
- [8]. Maria C C, Carolina A, Milton U, Sergio D, LuizAN, Riccardo . Quality of lifein patients with chronic kidney disease .[Internet] 2011; 66(6): 991-5 [Cited on 2019 April 12]. Available from: https://www.Researchgate.net/publication/51540046Quality_of_life_in_patients_with_chronic_kidney_disease.
- [9]. Hafeeq B, Gopinathan JC, Aziz F, Narayanan S, Velikkalagath I, Aboobacker IN, Uvais NA. The expanding role of "stand-alone" hemodialysis units in chronic renal replacement therapy A descriptive study from North Kerala.Indian Journal of Public Health.[Internet] 2019 Apr 1;63(2):157[Cited on 2019 March 21]. Availablefrom:http://www.ijph.in/article.asp?issn=0019557X;year=2019;volume =63;issue=2;spage=157;epage=159;aulast=Hafeeq.
- [10]. The Hindu, Rising CKD burden weighting State down. [Internet] 2019 March [Cited on 2019 May 5]. Available from: https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/kerala/rising-ckd-burden-weighting-state-down/article2654 8 464.ece.
- [11]. Murali R, Sathyanarayana D, Muthusethupathy M A. Assessment of quality of life in chronic kidney disease patients using the kidney disease quality of life- short form TM questionnaire in Indian population: a community based study. [Internet] 2014 August 23; 8(1):271-74 [Cited on 2019 March 3]. Available from https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ajpcr/article.
- [12]. Veena D Joshi. Quality of life in end stage renal disease patients. World Journal of Nephrology. [Internet] 2014 November 6;3(4):308-316 [Cited on 2019 April 12]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4220366/pdf/ WJN-3-308.