

Uniform Order Continuous Block Hybrid Method for the Solution of First Order Ordinary Differential Equations

¹A.A. James, ²A.O. Adesanya, ³J. Sunday

¹Mathematics Division, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria

²Department of Mathematics, ModibboAdama University of Technology, Yola, Nigeria.

³Department of Mathematical Sciences, Adamawa State University, Mubi, Nigeria.

Abstract: We know that for any numerical method to be efficient and computational reliable, it must be convergent, consistent, and stable. This paper adopted the method of interpolation of the approximate solution and collocation of its differential system at grid and off grid points to yield a continuous linear multistep method with a constant step size. The continuous linear multistep method is solved for the independent solution to yield a continuous block method which is evaluated at selected grid and off grid points to yield a discrete block method. The basic property of this method is verified to be convergent consistent and satisfies the conditions for stability. The method was tested on numerical examples and found to compete favorably with the existing methods in term of accuracy and error variation.

Keywords: interpolation, IVP, ODEs, collocation, approximate solution, independent solution, block method, convergent.

I. INTRODUCTION

It has been established that a given linear or non-linear equations does not have a complete solution that can be expressed in terms of a finite number of elementary functions (Ross. 1964; Humi and Miller, 1988). It has also been established that such problems could be solved by seeking an approximate solution by adopting interpolation and collocation method.

In this paper, we consider a numerical method for solving first order initial value problems of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0 \quad (1)$$

Many scholars have worked on the development of a continuous linear multistep in finding solution to (1). These authors proposed method with different basis functions, among them are Serisena, Onumanyi and Chollom (2001), Awoyemi, Ademiluyi and Amuseghan (2008), Ikhile (2008), Adeniyi, Deyefa and Alabi (2006), Fatokun, Onumanyi and Serisena (2005), Badmus and Mishelia (2011), Olorunsola and Enoch (2011), Umaru (2011), Yahaya and Kumlemg (2007), Ibijola, Skwane and Kumlemg (2011), James et, al (2012), Adesanya, Odekunle, and James (2012) to mention few. These authors proposed method ranging from predictor corrector method to discrete block method.

In this paper, we propose a continuous block method which when evaluated at selected grid points gives a discrete block which the authors mentioned above had proposed. The continuous block possesses the same properties as the continuous linear multistep method. This paper is partitioned into sections as follows: Section two is methodology involved in deriving the continuous multistep method and the continuous block method. Section three considers the analysis of the block method viz; the order, zero stability and the region of absolute stability. Section four considers the numerical examples where we test our method on first order ordinary differential equation and compare our result with existing methods.

II. METHODOLOGY

Consider a monomial power approximate solution in the form

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{s+r-1} a_j x^j \quad (2)$$

where r and s are interpolation and collocation points respectively. The first derivative of (2) gives

$$y'(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{s+r-1} j a_j x^{j-1} \quad (3)$$

Substituting (3) into (1) gives

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{s+r-1} j a_j x^{j-1} \quad (4)$$

collocating (4) at $x_{n+s}, s = 0(\frac{1}{12})1$ and interpolating (2) at x_n gives and a system of non-linear equation in the form

$$AX = U \quad (5)$$

where

$$A = [a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}]^T$$

X

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & x_n^3 & x_n^4 & x_n^5 & x_n^6 & x_n^7 & x_n^8 & x_n^9 & x_n^{10} & x_n^{11} & x_n^{12} & x_n^{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_n & 3x_n^2 & 4x_n^3 & 5x_n^4 & 6x_n^5 & 7x_n^6 & 8x_n^7 & 9x_n^8 & 10x_n^9 & 11x_n^{10} & 12x_n^{11} & 13x_n^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{1}{12}} & 3x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{1}{12}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{1}{6}} & 3x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{1}{6}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{1}{4}} & 3x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{1}{3}} & 3x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{1}{3}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{5}{12}} & 3x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{5}{12}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{1}{2}} & 3x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{7}{12}} & 3x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{7}{12}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{2}{3}} & 3x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{2}{3}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{3}{4}} & 3x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{5}{6}} & 3x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{5}{6}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+\frac{11}{12}} & 3x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^2 & 4x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^3 & 5x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^4 & 6x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^5 & 7x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^6 & 8x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^7 & 9x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^8 & 10x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^9 & 11x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^{10} & 12x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^{11} & 13x_{n+\frac{11}{12}}^{12} \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_{n+1} & 3x_{n+1}^2 & 4x_{n+1}^3 & 5x_{n+1}^4 & 6x_{n+1}^5 & 7x_{n+1}^6 & 8x_{n+1}^7 & 9x_{n+1}^8 & 10x_{n+1}^9 & 11x_{n+1}^{10} & 12x_{n+1}^{11} & 13x_{n+1}^{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving (5) for the a_j s and substituting back into (2) gives a continuous multistep method in the form

$$y(x) = \alpha_0 y_n + h \sum_{j=0}^{13} \beta_j(x) f_{n+j} \quad (6)$$

Where $\alpha_0=1$ and the coefficients of f_{n+j} gives

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{63063000} (90\ 296156160t^{13} - 635\ 835432960t^{12} + 2013\ 478871040t^{11} - 3788\ 519454720t^{10} + 4714\ 062312960t^9 - 4084\ 497537120t^8 + 2526\ 651372960t^7 - 1125\ 143019000t^6 + 358\ 834932456t^5 - 80\ 422096755t^4 + 12\ 206383280\ t^3 - 1174186650t^2 + 63063000t)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{1}{12}} = \left(-\frac{1}{875875}\right) (15\ 049359360t^{13} - 104\ 613949440t^{12} + 326\ 069452800t^{11} - 601\ 530209280t^{10} + 729\ 979810560t^9 - 612\ 313982280t^8 + 362\ 792944800\ t^7 - 152\ 252300200t^6 + 44\ 580592056t^5 - 8759871120t^4 + 1061078200t^3 - 63063000\ t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{1}{6}} = \left(\frac{1}{875875}\right) (82\ 771476480t^{13} - 567\ 904296960t^{12} + 1742\ 433638400t^{11} - 3153\ 363333120t^{10} + 3737\ 267614080t^9 - 3043\ 385064720t^8 + 1736\ 404698600t^7 - 693\ 746853800t^6 + 190\ 182650658t^5 - 34\ 098869805t^4 + 3611658050\ t^3 - 173423250t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{1}{4}} = \left(-\frac{1}{1576575}\right) (496\ 628858880t^{13} - 3362\ 591232000t^{12} + 10157\ 063454720t^{11} - 18043\ 664550912\ t^{10} + 20915\ 213679360t^9 - 16582\ 332606840\ t^8 + 9158\ 773235040t^7 - 3516\ 977744280\ t^6 + 918\ 498805224t^5 - 155\ 290655520t^4 + 15\ 371556200t^3 - 693693000t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{1}{3}} = \left(\frac{1}{1401400}\right) (993\ 257717760t^{13} - 6635\ 513364480t^{12} + 19735\ 353630720t^{11} - 34437\ 417670656\ t^{10} + 39100\ 086305280t^9 - 30266\ 940543840\ t^8 + 16262\ 902038240t^7 - 6052\ 111305240\ t^6 + 1526\ 339734920t^5 - 248\ 668174755\ t^4 + 23\ 751027300t^3 - 1040539500t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{5}{21}} = \left(\frac{1}{875875}\right) (993\ 257717760t^{13} - 6545\ 844264960t^{12} + 19172\ 883824640t^{11} - 32886\ 142248960\ t^{10} + 36629\ 619586560t^9 - 27759\ 338727120\ t^8 + 14574\ 432375360t^7 - 5291\ 779693200\ t^6 + 1301\ 294410416t^5 - 206\ 885558880\ t^4 + 19\ 333794480t^3 - 832431600t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{1}{3753755}\right) (496\ 628858880t^{13} - 3228\ 087582720t^{12} + 9313\ 358745600t^{11} - 15714\ 509690880\ t^{10} + 17197\ 059559680t^9 - 12791\ 390451840\ t^8 + 6587\ 216578800t^7 - 2345\ 743600200\ t^6 + 566\ 145968388t^5 - 88\ 480301910t^4 + 8148240100t^3 - 346846500t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{7}{12}} = \left(-\frac{1}{875875}\right)(993\ 257717760t^{13} - 6366\ 506065920t^{12} + 18096\ 854630400t^{11} - 30061\ 565614080\ t^{10} \\ + 32370\ 337359360t^9 - 23686\ 439016240\ t^8 + 12002\ 205758400t^7 - 4208\ 405401200\ t^6 \\ + 1001\ 300476176t^5 - 154\ 528133760\ t^4 + 14\ 081667600t^3 - 594594000t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{2}{3}} = \left(\frac{1}{1401400}\right)(993\ 257717760t^{13} - 6276\ 836966400t^{12} + 17583\ 295242240t^{11} - 28779\ 297490944\ t^{10} \\ + 30536\ 687301120t^9 - 22026\ 957432480\ t^8 + 11010\ 721344480t^7 - 3812\ 678829960\ t^6 \\ + 897\ 041193048t^5 - 137\ 108736765t^4 + 12\ 395783400t^3 - 520269750t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{3}{4}} = \left(-\frac{1}{1576575}\right)(496\ 628858880t^{13} - 3093\ 583933440t^{12} + 8543\ 019663360t^{11} - 13788\ 865778688\ t^{10} \\ + 14436\ 621239040t^9 - 10283\ 837283720\ t^8 + 5081\ 864879520t^7 - 1741\ 654834920\ t^6 \\ + 406\ 102777080t^5 - 61\ 600178640t^4 + 5534929400t^3 - 231231000t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{5}{6}} = \left(\frac{1}{875875}\right)(82\ 771476480t^{13} - 508\ 124897280t^{12} + 1383\ 757240320t^{11} - 2204\ 365363200t^{10} \\ + 2280\ 144746880t^9 - 1606\ 487042160t^8 + 786\ 109432680t^7 - 267\ 108041200t^6 \\ + 61\ 823980218\ t^5 - 9320275965t^4 + 833322490t^3 - 34684650\ t^2)$$

$$\beta_{\frac{11}{12}} = \left(-\frac{1}{875875}\right)(15\ 049359360t^{13} - 91\ 027722240t^{12} + 244\ 552089600t^{11} - 384\ 829885440t^{10} \\ + 393\ 720687360t^9 - 274\ 725130680t^8 + 133\ 301282400\ t^7 - 44\ 965520600t^6 \\ + 10\ 343749416t^5 - 1551469920t^4 + 138156200t^3 - 5733000\ t^2)$$

$$\beta_1 = \left(\frac{1}{63063000}\right)(90\ 296156160t^{13} - 538\ 014597120t^{12} + 1426\ 553856000t^{11} - 2219\ 310213120t^{10} \\ + 2248\ 162076160t^9 - 1555\ 315201440t^8 + 749\ 148285600t^7 - 251\ 136685800t^6 \\ + 57\ 470909496\ t^5 - 8583459885t^4 + 761770100t^3 - 31531500\ t^2)$$

Where $t = \frac{x-x_n}{h}$. Solving (6) for the independent solution gives a continuous block method in the form

$$y_{n+k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-1} \frac{(jh)^m}{m!} y_n^{(m)} + h^\mu \sum_{j=0}^s \sigma_j(x) f_{n+j} \quad (7)$$

Where μ is the order of the differential equation, s is the collocation points. Hence the coefficient of f_{n+j} in (7)

$$\sigma_0 = \left(\frac{1}{63063000}\right)(90\ 296156160t^{13} - 635\ 835432960t^{12} + 2013\ 478871040t^{11} - 3788\ 519454720t^{10} \\ + 4714\ 062312960t^9 - 4084\ 497537120t^8 + 2526\ 651372960t^7 - 1125\ 143019000t^6 \\ + 358\ 834932456t^5 - 80\ 422096755t^4 + 12\ 206383280\ t^3 - 1174186650t^2 + 63063000t)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{1}{12}} = \left(-\frac{1}{875875}\right)(15\ 049359360t^{13} - 104\ 613949440t^{12} + 326\ 069452800t^{11} - 601\ 530209280t^{10} \\ + 729\ 979810560t^9 - 612\ 313982280t^8 + 362\ 792944800\ t^7 - 152\ 252300200t^6 \\ + 44\ 580592056t^5 - 8759871120t^4 + 1061078200t^3 - 63063000\ t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{1}{6}} = \left(\frac{1}{875875}\right)(82\ 771476480t^{13} - 567\ 904296960t^{12} + 1742\ 433638400t^{11} - 3153\ 363333120t^{10} \\ + 3737\ 267614080t^9 - 3043\ 385064720t^8 + 1736\ 404698600t^7 - 693\ 746853800t^6 \\ + 190\ 182650658t^5 - 34\ 098869805t^4 + 3611658050\ t^3 - 173423250t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{1}{4}} = \left(-\frac{1}{1576575}\right)(496\ 628858880t^{13} - 3362\ 591232000t^{12} + 10157\ 063454720t^{11} - 18043\ 664550912\ t^{10} \\ + 20915\ 213679360t^9 - 16582\ 332606840\ t^8 + 9158\ 773235040t^7 - 3516\ 977744280\ t^6 \\ + 918\ 498805224t^5 - 155\ 290655520t^4 + 15\ 371556200t^3 - 693693000t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{1}{3}} = \left(\frac{1}{1401400}\right)(993\ 257717760t^{13} - 6635\ 513364480t^{12} + 19735\ 353630720t^{11} - 34437\ 417670656\ t^{10} \\ + 39100\ 086305280t^9 - 30266\ 940543840\ t^8 + 16262\ 902038240t^7 - 6052\ 111305240\ t^6 \\ + 1526\ 339734920t^5 - 248\ 668174755\ t^4 + 23\ 751027300t^3 - 1040539500t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{5}{21}} = \left(\frac{1}{875875}\right)(993\ 257717760t^{13} - 6545\ 844264960t^{12} + 19172\ 883824640t^{11} - 32886\ 142248960\ t^{10} \\ + 36629\ 619586560t^9 - 27759\ 338727120\ t^8 + 14574\ 432375360t^7 - 5291\ 779693200\ t^6 \\ + 1301\ 294410416t^5 - 206\ 885558880\ t^4 + 19\ 333794480t^3 - 832431600t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{1}{375375}\right)(496\ 628858880t^{13} - 3228\ 087582720t^{12} + 9313\ 358745600t^{11} - 15714\ 509690880\ t^{10} \\ + 17197\ 059559680t^9 - 12791\ 390451840\ t^8 + 6587\ 216578800t^7 - 2345\ 743600200\ t^6 \\ + 566\ 145968388t^5 - 88\ 480301910t^4 + 8148240100t^3 - 346846500t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{7}{12}} = \left(-\frac{1}{875875}\right)(993\ 257717760t^{13} - 6366\ 506065920t^{12} + 18096\ 854630400t^{11} - 30061\ 565614080\ t^0$$

$$+ 32370\ 337359360t^9 - 23686\ 439016240\ t^8 + 12002\ 205758400t^7 - 4208\ 405401200\ t^6$$

$$+ 1001\ 300476176t^5 - 154\ 528133760\ t^4 + 14\ 081667600t^3 - 594594000t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{2}{3}} = \left(\frac{1}{1401400}\right)(993\ 257717760t^{13} - 6276\ 836966400t^{12} + 17583\ 295242240t^{11} - 28779\ 297490944\ t^0$$

$$+ 30536\ 687301120t^9 - 22026\ 957432480\ t^8 + 11010\ 721344480t^7 - 3812\ 678829960\ t^6$$

$$+ 897\ 041193048t^5 - 137\ 108736765t^4 + 12\ 395783400t^3 - 520269750t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{3}{4}} = \left(-\frac{1}{1576575}\right)(496\ 628858880t^{13} - 3093\ 583933440t^{12} + 8543\ 019663360t^{11} - 13788\ 865778688\ t^0$$

$$+ 14436\ 621239040t^9 - 10283\ 837283720\ t^8 + 5081\ 864879520t^7 - 1741\ 654834920\ t^6$$

$$+ 406\ 102777080t^5 - 61\ 600178640t^4 + 5534929400t^3 - 231231000t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{5}{6}} = \left(\frac{1}{875875}\right)(82\ 771476480t^{13} - 508\ 124897280t^{12} + 1383\ 757240320t^{11} - 2204\ 365363200t^0$$

$$+ 2280\ 144746880t^9 - 1606\ 487042160t^8 + 786\ 109432680t^7 - 267\ 108041200t^6$$

$$+ 61\ 823980218\ t^5 - 9320275965t^4 + 833322490t^3 - 34684650\ t^2)$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{11}{12}} = \left(-\frac{1}{875875}\right)(15\ 049359360t^{13} - 91\ 027722240t^{12} + 244\ 552089600t^{11} - 384\ 829885440t^0$$

$$+ 393\ 720687360t^9 - 274\ 725130680t^8 + 133\ 301282400\ t^7 - 44\ 965520600t^6$$

$$+ 10\ 343749416t^5 - 1551469920t^4 + 138156200t^3 - 5733000\ t^2)$$

$$\sigma_1 = \left(\frac{1}{63063000}\right)(90\ 296156160t^{13} - 538\ 014597120t^{12} + 1426\ 553856000t^{11} - 2219\ 310213120t^0$$

$$+ 2248\ 162076160t^9 - 1555\ 315201440t^8 + 749\ 148285600t^7 - 251\ 136685800t^6$$

$$+ 57\ 470909496\ t^5 - 8583459885t^4 + 761770100t^3 - 31531500\ t^2)$$

wheret = $\frac{x-x_n}{h}$. Evaluating (7) at $t = \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{12}\right) 1$ gives a discrete block formula of the form

$$Y_m = ey_n + hdf(y_n) + hdf(Y_m) \tag{8}$$

where e, d, are r x r matrix

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{703\ 604254357}{31384\ 1848320000} & \frac{5389909963}{245\ 188944000} & \frac{2846527447}{2846527447} & \frac{337524401}{337524401} & \frac{337524401}{251\ 073478656} & \frac{22226233}{1009008000} \\ \frac{14\ 110554661}{640\ 493568000} & \frac{42194069}{1915538625} & \frac{316182879}{14\ 350336000} & \frac{43189735}{1961511552} & \frac{62\ 984859487}{2853\ 107712000} & \frac{1364651}{63063000} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Where

$$Y_m = \left[y_{n+\frac{1}{12}}, y_{n+\frac{1}{6}}, y_{n+\frac{1}{4}}, y_{n+\frac{1}{3}}, y_{n+\frac{5}{12}}, y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, y_{n+\frac{7}{12}}, y_{n+\frac{2}{3}}, y_{n+\frac{3}{4}}, y_{n+\frac{5}{6}}, y_{n+\frac{11}{12}}, y_{n+1} \right]^T$$

$$e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

b

65595204069	3124479557	312447557	1088736807	10782568	6356014075	4258669	1801703687	97021984	1089693351	251075	71 948596621
5283538000	20432412000	20432412000	7175168000	70945875	41 845579776	28028000	11 860992000	638512875	7175168000	1651104	475 517952000
-551368413119	-204380453	-204380453	-313816983	-57330731	-621292025	626753	-4759173167	-19044664	-319199319	-3685475	-6778054943
2615348736000	1702701000	1702701000	3587584000	638512875	6974263296	7007000	53 374464000	212837625	3587584000	40864824	79 252992000
1346577425651	4205603953	4205603953	5391558017	34799384	56 513804875	-22979547	28 865441507	173147168	129141669	222937625	124 688893241
3138418483200	12259447200	12259447200	12 915302400	76621545	125 536739328	50450400	64 049356800	383107725	287006720	490377888	285 310771200
-485500845331	-3155251013	-3155251013	-1791341793	-190748297	-14 484644875	11991297	-7428299011	-22373536	-1492786017	-116058125	30 870004121
697426329600	544864200	5448643200	2870067200	340540200	27 897053184	14014000	14 233190400	42567525	2870067200	217945728	-63 402393600
84400835489	25092201427	2509201427	2798863731	17826416	5650941085	-261301	1671183857	181696448	3022272243	2623255	61 857374491
96864768000	3405402000	3405402000	3587584000	23648625	6974263296	375375	1976832000	212837625	3587584000	3027024	79 252992000
-4874320027	-64989161	-64989161	-17986247	-66615022	-34866775	7456767	-538451347	-60377264	-5153427	-496025	-295744207
5837832000	91216125	91216125	24024000	91216125	46702656	14014000	833976000	91216125	8008000	729729	530712000
529394045911	197190853	197190853	1956432141	113671024	420296075	7456767	10 274643463	14992192	2179840653	17733425	4547652989
8717829122000	378378000	37878000	3587584000	212837625	774918144	14014000	17 791488000	23648625	3587584000	27243216	8805888000
-2298824484333	-1547394763	-1547394763	-850640031	-19812941	-8218635125	-6520377	-4231355261	-10913087	-110416851	-51743875	-7631971303
697426329600	5448643200	5448643200	2870067200	68108040	27 897053184	22422400	14 233190400	42567525	574013440	217945728	63 402393600
406332786317	1371522703	1371522703	1505129471	43882936	14 540159125	7582549	7450297757	43033184	213882951	109574375	39 212107847
3138418483200	122259447200	122259447200	12 915302400	383107725	125 536739328	50450400	64 049356800	383107725	1435033600	490377888	285 310771200
-30336027563	-153932609	-153932609	-112465833	-6564377	-651875285	-216343	-555778139	-19496392	-117848169	-3935	21 516774301
8717829122000	5108103000	5108103000	3587584000	212837625	20 922789888	7007000	17 791488000	638512875	3587584000	13621608	237 758976000
2724891251	1025593	1025593	37067097	3247592	23872925	142739	549114433	358496	38023641	673175	1701850139
475517952000	206388000	206388000	7175168000	638512875	4649508864	28028000	106 748928000	70945875	7175168000	163459296	52 835328000
-136955779093	-92953787	-92953787	-50840663	-5942359	-98236025	-391817	-250951589	-739276	-5746911	673175	-2224234463
31384184832000	245188944000	245188944000	129 153024000	15 324309000	251 073478656	1009008000	640 493568000	1915538625	14 350336000	-1961511552	2853 107712000

III. ANALYSIS OF THE BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE NEW BLOCK METHOD

ORDER OF THE METHOD

Let the linear operator $L\{y(x): h\}$ associated with the block formula be defined as

$$L\{y(x): h\} = A^{(0)}Y_m - ey_n - h^\mu df(y_n) - h^\mu bF(Y_m) \quad (9)$$

expanding in Taylor series expansion and comparing the coefficient of h gives

$$L\{y(x); h\} = c_0y(x) + c_1hy'(x) + c_1hy''(x) \dots c_p h^p y^{(p)}(x) + c_{p+1}h^{p+1}y^{(p+1)}(x) + c_{p+2}h^{p+2}y^{(p+2)} \quad (10)$$

Definition:

The linear operator L and the associated continuous linear multistep method (9) are said to be of order p if $c_0 = c_1 = c_2 \dots = c_p = 0$ and $c_{p+1} \neq 0$ is called the error constant and implies that the local truncation error is given by $t_{n+k} = C_{p+1}h^{p+1}y^{(p+1)}(x_n) + O(h^{p+2})$. For our method, Expanding in Taylor series expansion gives and equating coefficients of the Taylor series expansion to zero yield a constant order 13 with the following error constants

$$c_0 = c_1 = \dots c_{12} = 0, c_{14} = [-2.659(-11) - 2.5088(-11) - 2.4920(-11) - 2.495(-11) - 2.4941(-11) - 2.4944(-11) - 2.494(-11) - 2.4984(-11) - 2.4887(-11) - 2.45485(-11) - 2.3367(-11) \dots]^T$$

Zero Stability

Definition: The block (8) is said to be zero stable, if the roots $Z_s, s=1,2,\dots,N$ of the characteristic polynomial $\rho(z)$ defined by $\rho(z) \det(zA^{(0)} - E)$ satisfies $|z_s| \leq 1$ and every root satisfying $|z_s| \leq 1$ have multiplicity not exceeding the order of the differential equation. Moreover as $h \rightarrow 0, \rho(z) = z^{r-\mu}(z-1)^\mu$ where μ is the order of the differential equation, r is the order of the matrix $A^{(0)}$ and E (see Awoyemi et al.[6] for details).

For our method

$$\rho(z) = z \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Since $\rho(z) = z^{12}(z-1)$ gives roots that lie within 0 and 1, hence our method is zero stable.

IV. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Notation used in the table

ERA→Error in Areo et al. (2012)

ERB→Error in Badmus and Mishelia (2012)

Problem 1

We consider a linear first order ordinary differential equation

$$y' = x - y, y(0) = 0, 0 \leq x \leq 1, h = 0.1$$

Exact solution : $y(x) = x + e^{-x} - 1$

This problem was solved by Areo et al. (2011) using block method of order seven. They adopted classical RungeKutta method to provide the starting values. The result is shown in table 1

Table 1 showing results for problem 1

x	Exact Result	Computed Result	Error in our method	ERA
0.1	0.004837418035959	0.00483741805555	1.9595(-11)	0.000
0.2	0.01873075307798	0.01873075311344	3.54623(-11)	0.000
0.3	0.04081822068171	0.04081822072989	4.81315(-11)	6.0(-10)
0.4	0.07032004603563	0.07032004609377	5.80680(-11)	2.0(-10)
0.5	0.10653065971263	0.10653065977831	6.56779(-11)	7.0(-10)
0.6	0.14881163609402	0.14881163616533	7.13132(-11)	1.0(-10)
0.7	0.19658530379140	0.19658530386669	7.52814(-11)	8.0(-10)
0.8	0.24932896411722	0.24932896419507	7.78485(-11)	2.0(-10)
0.9	0.30656965974059	0.30656965981984	7.92403(-11)	9.0(-10)
1.0	0.36787944117144	0.36787941251113	7.96712(-11)	4.0(-10)

Problem 2 $y' = xy, y(0) = 1, h = 0.1$

Exact solution: $y(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2}$

This problem was solved by Badmus and Mishelia (2011) using self-starting block method of order six, the result is shown in Table 2

Table 2 showing results for Problem 2

x	Exact Result	Computed Result	Error in our method	ERB
0.1	1.00501252085940	1.0001252083353	2.6067(-11)	5.29(-07)
0.2	1.02020134002675	1.0202013399419	8.4790(-11)	1.77(-07)
0.3	1.04602785990871	1.0460278597221	1.8684(-10)	8.99(-07)
0.4	1.08327067674958	1.0832870673239	3.5701(-10)	3.09(-06)
0.5	1.13314845306682	1.1331485245627	6.1054(-09)	1.91(-06)
0.6	1.19721736312118	1.1972173621060	1.0157(-09)	4.48(-06)
0.7	1.27762131320488	1.2776213115603	1.6445(-09)	1.02(-05)
0.8	1.37712776433595	1.3771277617200	2.6158(-09)	7.74(-06)
0.9	1.49930250005676	1.4993024959457	4.1110(-09)	1.44(-05)
1.0	1.64872127070012	1.6487212642939	6.4070(-09)	2.93(-05)

V. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULT

We have considered two numerical examples to test the efficiency of our method. Problem 1 was solved by Areo et al. (2012). They proposed a hybrid method of order seven and adopted classical RungeKutta method to provide the starting values. The new method gave better approximation because the proposed method is self-starting and does not require starting values. Problem 2 was solved by Badmus and Mishelia (2012). They adopted self-starting block methods of order six. Our method gave better approximation because the iteration per step in the new method was lower than the method proposed by Badmus and Mishelia (2012)

VI. CONCLUSION

We have proposed an order seven continuous hybrid method for the solution of first order ordinary differential equations. Our method was found to be zero stable, consistent and converges. The numerical examples show that our method gave better accuracy than the existing methods.

Reference

- [1] Areo, E.A, Ademiluyi, R.A and Babatola, P.O. (2011). "Three-step hybrid linear multistep method for the solution of first order initial value problems in ordinary differential equations", *J.N.A.M.P*,19,261-266
- [2] Awoyemi, D.O, Ademiluyi, R.A and Amusegham,(2007). "Off-grid points exploitation in the development of more accurate collocation method for solution of ODEs", *J.N.A.M.P*. 12, 379-386
- [3] Badmus, A.M and Mishehia, D.W (2011), "Some uniform order block methods for the solution of first ordinary differential equation", *J. N.A.M. P*, 19, 149-154
- [4] Fatokun, J, Onumanyi, P and Serisena, U.V (2005), "Solution of first order system of ordering differential equation by finite difference methods with arbitrary". *J.N.A.M.P*, 30-40.
- [5] Ibijola, E.A, Skwame, Y anKumleng G. (2011). "Formation of hybrid method of higher step-size, through the continuous multistep collation, American J. of Scientific and Industrial Research, 2(2), 161-1732)
- [6] Salmon H. Abbas (2006). Derivation of a new block method similar to the block trapezoidal rule for the numerical solution of first order IVPs.*Science Echoes*, 2 10-24
- [7] Salmon H. Abbas (2006). Derivation of a new block method similar to the block trapezoidal rule for the numerical solution of first order IVPs.*Science Echoes*, 2 10-24
- [8] Yahaya, Y.A and Kimleng, G.M. (2007). "Continuous of two-step type method with large region of absolute stability", *J.N.A.M.P*, 11, 261-268
- [9] Zarina B.I., Mohamed, S., Kharil, I and Zanariah, M (2005). "Block method for generalized multistep method Adams and backward differential formulae in solving first order ODEs, *MATHEMATIKA*, 25-33
- [10] Zarina B.I., Mohamed, S., Kharil, I and Zanariah, M (2005). "Block method for generalized multistep method Adams and backward differential formulae in solving first order ODEs, *MATHEMATIKA*, 25-33