

A Critical Growth Nonlinear Bi-harmonic Problem in R^4

Ahmed Adam Abdelgadir

Department of Mathematics, Taibah-University, College of Science, Yanbu, Saudi Arabia

Email:ahmedguangzhou17@gmail.com

Abstract This article concerns with the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta^2 u &= \mu \frac{u}{|x|^4 \ln^2 \frac{R}{|x|}} + f(x, u), & x \in \Omega; \\ u &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

There f has critical growth at both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ with the same α_0 , through a Hardy Inequality of [4], We prove the existence of a nontrivial solution of above problem by using Mountain Pass Theorem.

Keywords bi-harmonic equation; critical growth; Mountain Pass Theorem

0 Introduction

When $N > p$, the article [1] had discussed the nonlinear harmonic equation involving critical potential. But as $N = p = 2$, the corresponding question hasn't been studied. Then in 1995, in the article [2], D.G.de Figueiredo, Miyagaki and Ruf proved the existence of multiply solutions of nonlinear elliptic problem in R^2 , where f has subcritical growth and critical growth. After this article mainly, in 2004, Shen, Yao and Chen [3] have studied the existence of nontrivial solutions for quasi-linear elliptic equation involving critical potential:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2 \ln^2 \frac{R}{|x|}} = \lambda u, & x \in \Omega \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^2 , $0 \in \Omega \subset B_R(0)$, $B_R(0)$ is a small ball centering origin with radius R in R^2 , and in this article, f has subcritical. Then in 2005, Chen, Shen and Yao[4] have studied the existence of nontrivial solutions for nonlinear biharmonic equation involving critical potential:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 \frac{R}{|x|}} + f(x, u), & x \in \Omega \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset B_R(0) \subset R^4$ is a bounded domain including the origin, $\mu \in R$, ν is the unit outer normal vector, and f has subcritical growth(see[2]). According the article [2], we think what will happen if f has critical growth in the problem (0.1). So in this paper, we have discussed the existence of nontrivial solutions for nonlinear bi-harmonic equation

involving critical potential (0.1), but in here f has critical growth at $+\infty$ (see[2]), it means if there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$, such that for all $\alpha > \alpha_0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|f(x, t)|}{e^{\alpha t^{4/3}}} = 0 \quad (0.2)$$

and for all $\alpha < \alpha_0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|f(x, t)|}{e^{\alpha t^2}} = +\infty$$

For easy reference we state new conditions on f that will be assumed bellow:

$$(H_1) \quad f : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous, } f(x, 0) = 0$$

$$(H_2) \quad \exists t_0 > 0, \exists M > 0, \text{ such that}$$

$$0 < F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds \leq M|f(x, t)|$$

$$(H_3) \quad 0 < F(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{2}f(x, t)t, \forall t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \forall x \in \Omega$$

$$(H_4) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2F(x, t)}{t^2} < \lambda_1, \text{ uniformly in } (x, t)$$

Now we state the results which will be proved here. By “solution” in the theorems below we mean weak solution $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$.

Theorem 0.1 Assume $(H_1), (H_2), (H_3), (H_4), \mu < 1$ and f has critical growth at both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. Furthermore assume

$$(H_5) \quad \lim f(x, t)te^{-\alpha_0 t^2} \geq \beta, \quad \beta > \frac{16(4 - \mu)}{\alpha_0(1 + M)R^4}$$

Then, problem (0.1) has a nontrivial solution.

In this paper, we define $\|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2, |u|_p = (\int |u|^p)^{1/p}$.

1 The proof of lemmas

We know the functional of equation (0.1) is

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx$$

We assume $(H_1), (H_2)$ and the existence of positive constance C

$$\text{And } \alpha_0 > 0, \text{ when } \alpha > \alpha_0, |f(x, t)| \leq Ce^{\alpha t} \quad \forall x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1.1)$$

It follows easily from (H_1) and (H_2) that

(1) there is a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$F(x, t) \geq Ce^{\frac{1}{M}|t|}, \quad \forall |t| \geq t_0 \quad (1.2)$$

(2) given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $t_\epsilon > 0$, such that

$$F(x, t) \leq \epsilon f(x, t)t, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall |t| \geq t_\epsilon \quad (1.3)$$

Lemma 1.1 (see [4]) Assume $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx \quad (1.4)$$

where the constant 1 is optimal.

Lemma 1.2 (see [2]) $f(x, u_n) \rightarrow f(x, u)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. where $\{u_n\}$ is a (PS) sequence.

Set

Lemma 1.3 Assume $(H_1), (H_2)$ and (H_3) , if f has critical growth at both $+\infty$ and

$-\infty$ with the same α_0 , then Φ satisfies (PS) $_c$ for all $c \in (-\infty, 8(1 - \mu)\sqrt{\pi/3\alpha_0})$.

Proof: Let $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ be a Palais-Smale sequence, i.e.

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^2 dx - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx \rightarrow c$$

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_n \Delta v dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n v}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) v dx = o(1) \|v\|$$

For $\forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$.

From (1.3) and (1.5), for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} &\leq C + \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx \\ &\leq C_\epsilon + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n dx \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

We assume $v = u_n$ in (1.6), can obtain

$$\|u_n\|^2 - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^4 \ln R/|x|} dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n dx + o(1) \|u_n\| \quad (1.8)$$

Substitute (1.8) to (1.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} \leq C_\epsilon + \epsilon (\|u_n\|^2 - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^4 \ln R/|x|} dx) + \epsilon o(1) \|u_n\|$$

Set $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$, from Lemma 1.1, we know there is a constant C , such that

$$\|u_n\|^2 \leq C$$

Now we take a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ denoted again by $\{u_n\}$, such that, for some $u \in H_0^2$, we have

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H_0^2; u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^q(\Omega), \forall q \geq 1; u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

From Lemma 1.2, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, (1.6) become

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{uv}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v dx = 0 \quad (1.9)$$

Let $v = u$ in the (1.9), and using (1.3) then

$$2\Phi(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \geq \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 2\right) \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx$$

So, $\Phi(u) \geq 0$. Now we separate the proof into three cases:

Case 1 $c = 0$.

From Lemma 1.2, using Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we can get $F(x, u_n) \rightarrow F(x, u)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. So, from (1.5) and (1.6), set $v = u_n$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx \right) = c = 0$$

$$\text{so } \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n dx = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx$$

then, from (1.8), we have

$$0 \leq \Phi(u) \leq \liminf \Phi(u_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx = 0$$

So, $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \|u\|$ and then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H_0^2 . The proof is finished in this case.

Case 2 $c=0, u=0$. In this case, we will show that it cannot happen for a (Ps) sequence. First we claim that, for some $q > 1$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_n)|^q dx \leq \text{const} \quad (1.10)$$

From (1.1), set a fixed $q > 1$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_n)|^q \leq C \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha q |u_n|^{4/3}} dx = C \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha q \|u_n\|^{4/3} \left(\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}\right)^{4/3}}$$

Using Moser-Trudinger Inequality (N=4)(see[5]): for any $u \in W_0^{1,4}(\Omega)$

$$\sup_{\|u\|_{W_0^{1,4}} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\gamma |u|^{4/3}} dx \leq C|\Omega|, \quad \forall \gamma \leq 4\omega_3^{1/3}$$

where $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,4}} = |u|_4 + |Du|_4$, $\omega_3 = 4\pi/3$ is the volume of unit ball when $n = 3$, $|\Omega|$ is the lebesgue measure of Ω .

Then we can deduce the integral (1.10) is bounded independently of n , if

$$\alpha q \|u_n\|^{4/3} \leq \gamma \leq 4\omega_3^{1/3}$$

From (1.5), Lemma 1.1, (H_1) and $u = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|^2 = \frac{2(c + \epsilon)}{1 - \mu}$$

Then it will be indeed the case for $c < 8(1 - \mu)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3\alpha_0^3}}$, if we choose $q > 1$ sufficiently close to 1, α sufficiently close to α_0 and sufficiently small.

Let (1.6) subtract (1.9), and assume $v = u_n - u$, then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta(u_n - u)|^2 dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} dx - \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u))(u_n - u) dx = o(1) \|u_n - u\|$$

We estimate the third integral above using Holder inequality and $\|u_n - u\|_{L^q} \rightarrow 0$, then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |(f(x, u_n) - f(x, u))(u_n - u)| dx \rightarrow 0$$

So, through Lemma 1.1, we know $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$. But, from (1.5), which implies

$$\|u_n\|^2 \rightarrow \frac{2c}{1-4\mu} \neq 0. \text{ It is contradiction.}$$

Case 3 $c \neq 0, u \neq 0$.

Like case 2, we can proof (1.10). Because $\|u_n\|^2 \leq C$, so it means when $\alpha q C^{2/3} \leq 4(\frac{4\pi}{3})^{1/3}$, (1.10) is true. At the same time, we can know $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H_0^2 . Then the lemma is proved.

In the case 3 of above, we actually can obtain

$$\Phi(u) = c \text{ and } c < 8(1 - \mu)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3\alpha_0^3}}.$$

Lemma 1.4 Assume $(H_1), (H_2), (H_4)$ and (1.1), then existence $a > 0, \rho > 0$, such that $\Phi(u) \geq a$, if $\|u\| = \rho$.

Proof: From (H_4) , we know there are $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1, \delta > 0$, such that

$$F(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_0 t^2, \quad |t| \leq \delta$$

In other way, from (1.1), to $q > 2$

$$F(x, t) \leq C e^{\alpha |t|^{4/3}} |t|^q, \quad |t| > \delta$$

Putting these two estimates together we obtain

$$F(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_0 t^2 + C e^{\alpha |t|^{4/3}} |t|^q \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.11}$$

From (1.11), and using Holder inequality, for $p > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \mu) \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_0 \int_{\Omega} u^2 - C \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha |u|^{4/3}} |u|^q \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}) (1 - \mu) \|u\|^2 - C (\int_{\Omega} e \alpha |u|^{4/3} |u|^q)^{1/p} (\int_{\Omega} |u|^{qp'})^{1/p'} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}) (1 - \mu) \|u\|^2 - C \|u\|^q \end{aligned}$$

Now choose $\rho > 0$, as the point where the function $g(s) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1})(1 - \mu)s^2 - Cs^q$ assumes its maximum. Take $a = g(\rho)$. Then the proof is complete.

Remarks on the conditions above, we easily to prove there is $e \in H_0^2, \|e\| > \rho$, such that $\Phi(e) \leq 0$.

2 The proof of Theorem 0.1

It follows from the assumptions that Φ satisfies $(PS)_c$ for all $c < 8(1 - \mu)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3\alpha_0^3}}$, see lemma 1.3. At the same time, through lemma 1.4 and (H_4) , we can know that Φ has a local minimum at 0. To conclude via the Mountain Pass Theorem it suffices to show that there is a $\omega \in H_0^2, \|\omega\| = 1$, such that $\max\{\Phi(t\omega) : t \geq 0\} < c$. For that matter we start by introducing the following functions

$$\omega_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \begin{cases} (\ln n)^{1/2}, & 0 \leq |x| \leq \frac{R}{n} \\ \frac{\ln \frac{R}{|x|}}{(\ln n)^{1/2}}, & \frac{R}{n} \leq |x| \leq R \\ 0, & |x| \geq R \end{cases}$$

which indicate that $\omega_n(x) \in H_0^2(B_R(0))$ and $\|\omega_n\| = 1$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

We claim that there exists n such that

$$\max\{\Phi(t\omega_n) : t \geq 0\} < 8(1 - \mu)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3\alpha_0^3}}$$

Assume by contradiction that this is not the case. So, for all n , this maximum is large or equal to $8(1 - \mu)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3\alpha_0^3}}$. Set $t_n > 0$, such that

$$\max\{\Phi(t\omega_n) : t \geq 0\} = \Phi(t_n\omega_n) \geq 8(1 - \mu)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3\alpha_0^3}} \tag{2.1}$$

it is to say, from (2.1) and (H_3)

$$\begin{aligned} 8(1 - \mu)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3\alpha_0^3}} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R(0)} |\Delta t_n\omega_n|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{|t_n\omega_n|^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} - \int_{B_R(0)} F(x, t_n\omega_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}t_n^2 - \frac{\mu}{2}t_n^2(2\pi^2) \int_{R/n}^R \frac{r^3 \ln^2 R/r}{8\pi^2 r^4 \ln n \ln^2 R/r} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}t_n^2 - \frac{\mu}{8}t_n^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{4}\mu)t_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

so it means

$$t_n^2 \geq \frac{16(1 - \mu)}{1 - 1/4\mu} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3\alpha_0^3}} \tag{2.2}$$

At the same time, we know $\frac{d\Phi(t_n\omega_n)}{dt_n} = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n^2 - \mu t_n^2 \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^4 \ln^2 R/|x|} &= \int_{B_R(0)} f(x, t_n \omega_n) t_n \omega_n \\
 \int_{B_R(0)} f(x, t_n \omega_n) t_n \omega_n &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\mu\right) t_n^2
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.3}$$

From (H₅), for given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $s > s_\epsilon$, such that

$$f(x, s) s \geq (\beta - \epsilon) e^{\alpha_0 s^2}, \quad \forall s > s_\epsilon$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\mu\right) t_n^2 &\geq (\beta - \epsilon) \int_{B_R(0)} e^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \omega_n^2} \\
 &\geq (\beta - \epsilon) (2\pi^2) \int_0^{R/n} r^3 e^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \frac{\ln n}{8\pi^2}} dr \\
 &\geq (\beta - \epsilon) \pi^2 \frac{R^4}{2n^4} e^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \frac{\ln n}{8\pi^2}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (\beta - \epsilon) \pi^2 R^4 e^{\ln n \left(\frac{\alpha_0 t_n^2}{8\pi^2} - 4\right)}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.4}$$

which implies readily that t_n is bounded. And moreover (2.2) together with (2.4), we can deduce that $t_n^2 \rightarrow \frac{32\pi^2}{\alpha_0}$.

Then let us estimate (2.3) more precisely.

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\mu\right) t_n^2 \geq (\beta - \epsilon) \int_{B_R(0)} e^{\alpha_0 t_n^2 \omega_n^2} dx$$

Passing to the limit in above and assume $t = \frac{\ln R/r}{\ln n}$, then we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{8(4 - \mu)\pi^2}{\alpha_0} &\geq 2\pi^2(\beta - \epsilon) \left[\int_0^{R/n} e^{32\pi^2 \frac{\ln n}{8\pi^2}} r^3 dr + \int_{R/n}^R e^{32\pi^2 \frac{\ln^2 R/r}{8\pi^2 \ln n}} r^3 dr \right] \\
 &= 2\pi^2(\beta - \epsilon) \left[\frac{1}{4} R^4 + R^4 \ln n \int_0^1 e^{4t^2 \ln n - 4t \ln n} dt \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 (\beta - \epsilon) R^4 \left[1 + 4 \ln n \int_0^1 e^{4 \ln n (t^2 - t)} dt \right]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.5}$$

which implies $\beta \leq \frac{16(4-\mu)}{\alpha_0(1+M)R^4}$, if we let $M = 4 \ln n \int_0^1 e^{4 \ln n (t^2 - t)} dt$ [see [2]], then it is contradiction to (H₅).

So, the theorem is proved.

References

- [1] Ekeland, I., On the variational principle[J], *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 47(1974),324-353
- [2] D. G. de Figueiredo, O. H. Miyagaki and B. Ruf, Elliptic equations in R^2 with nonlinearities in the critical growth range[J], *Cal. Var. and P. D. E.*, 3(1995), 139–153
- [3] Y. T. Shen , Y. X. Yao and Z. H. Chen, On a nonlinear elliptic problem with critical potential in R^2 [J] , *Science in China Ser. A Mathematics.*, 47(5)(2004), 741–755

- [4] Chen Zihui, Shen Yaotian and Yao Yangxin, Nonlinear biharmonic equations in \mathbb{R}^4 with potential[J], Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series A, 26A:4(2005), 487-494.
- [5] Moser, J., A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger [J], Indiana Univ. Math. J., 20(1971),1077-1092.