

Periodic solutions for a class of second order higher-dimensional functional differential equations

Lili Wang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Anyang Normal University, Anyang, Henan 455000, China

Abstract:

By using Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem in cones to study the existence of periodic solutions for a higher-dimensional of second order nonlinear functional differential equations of the form

$$x''(t) + A(t)x'(t) + B(t)x(t) = \lambda C(t)f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau(t))), t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where

$$A(t) = \text{diag}[a_1(t), a_2(t), \dots, a_n(t)], B(t) = \text{diag}[b_1(t), b_2(t), \dots, b_n(t)], C(t) = \text{diag}[c_1(t), \dots, c_n(t)],$$

$a_j, b_j, c_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $\tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are all continuous T -periodic functions, $\lambda > 0$, $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and T -periodic function.

Keywords: Periodic solution; Delay differential equations; Fixed point theorem; Parameter

Date of Submission: 13-11-2020

Date of Acceptance: 29-11-2020

I. Introduction

In the past two decades, nonlinear second-order differential equations have developed very rapidly owing to their many applications in almost all the branches of science. For example, Liu and Ge [1] investigated the following nonlinear Duffing equation with delay and variable coefficients:

$$x''(t) + p(t)x'(t) + q(t)x(t) = \lambda h(t)f(t, x(t - \tau(t))) + r(t).$$

The existence and nonexistence of positive periodic solutions are obtained with suitable conditions imposed on f by using a fixed point theorem in cones.

However, there are few results on the existence of periodic solutions for higher-dimensional of high order functional differential equations. Motivated by the works of [1-8], in this paper, we shall use Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem in cones to study the existence of periodic solutions for a higher-dimensional of second order nonlinear functional differential equations with periodic coefficients

$$x''(t) + A(t)x'(t) + B(t)x(t) = \lambda C(t)f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau(t))), t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

where

(A1)

$$A(t) = \text{diag}[a_1(t), a_2(t), \dots, a_n(t)], B(t) = \text{diag}[b_1(t), b_2(t), \dots, b_n(t)], C(t) = \text{diag}[c_1(t), \dots, c_n(t)];$$

(A2) $a_j, b_j, c_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $\tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are all continuous T -periodic functions, and $\int_0^T a_j(s)ds > 0$,

$$\int_0^T b_j(s)ds > 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

(A3) f is a function defined on $\mathbb{R} \times BC \times \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying $f(t+T, x(t+T), y) = f(t, x(t), y)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in BC$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where BC denotes the Banach space of bounded continuous functions

$\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm $\|\eta\| = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=1}^n |\eta_j(\theta)|$ where $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)^T$. In the sequel, we

denote $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)^T$.

Let $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$, $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_j > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We say that x is positive whenever $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. For every $x = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the norm of x is defined as

$|x_0| = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$. $BC(X \rightarrow Y)$ denotes the set of bounded continuous function $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$.

For convenience, we first introduce the related definition and the fixed point theorem applied in the paper.

Definition 1.1 Let X be a Banach space and K be a closed nonempty subset of X , K is a cone if

- (1) $\alpha u + \beta v \in K$ for all $u, v \in K$ and all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$;
- (2) $u, -u \in K$ imply $u = 0$.

Theorem 1.1 (Krasnoselkii [9]) Let X be a Banach space, and let $K \subset X$ be a cone in X . Assume that Ω_1, Ω_2 are open bounded subsets of X with $0 \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$, and let

$$\phi: K \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$$

be a completely continuous operator such that either

- (1) $\|\phi y\| \leq \|y\|, \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|\phi y\| \geq \|y\|, \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or
- (2) $\|\phi y\| \geq \|y\|, \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|\phi y\| \leq \|y\|, \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then ϕ has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus K \cap \partial\Omega_1)$.

In this paper we always assume that

- (H1) $f_j(t, \xi, \eta) \geq 0$ for all $(t, \xi, \eta) \in R \times BC(R, R_+^n) \times R_+^n, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

II. Some preparation

Let T be a positive constant. We define two sets

$$X = \{x: C(R, R^n), x(t+T) = x(t), t \in R\}$$

endow with the usual linear structure as well as the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup_{t \in R} \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j(t)|, |x|_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j(t)|,$$

and

$$K = \left\{ x \in X, x_j(t) \geq \sigma \|x_j\|, t \in [0, T], x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \right\}.$$

Obviously, X is a Banach space and K is a cone.

Similar to the proof in [1], we can get:

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that (A1, A2) holds and

$$\frac{R_{1j} [\exp(\int_0^T a_j(u) du) - 1]}{Q_{1j} T} \geq 1, \tag{2}$$

$$R_{1j} = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_t^{t+T} \frac{\exp(\int_t^s a_j(u) du)}{\exp(\int_0^T a_j(u) du) - 1} b_j(s) ds \right|, Q_{1j} = \left(1 + \exp(\int_0^T a_j(u) du) \right)^2 R_{1j}^2.$$

Then there exist continuous T -periodic functions p_j and q_j such that $q_j(t) > 0, \int_0^T p_j(u) du > 0$, and

$$p_j(t) + q_j(t) = a_j(t), q_j'(t) + p_j(t)q_j(t) = b_j(t) \text{ for all } t \in R, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore

$$p(t) + q(t) = A(t), q'(t) + p(t)q(t) = B(t), t \in R,$$

where $p = \text{diag}[p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n], q = \text{diag}[q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n]$.

Similar to the proof in [3], we can get the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose the conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold and $\varphi(t) \in X$. Then the equation

$$x''(t) + A(t)x'(t) + B(t)x(t) = \varphi(t) \tag{3}$$

has a T -periodic solution. Moreover, the periodic solutions can be expressed by

$$x(t) = \int_t^{t+T} G(t, s)\varphi(s)ds, \tag{4}$$

where
and

$$G(t, s) = \text{diag}[G_1(t, s), G_2(t, s), \dots, G_n(t, s)],$$

$$G_j(t, s) = \frac{\int_t^s \exp[\int_t^u q_j(v)dv + \int_u^s p_j(v)dv]du + \int_s^{t+T} \exp[\int_t^u q_j(v)dv + \int_u^{s+T} p_j(v)dv]du}{[\exp(\int_0^T p_j(u)du) - 1][\exp(\int_0^T q_j(u)du) - 1]}.$$

So Eq.(1) has a T -periodic solution, it can be expressed by

$$x(t) = \int_t^{t+T} G(t, s)\lambda C(s) f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))ds, \tag{5}$$

and by (H1), we have

$$G_j(t, s)\lambda c_j(s)f_j(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, (t, s) \in R^2.$$

Corollary 2.1. Green's function $G(t, s)$ satisfies the following properties:

$$G_j(t, t+T) = G_j(t, t), \quad G_j(t+T, s+T) = G_j(t, s),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G_j(t, s) = p_j(s)G_j(t, s) - \frac{\exp \int_t^s q_j(v)dv}{\exp \int_0^T q_j(v)dv - 1},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_j(t, s) = -q_j(s)G_j(t, s) + \frac{\exp \int_t^s p_j(v)dv}{\exp \int_0^T p_j(v)dv - 1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $H_j = \int_0^T a_j(u)du, I_j = T^2 \exp(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \ln b_j(u)du)$. If $H_j^2 \geq 4I_j$, $\tag{6}$

then

$$\min \left\{ \int_0^T p_j(u)du, \int_0^T q_j(u)du \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2} (H_j - \sqrt{H_j^2 - 4I_j}) := l_j,$$

$$\max \left\{ \int_0^T p_j(u)du, \int_0^T q_j(u)du \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} (H_j + \sqrt{H_j^2 - 4I_j}) := m_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore the function $G_j(t, s)$ satisfies

$$0 < N_j = \frac{T}{(e^{m_j} - 1)^2} \leq G_j(t, s) \leq \frac{T \exp(\int_0^T a_j(u)du)}{(e^{l_j} - 1)^2} := M_j, s \in [t, t+T],$$

$$1 \geq \frac{G_j(t, s)}{M_j} \geq \frac{N_j}{M_j} \geq \sigma := \min \left\{ \frac{N_j}{M_j}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\} > 0,$$

and we denote

$$l = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} l_j, \quad m = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} m_j, \quad N = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} N_j, \quad M = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} M_j.$$

Now, before presenting our main results, we give the following assumptions.

(H2) $f(t, \phi(t), \phi(t - \tau(t)))$ is a continuous function of t for each $\phi \in BC(R, R_+^n)$.

(H3) For any $L > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\{\phi, \psi \in BC, \|\phi\| \leq L, \|\psi\| \leq L, \|\phi - \psi\| < \delta, 0 \leq s \leq T\}$$

imply $|f(s, \phi(s), \phi(s - \tau(s))) - f(s, \psi(s), \psi(s - \tau(s)))|_0 < \varepsilon$.

III. Main Results

Now we define a mapping $T : K \rightarrow K$,

$$(Tx)(t) = \int_t^{t+T} G(t, s) \lambda C(s) f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) ds.$$

We denote $(Tx) = (T_1x, T_2x, \dots, T_nx)^T$.

Lemma 3.1. $T : K \rightarrow K$ is well-defined.

Proof. For each $x \in K$, by (H2) we have $(Tx)(t)$ is continuous in t and

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t+T) &= \int_{t+T}^{t+2T} G(t, s) \lambda C(s) f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) ds \\ &= \int_t^{t+T} G(t+T, v+T) \lambda C(v+T) f(v+T, x(v+T), x(v+T - \tau(v+T))) dv \\ &= \int_t^{t+T} G(t, v) \lambda C(v) f(v, x(v), x(v - \tau(v))) dv \\ &= (Tx)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Tx \in X$, since

$$N_j \leq G_j(t, s) \leq M_j, s \in [t, t+T].$$

Hence, for $x \in K$, we have

$$\|T_j x\| \leq M_j \int_0^T |\lambda C_j(s) f_j(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))| ds, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (T_j x)(t) &\geq N_j \int_0^T |\lambda C_j(s) f_j(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))| ds \\ &= \frac{N_j}{M_j} M_j \int_0^T |\lambda C_j(s) f_j(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))| ds \\ &\geq \sigma \|T_j x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $Tx \in K$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. $T : K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

Proof. We first show that T is continuous.

By (H3), for any $L > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\{\phi, \psi \in BC, \|\phi\| \leq L, \|\psi\| \leq L, \|\phi - \psi\| \leq \delta\} \text{ imply}$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |f(s, \phi(s), \phi(s - \tau(s))) - f(s, \psi(s), \psi(s - \tau(s)))|_0 < \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda MTC},$$

where $C = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|C_j\|$.

If $x, y \in K$ with $\|x\| \leq L, \|y\| \leq L, \|x - y\| \leq \delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tx)(t) - (Ty)(t)|_0 &\leq \int_t^{t+T} |G(t, s)| |\lambda C(s) f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) - \lambda C(s) f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds \\ &\leq \int_0^T |G(t, s)| |\lambda C(s) f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) - \lambda C(s) f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds \\ &< M \lambda TC \frac{\varepsilon}{M \lambda TC} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, where $|G(t, s)| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |G_j(t, s)|$, this yields $\|Tx - Ty\| < \varepsilon$, thus T is continuous.

Next we show that T maps any bounded sets in K into relatively compact sets. Now we first prove that f maps bounded sets into bounded sets. Indeed, let $\varepsilon = 1$, by (H3), for any $\mu > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such

$$\text{that } \{x, y \in BC, \|x\| \leq \mu, \|y\| \leq \mu, \|x - y\| \leq \delta, 0 \leq s \leq T\} \text{ imply}$$

$$|f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) - f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau(s)))|_0 < 1.$$

Choose a positive integer N such that $\frac{\mu}{N} < \delta$. Let $x \in BC$ and define

$$x^k(t) = \frac{x(t)k}{N}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

If $\|x\| < \mu$, then

$$\|x^k - x^{k-1}\| = \sup_{t \in R} \left| \frac{x(t)k}{N} - \frac{x(t)(k-1)}{N} \right| \leq \|x\| \frac{1}{N} \leq \frac{\mu}{N} < \delta.$$

Thus,

$$\left| f(s, x^k(s), x^k(s - \tau(s))) - f(s, x^{k-1}(s), x^{k-1}(s - \tau(s))) \right|_0 < 1$$

for all $s \in [0, T]$, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) \right|_0 = \left| f(s, x^N(s), x^N(s - \tau(s))) \right|_0 \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \left| f(s, x^k(s), x^k(s - \tau(s))) - f(s, x^{k-1}(s), x^{k-1}(s - \tau(s))) \right|_0 + \left| f(s, 0, 0) \right|_0 \\ & < N + \|f\| =: W. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

It follows from (7) that

$$\|Tx\| = \sup_{t \in R} \sum_{j=1}^n |(T_j x)(t)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n M_j \lambda C \int_0^T |f_j(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))| ds \leq M \lambda CTW.$$

Finally, for $t \in R$, we have

$$(T_j x)'(t) = \int_t^{t+T} \left[-q_j(s)G_j(t, s) + \frac{\exp \int_t^s p_j(v) dv}{\exp \int_0^T p_j(v) dv - 1} \right] \lambda c_j(s) f_j(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) ds, \tag{9}$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Combine (7), (8), (9) and Corollary 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{d}{dt} (Tx)(t) \right|_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n |(T_j x)'(t)| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_t^{t+T} \left| \lambda c_j(s) f_j(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) \right| \left| -q_j(s)G_j(t, s) + \frac{\exp \int_t^s p_j(v) dv}{\exp \int_0^T p_j(v) dv - 1} \right| ds \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda C (M_j \|Q\| + \frac{e^m}{e^l - 1}) \int_t^{t+T} |f_j(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))| ds \\ & \leq \lambda C (M \|Q\| + \frac{e^m}{e^l - 1}) TW, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|Q\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |q_j|$.

Hence $\{Tx : x \in K, \|x\| \leq \mu\}$ is a family of uniformly bounded and equicontinuous functions on $[0, T]$. By a theorem of Ascoli-Arzelà, the function T is completely continuous.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (H1)-(H3), (2) and (6) and that there are positive constants R_1 and R_2 with $R_1 < R_2$ such that

$$\sup_{\|\phi\|=R_1, \phi \in K} \int_0^T |f(s, \phi(s), \phi(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds := P_1, \tag{10}$$

and

$$\inf_{\|\phi\|=R_2, \phi \in K} \int_0^T |f(s, \phi(s), \phi(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds := P_2, \tag{11}$$

for each λ satisfy

$$\frac{R_2}{MCP_2} < \lambda < \frac{R_1}{MCP_1}. \tag{12}$$

Then Eq.(1) has a positive T -periodic solution x with $R_1 \leq \|x\| \leq R_2$.

Proof. Let $x \in K$ and $\|x\| = R_1$. By (10) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tx)(t)|_0 &\leq M \int_t^{t+T} |\lambda C(s) f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds \\ &\leq \lambda MC \int_t^{t+T} |f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds \\ &< \frac{R_1}{MCP_1} MC \int_t^{t+T} |f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds \leq R_1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. This implies that $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$ for $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1, \Omega_1 = \{x \in X, \|x\| < R_1\}$.

If $x \in K$ and $\|x\| = R_2$. By (11) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tx)(t)|_0 &\geq N \int_t^{t+T} |\lambda C(s) f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds \\ &\geq \lambda NC \int_t^{t+T} |f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds \\ &> \frac{R_2}{NCP_2} NC \int_t^{t+T} |f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s)))|_0 ds \geq R_2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Thus, $\|Tx\| \geq \|x\|$ for $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2, \Omega_2 = \{x \in X, \|x\| < R_2\}$.

By Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem, T has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$. It is easy to say that Eq.(1) has a positive T -periodic solution x with $R_1 \leq \|x\| \leq R_2$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1]. Y. Liu, W. Ge, Positive solutions Positive solutions for nonlinear Duffing equations with delay and variable coefficients, *Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. sci.*, 20 (2004) 235-255.
- [2]. W. Han, J. Ren, Some results on second-order neutral functional differential equations with infinite distributed delay, *Nonlinear Anal.*, (2008), 1-14.
- [3]. Y. Wang, H. Lian, W. Ge, Periodic solutions for a second order nonlinear functional differential equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, (2007) 110-115.
- [4]. Y. Li, L. Zhu, Periodic solutions for a class of higher-dimensional state-dependent delay functional differential equations with feedback control. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, (2003) 783-795.
- [5]. A. Wan, D. Jiang, Existence of Positive solutions Positive solutions functional differential equations, *Kyushu J. Math.*, 56 (2002) 193-202
- [6]. A. Bouakkaz, A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, Periodic solutions for a second order nonlinear functional differential equation with iterative terms by Schauder fixed point theorem. *Acta Math. Univ. Comen.* LXXXVII(2), 223-235 (2018).
- [7]. Y. Li, Positive Periodic solutions of neutral differential equations with distributed delays, *Electron. J. Diff. Equ.*, 2006 (13) 655-666.
- [8]. Y. Li, Y. Kuang, Periodic solutions in periodic state-dependent delay equations and population models, *Proc. Aer. math. Soc.*, 130(5) (2002) 1345-1353.
- [9]. D. Smart, *Fixed Points Theorems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.

Lili Wang. "Periodic solutions for a class of second order higher-dimensional functional differential equations." *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)*, 16(6), (2020): pp. 44-49.