

## Upper Bounds for Fekete-Szego functions and the Second Hankel Determinant for a Class of Starlike functions.

Bello R. A.<sup>1</sup>, Opoola T. O.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department Of Statistics And Mathematical Sciences, Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University Of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria*

**Abstract:** *In this work, we provide upper estimate for Fekete-Szego functional and Second Hankel determinant for the class  $S^*\{q\}$  consisting of functions analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and normalized by  $f(0) = f'(0) = 1 = 0$  and which satisfies the subordination condition*

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{where } q(z) = \sqrt{1+z^2} + z$$

**Keywords:** *Coefficient bounds, Fekete-Szego functional, Second Hankel Determinant and Subordination Keywords*

### Introduction

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions  $f(z)$  analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and let  $S \subset A$  denote the class of analytic functions  $f(z)$  in  $U$  which are univalent and has the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \tag{1.1}$$

**Definition 1.1.** *An analytic function  $f(z)$  is said to be subordinate to the analytic function  $g(z)$  and we write  $f \prec g$  if there exist a function  $\omega(z)$  analytic in  $U$  such that  $\omega(0) = 1$ ,  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  and  $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ . If  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $U$  then  $f \prec g \iff f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(|z| < 1) \subset g(|z| < 1)$*

A function  $f(z)$  in  $S$  is said to be starlike in  $U$  if  $f(z)$  maps  $U$  onto a starlike domain with respect to  $\omega_0 = 0$ . An analytic function  $f(z)$  is starlike in  $U$  if and only if  $Re \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0, z \in U$ .

The class of starlike functions in  $U$  is denoted as  $S^*$ . We define the class  $S^*\{q\}$  to be the class of functions  $f \in A$  satisfying

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z^2} + z = q(z) \quad z \in U$$

where  $q(0)=1$ ,

Furthermore let  $\Omega$  be the class of analytic functions of the form  $\omega(z) = \omega_1 z + \omega_2 z^2 + \omega_3 z^3 + \dots$  in the unit disk  $U$  satisfying the condition  $|\omega(z)| < 1$ .

## II. Preliminary Result

To establish our results we shall need the following lemmas:

Lemma1(Ali.R.M et al[5])

If  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\omega_2 - t\omega_1^2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -t & \text{if } t \leq -1 \\ 1 & \text{if } -1 \leq t \leq 1 \\ t & \text{if } t \geq 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

Lemma 2(Ali.R.M et al[5])

If  $\omega \in \Omega$ , for any complex number  $t$   $|\omega_2 - t\omega_1^2| \leq \max\{1 : |t|\}$  The result is sharp for  $\omega(z) = z^2$  or  $\omega(z) = z$

## III. Main Result

In This Research Work,The Following Are The Main Results.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  and let  $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \sigma_2 = \frac{5}{4}$  If  $f(z)$  belong to  $S^*(q)$  then for any real number  $\lambda$

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{3}{4} - \lambda & \text{if } \lambda \geq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \lambda \leq \sigma_2 \\ -(\frac{3}{4} - \lambda) & \text{if } \lambda \geq \sigma_2 \end{array} \right\}$$

**Proof:**

Let  $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$

Also let  $S^*(q)$  denote the class of functions  $f(z)$  in the unit disk  $U$  normalized by  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$  satisfying the condition

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z^2} + z, \quad z \in U$$

Which implies by definition of subordination that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+\omega^2(z)} + \omega(z), \quad z \in U$$

And

$$zf'(z) - \omega(z)f(z) = f(z)\sqrt{1+\omega^2(z)}$$

where  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + \dots$$

implies

$$f'(z) = 1 + 2a_2 z + 3a_3 z^2 + 4a_4 z^3 + \dots$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 z f'(z) &= z + 2a_2 z^2 + 3a_3 z^3 + 4a_4 z^4 + \dots \\
 \omega(z) f(z) &= [c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots][z + 2a_2 z^2 + 3a_3 z^3 + 4a_4 z^4 + \dots] \\
 &= c_1 z^2 + c_2 z^3 + c_3 z^4 + c_1 a_2 z^3 + c_2 a_2 z^4 + c_1 a_3 z^4 + \dots \\
 z f'(z) - \omega(z) f(z) &= z + 2a_2 z^2 + 3a_3 z^3 + 4a_4 z^4 - [c_1 z^2 + c_2 z^3 + c_3 z^4 + c_1 a_2 z^3 + \dots] \\
 &= z + (2a_2 - c_1) z^2 + (3a_3 - c_2 - c_1 a_2) z^3 + (4a_4 - c_1 a_3 - c_2 a_2 - c_3) z^4 + \dots \quad (1) \\
 \omega(z) &= c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots \\
 \omega^2(z) &= [c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots]^2 \\
 &= c_1^2 z^2 + c_1 c_2 z^3 + c_1 c_3 z^4 + c_1 c_2 z^3 + c_2^2 z^4 + c_1 c_3 z^4 + \dots \\
 1 + \omega^2(z) &= 1 + c_1^2 z^2 + 2c_1 c_2 z^3 + 2c_1 c_3 z^4 + c_2^2 z^4 + \dots \\
 \sqrt{1 + \omega^2(z)} &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}[c_1^2 z^2 + 2c_1 c_2 z^3 + 2c_1 c_3 z^4 + c_2^2 z^4 + \dots] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{8}[c_1^2 z^2 + 2c_1 c_2 z^3 + 2c_1 c_3 z^4 + c_2^2 z^4 + \dots]^2 \\
 &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 z^2 + c_1 c_2 z^3 + [c_1 c_3 + \frac{1}{2}c_2^2 - \frac{1}{8}c_1^4] z^4 + \dots \\
 f(z) \sqrt{1 + \omega^2(z)} &= [z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + \dots][1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 z^2 + c_1 c_2 z^3 + [c_1 c_3 + \frac{1}{2}c_2^2 - \frac{1}{8}c_1^4] z^4 + \dots] \\
 &= z + a_2 z^2 + (\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 + a_3) z^3 + (c_1 c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 a_2 + a_4) z^4 + \dots \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

On comparing the coefficient of equation (1) and (2) we have,

$$a_2 = c_1 \quad (i)$$

$$a_3 = \frac{c_2}{2} + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2 \quad (ii)$$

$$a_4 = \frac{c_3}{3} + \frac{5}{6}c_1 c_2 + \frac{5}{12}c_1^3 \quad (iii)$$

Therefore from (i) and (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2 - \lambda c_1^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}c_2 - (\lambda - \frac{3}{4})c_1^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}[c_2 + (\frac{3-4\lambda}{2})c_1^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

*i.e*

$$a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}[c_2 + v c_1^2]$$

$$\text{where } v = \frac{3-4\lambda}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2}[c_2 - (-v)c_1^2] \quad \dots (iv) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}|c_2 - (-v)c_1^2|
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $t = -v$  in Lemma 1, we have

(a) For  $t \leq -1$  i.e.  $-v \leq -1$  implies  $v \geq 1$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2}[|-v|] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[v] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{3}{4} - \lambda$$

and for the case of  $v \geq 1$  gives

$$\frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \geq 1$$

and we have that

$$\lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

i.e  $\lambda \leq \sigma_1$  where  $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{4}$

Hence,

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{3}{4} - \lambda$$

if  $\lambda \leq \sigma_1$

(b). For the case when  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$  in Lemma 1 , we have  $-1 \leq -v \leq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2}(1) \\ |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

And in this case  $-1 \leq -v \leq 1$  implies  $-1 \leq -(\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}) \leq 1$  which gives  $\sigma_1 \leq \lambda \leq \sigma_2$  where  $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \frac{5}{4}$

For  $t \geq 1$  i.e  $-v \geq 1$  we have  $v \leq -1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \right) \\ |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \lambda - \frac{3}{4} \end{aligned}$$

For this case  $v \leq -1$  gives  $\frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \leq -1$

which gives  $\lambda \geq \sigma_2$  when  $\sigma_2 = \frac{5}{4}$

Hence,

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq -\left(\frac{3}{4} - \lambda\right)$$

if  $\lambda \geq \sigma_2$

And This Completes The Proof Of The Theorem.

**Theorem**

**3.2.** Let  $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$

If  $f(z)$  belong to  $S^*q$  then the for any complex number  $\lambda$

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 : \left|\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}\right|\}$$

**Proof:**

From theorem 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= c_1 \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \left[ c_2 + \left( \frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \right) c_1^2 \right] \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} | [c_2 - (-v)c_1^2] | \end{aligned}$$

Where  $v = \frac{3-4\lambda}{2}$

Applying Lemma 2 and taking  $t = -v$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega_2 - (-v)\omega_1^2| &\leq \max\{1 : |-v|\} \\ |\omega_2 - (-v)\omega_1^2| &\leq \max\{1 : |v|\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 : |-v|\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 : |v|\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 : \left|\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}\right|\} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$|a_3 - (\lambda)a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 : \left|\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}\right|\}$$

And This Completes The Proof Of The Theorem.



**Theorem**

**3.3.** Let  $f(z) \in S^*(q)$  then  $H_2(2) = |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{39}{48}$

**Proof:**

From Theorem 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_2 &= c_1 \\
 a_3 &= \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2 \\
 a_4 &= \frac{1}{3}c_3 + \frac{5}{6}c_1c_2 + \frac{5}{12}c_1^3 \\
 a_2a_4 - a_3^2 &= c_1 \left( \frac{1}{3}c_3 + \frac{5}{6}c_1c_2 + \frac{5}{12}c_1^3 \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2 \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{3}c_3c_1 + \frac{5}{6}c_2c_2 + \frac{5}{12}c_1^4 - \frac{1}{4}c_2^2 - \frac{6}{8}c_1^2c_2 - \frac{9}{16}c_1^4 \\
 &= \frac{c_1^2}{12} \left( c_2 - \frac{7}{4}c_1^2 \right) + \frac{c_1c_3}{3} - \frac{c_2^2}{4} \\
 H_2(2) &= |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \\
 &= \left| \frac{c_1^2}{12} \left( c_2 - \frac{7}{4}c_1^2 \right) + \frac{c_1c_3}{3} - \frac{c_2^2}{4} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{|c_1^2|}{12} \left| c_2 - \frac{7}{4}c_1^2 \right| + \frac{|c_1||c_3|}{3} + \frac{|c_2|^2}{4} \\
 &\leq \frac{|c_1^2|}{12} \left( |c_2| + \frac{7}{4}|c_1|^2 \right) + \frac{|c_1||c_3|}{3} + \frac{|c_2|^2}{4} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{12} \left( 1 + \frac{7}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \\
 &= \frac{39}{48}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $H_2(2) \leq \frac{39}{48}$

**References**

- [1]. E.Kedioglu, On Subclass of univalent functions with negative coefficients. Applied Mathematics and computation, 146(2003)351-358
- [2]. Fekete, M. Szego, Eine Bemerkung über ungerade Funktionen. London Math. Soc. 8 (1933) 85-89.
- [3]. Marjono and D.K. Thomas, The Second Hankel Determinant of Functions Convex in One Direction. International Journal of Mathematical Analysis Vol. 10, (2016) no. 9, 423-428
- [4]. R.K. Raina and J. Sokol, On Coefficient Estimate for a Class of Starlike Functions, 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45
- [5]. R.M. Ali and R.N. Seenivasagan, Coefficient Bounds for P-Valent Functions, Applied Mathematics and Computation, (2007) 187, 35-46
- [6]. S.K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Shamani, Coefficient Bounds, Vol. 10. Iss. 3, Art. 71 (2009).