

Inequalities on Multivalent Harmonic Starlike Functions Involving Hypergeometric Functions

Dr Noohi Khan (AP II)

Department of Amity school of applied sciences Amity University, Malhore Lucknow UP

Abstract: In this paper we obtain some inequalities as sufficient conditions for the harmonic multivalent function $G(z)$ to be in classes $S^*H_m(\alpha)$. Inequalities for convolution multiplier of two harmonic multivalent functions f and G are also obtained. Also it shown that these inequalities are necessary and sufficient for the function $G_1(z)$. Further, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the functions $G_2(z)$ to be in classes $S^*H_m(\alpha)$ are obtained.

I. Introduction

Let $SH(m)$, $m \geq 1$, denotes the class of all m -valent, harmonic and orientation-preserving functions in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. A function f in $SH(m)$, $m \geq 1$ can be expressed as $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad h(z) = z^m + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n+m-1} z^{n+m-1}, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n+m-1} z^{n+m-1}, \quad |B_m| < 1$$

Definition 1.1[1,2]

Let $S^*H_m(\alpha)$, $m \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ denotes the class of functions $f = h + \bar{g} \in SH(m)$ which satisfy the condition.

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\arg(f(re^{i\theta}))) \geq m\alpha$$

for each $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ and $0 \leq r < 1$. A function in $S^*H_m(\alpha)$ is called m -valent harmonic starlike function of order α .

The class $S^*H_m(\alpha)$ was studied by Ahuja and Jahangiri [5],[6]. In particular, they stated the following Lemma.

Lemma 1.1 [5],[6]

Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (1.1) if

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n-1+m(1-\alpha)}{m(1-\alpha)} |A_{n+m-1}| + \frac{n-1+m(1+\alpha)}{m(1-\alpha)} |B_{n+m-1}| \right] \leq 2$$

where $A_m = 1$ and $m \geq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. then the harmonic function f is sense-preserving, m -valent and $f \in S^*H_m(\alpha)$

Denote by $T^*H_m(\alpha)$ is the subclasses of consisting of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$, $f \in S^*H_m(\alpha)$ so that h and g are of the form

$$(1.6) \quad h(z) = z^m - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n+m-1} z^{n+m-1},$$

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n+m-1} z^{n+m-1}, \quad A_{n+m-1} \geq 0, \quad B_{n+m-1} \geq 0, \quad B_m < 1$$

. Lemma 1.2 [5],[9]

Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be given by (1.6) then $f \in T^*H_m(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{n-1+m(1-\alpha)\}A_{n+m-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{n-1+m(1+\alpha)\}B_{n+m-1} \leq m(1-\alpha)$$

Definition:

The Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ for $\dots, -2, -1, 0 \neq c, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, a set of complex numbers is defined as

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) \equiv F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^n$$

where $(\lambda)_n = \frac{(\lambda+n)!}{(\lambda)!} = \lambda(\lambda+1)\dots(\lambda+n-1)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $(\lambda)_0 = 1$.

$F(a, b; c; z)$ is analytic in $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and for $\text{Re}(c-a-b) > 0$,

$$F(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{(\overline{c})(\overline{c-a-b})}{(\overline{c-a})(\overline{c-b})}, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$$

In this paper a harmonic m -valent function $G(z) = \phi_1(z) + \overline{\phi_2(z)}$ is considered, where $\phi_1(z)$ and $\phi_2(z)$ are m -valent analytic functions in $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ defined in terms of above mentioned hypergeometric function as :

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_1(z) &= \phi_1(a_1, b_1; c_1; z) = z^m F(a_1, b_1; c_1; z) \\ &= z^m + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} z^{n+m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_2(z) &= \phi_2(a_2, b_2; c_2; z) = z^{m-1} [F(a_2, b_2; c_2; z) - 1] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} z^{n+m-1}, a_2 b_2 < c_2 \end{aligned}$$

Also, consider a harmonic m -valent function $G_1(z)$ which is defined as :

$$G_1(z) = z^m \left(2 - \frac{\phi_1(z)}{z^m} \right) + \overline{\phi_2(z)} \quad \text{for } a_j, b_j > 0, c_j > a_j + b_j + 1, j = 1, 2$$

Further, a convolution $L_m(f, G)$ of two harmonic m -valent functions f and G is considered as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_m(f, G)(z) &= (f * G)(z) \\ &= h(z) * \phi_1(z) + \overline{g(z) * \phi_2(z)} \\ &= P(z) + \overline{Q(z)} \end{aligned}$$

where $P(z) = z^m + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} A_{n+m-1} z^{n+m-1}$

and $Q(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} B_{n+m-1} z^{n+m-1}, a_2 b_2 |B_m| < c_2$

Ahuja and Silverman [4] have given a nice connection between Harmonic univalent functions and hypergeometric functions and obtained some inequalities harmonic univalent functions which are sense-preserving, harmonic starlike univalent (harmonic convex univalent) in Δ . They also defined a convolution

multipliers between two harmonic univalent functions. Motivated by the work of Ahuja and Silverman [4] in this chapter some inequalities as sufficient conditions for m -valent harmonic function $G(z)$ to be sense-preserving starlike and convex of positive order $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ are obtained. It is also shown that these inequalities are necessary and sufficient for the function $G_1(z)$. Further necessary and sufficient conditions for the function $G_2(z)$ to be in class $f \in S^*H_m(\alpha)$ are obtained. Inequalities for convolution of two harmonic m -valent functions f and G are also obtained.

2: Main Results

Theorem 2.1 s

If $a_j, b_j > 0, c_j > a_j + b_j + 1$ for $j=1,2$ then a sufficient condition for $G = \phi_1 + \overline{\phi_2}$ where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are given in (1.7) and (1.8) respectively to be sense-preserving harmonic m -valent in Δ and $G \in S^*H_m(\alpha)$ is that

$$(3.2.1) \quad F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) \left(\frac{a_1 b_1}{c_1 - a_1 - b_1 - 1} + m(1 - \alpha) \right) + F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) \left(\frac{a_2 b_2}{c_2 - a_2 - b_2 - 1} + m(1 + \alpha) - 1 \right) \leq m(3 - \alpha) - 1. \quad \text{Proof}$$

To prove that G is sense-preserving in Δ , it only needs to show that

$$|\phi_1'(z)| > |\phi_2'(z)|, z \in \Delta$$

By the hypothesis, it noted that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_1'(z)| &= \left| m z^{m-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n+m-1) \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} z^{n+m-2} \right| \\ &= \left| m z^{m-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} z^{n+m-2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} m \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} z^{n+m-2} \right| \\ &> \left[m - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} - m \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} \right] |z|^{m-1} \\ &= \left[m - \frac{a_1 b_1}{c_1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1+1)_{n-1} (b_1+1)_{n-1}}{(c_1+1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} - m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (b_1)_n}{(c_1)_n (1)_n} \right] |z|^{m-1} \\ &= \left[2m - \frac{a_1 b_1}{c_1} \frac{\Gamma(c_1+1)\Gamma(c_1-a_1-b_1-1)}{\Gamma(c_1-a_1)\Gamma(c_1-b_1)} - m \frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_1-a_1-b_1)}{\Gamma(c_1-a_1)\Gamma(c_1-b_1)} \right] |z|^{m-1} \\ &= \left[2m - \left(\frac{a_1 b_1}{c_1 - a_1 - b_1 - 1} + m \right) F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) \right] |z|^{m-1} \quad \text{using (2.1)} \\ &\geq \left[\left\{ \frac{a_2 b_2}{c_2 - a_2 - b_2 - 1} + m(1 + \alpha) - 1 \right\} F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) - m\alpha (F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) - 1) - m + 1 \right] |z|^{m-1} \\ &\geq \left[\left\{ \frac{a_2 b_2}{c_2 - a_2 - b_2 - 1} + (m-1) \right\} F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) - m + 1 \right] |z|^{m-1}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \\ &= \left[\frac{a_2 b_2}{c_2} \frac{\Gamma(c_2+1)\Gamma(c_2-a_2-b_2-1)}{\Gamma(c_2-a_2)\Gamma(c_2-b_2)} + (m-1) \frac{\Gamma(c_2)\Gamma(c_2-a_2-b_2)}{\Gamma(c_2-a_2)\Gamma(c_2-b_2)} - m + 1 \right] |z|^{m-1} \\ &= \left[\frac{a_2 b_2}{c_2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2+1)_{n-1} (b_2+1)_{n-1}}{(c_2+1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} + (m-1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} \right] |z|^{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+m-1) \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} |z|^{m-1} \\
 &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+m-1) \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} |z|^{n+m-2} \\
 &\geq \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+m-1) \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} z^{n+m-2} \right| = |\phi_2'(z)|
 \end{aligned}$$

so, G is sense-preserving in Δ .

To, show that G is m -valent and $G \in S^*H_m(\alpha)$, on applying Lemma 1.1 it only needs to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad &\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n-1+m(1-\alpha)] \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} + \\
 &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n-1+m(1+\alpha)] \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} \leq m(1-\alpha)
 \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side of (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} + m(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} \\
 &+ \{m(1+\alpha)-1\} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} \\
 &= \frac{a_1 b_1}{c_1 - a_1 - b_1 - 1} F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) + m(1-\alpha) [F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) - 1] + \\
 &+ \frac{a_2 b_2}{c_2 - a_2 - b_2 - 1} F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) + \{m(1+\alpha)-1\} [F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) - 1] \\
 &= F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) \left(\frac{a_1 b_1}{c_1 - a_1 - b_1 - 1} + m(1-\alpha) \right) + \\
 &+ F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) \left(\frac{a_2 b_2}{c_2 - a_2 - b_2 - 1} + m(1+\alpha) - 1 \right) - m(1-\alpha) - m(1+\alpha) + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded by $m(1-\alpha)$ provided that (2.1) is satisfied. Therefore, $G \in S^*H_m(\alpha)$.

Consequently G is sense-preserving and m -valent of order α in Δ .

For $m=1$ and $\alpha=0$ the following corollary [4] is obtained.

Corollary 2.2 [4]

If $a_j, b_j > 0, c_j > a_j + b_j + 1$ for $j = 1, 2$, then a sufficient condition for $G = \phi_1 + \overline{\phi_2}$ with $m=1$ to be

harmonic univalent in Δ and $G \in S^*H$ is that

$$\left(1 + \frac{a_1 b_1}{c_1 - a_1 - b_1 - 1} \right) F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) + \frac{a_2 b_2}{c_2 - a_2 - b_2 - 1} F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) \leq 2.$$

Theorem 2.5

Let $a_j, b_j > 0, c_j > a_j + b_j + 1$ for $j=1,2$ and $a_2 b_2 < c_2$, if

$$(2.5) \quad G_1(z) = z^m \left(2 - \frac{\phi_1(z)}{z^m} \right) + \overline{\phi_2(z)} \quad \text{then,}$$

$$G_1 \in T^*H_m(\alpha) \quad \text{if and only if (2.1) holds.}$$

Proof

It is observed that

$$G_1(z) = z^m - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1}(b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} z^{n+m-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n(b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n(1)_n} z^{n+m-1}$$

and $T^*H_m(\alpha) \subset S^*H_m(\alpha)$. In view of Theorem 2.1, it only needs to show the necessary condition for G_1 to be in $T^*H_m(\alpha)$. If $G_1 \in T^*H_m(\alpha)$

Theorem 2.6

If $a_j, b_j > 0$ and $c_j > a_j + b_j$ for $j=1,2$ then a sufficient condition for a function

$$G_2(z) = m \int_0^z t^{m-1} F(a_1, b_1; c_1; t) dt + \int_0^z t^{m-1} [F(a_2, b_2; c_2; t) - 1] dt$$

to be in $S^*H^0(m)$ is that

$$(3.2.6) \quad mF(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) + F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) \leq 2m + 1.$$

Proof

In view of Lemma 3.1.1, the function

$$G_2(z) = z^m + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m}{n+m-1} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1}(b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} z^{n+m-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+m-1} \frac{(a_2)_{n-1}(b_2)_{n-1}}{(c_2)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} z^{n+m-1}$$

is in $S^*H^0(m)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+m-1}{m} \frac{m}{n+m-1} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1}(b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+m-1}{m} \frac{1}{n+m-1} \frac{(a_2)_{n-1}(b_2)_{n-1}}{(c_2)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} \leq 1$$

That is, if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1}(b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_{n-1}(b_2)_{n-1}}{(c_2)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} \leq 1$$

which holds if (2.6) is true.

Theorem 2.6

If $a_1, b_1 > -1, c_1 > 0, a_1 b_1 < 0, a_2 > 0, b_2 > 0$ and $c_j > a_j + b_j + 1, j=1,2$ then

$$G_2(z) = m \int_0^z t^{m-1} F(a_1, b_1; c_1; t) dt + \int_0^z t^{m-1} [F(a_2, b_2; c_2; t) - 1] dt$$

is in $S^*H^0(m)$ if and only if

$$(2.7) \quad mF(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) - F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) \geq -1.$$

Proof

By the hypothesis using Lemma (1.3) to

$$G_2(z) = z^m - \frac{|a_1 b_1|}{c_1} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m}{n+m-1} \frac{(a_1+1)_{n-2}(b_1+1)_{n-2}}{(n-1)(c_1+1)_{n-2}(1)_{n-2}} z^{n+m-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+m-1} \frac{(a_2)_{n-1}(b_2)_{n-1}}{(c_2)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} z^{n+m-1}$$

It suffices to show that

$$\frac{|a_1 b_1|}{c_1} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+m-1}{m} \frac{1}{n+m-1} \frac{(a_1+1)_{n-2}(b_1+1)_{n-2}}{(n-1)(c_1+1)_{n-2}(1)_{n-2}} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+m-1}{m(n+m-1)} \frac{(a_2)_{n-1}(b_2)_{n-1}}{(c_2)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} \leq 1$$

or,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1 + 1)_{n-1} (b_1 + 1)_{n-1}}{(c_1 + 1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1} n} + \frac{c_1}{|a_1 b_1|} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} \leq \frac{c_1}{|a_1 b_1|}$$

But, this is equivalent to

$$\frac{c_1}{a_1 b_1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (b_1)_n}{(c_1)_n (1)_n} + \frac{c_1}{|a_1 b_1|} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} \leq \frac{c_1}{|a_1 b_1|}$$

That is if (2.7) holds.

Theorem 2.7

Let $a_j, b_j > 0, c_j > a_j + b_j + 1$, for $j=1,2$ and $a_2 b_2 < c_2$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $L_m(f, G)(z) = f * (\phi_1 + \bar{\phi}_2) \in T^*H_m(\alpha)$ and $G = \phi_1 + \bar{\phi}_2$ given by (1.7) and (1.8) for $f \in T^*H_m(\alpha)$ is that

$$(2.8) \quad F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) + F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) \leq 3$$

Proof

Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in T^*H_m(\alpha)$, where h and g are given by (1.6). Also,

$$L_m(f, G)(z) = z^m - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} A_{n+m-1} z^{n+m-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} B_{n+m-1} z^{n+m-1}$$

In view of Lemma 1.3 it only needs to prove that $L_m(f, G)(z) \in T^*H_m(\alpha)$.

As an application of Lemma 1.3,

$$A_{n+m-1} \leq \frac{m(1-\alpha)}{n-1+m(1-\alpha)}, \quad B_{n+m-1} \leq \frac{m(1-\alpha)}{n-1+m(1+\alpha)}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{n-1+m(1-\alpha)\} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} A_{n+m-1} \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{n-1+m(1+\alpha)\} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} B_{n+m-1} \\ & \leq m(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} + m(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} \\ & = m(1-\alpha) F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) + m(1-\alpha) F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) - 2m(1-\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by $m(1-\alpha)$ if and only if (2.8) is satisfied. This proves the result.

Theorem 2.9

Let $a_j, b_j > 0, c_j > a_j + b_j + 1$ for $j=1,2$ then (2.) is a necessary and sufficient condition for a function

$$L_{m,c}(f, G)(z) = \frac{c+m}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} P(t) dt + \frac{c+m}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} Q(t) dt$$

to be in $T^*H_m(\alpha)$ for $f \in T^*H_m(\alpha)$ of the form (1.6) and $G = \phi_1 + \bar{\phi}_2$ given by (1.7) and (1.8).

Proof

By the hypothesis

$$L_{m,c}(f, G)(z) = z^m - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{c+m}{n+m+c-1} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} (b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} A_{n+m-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c+m}{n+m+c-1} \frac{(a_2)_n (b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n (1)_n} B_{n+m-1} \quad \text{and}$$

as $f \in T^*H_m(\alpha)$,

$$A_{n+m-1} \leq \frac{m(1-\alpha)}{n-1+m(1-\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{n+m-1} \leq \frac{m(1-\alpha)}{n-1+m(1+\alpha)}$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1+m(1-\alpha)) \frac{c+m}{n+m+c-1} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1}(b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} A_{n+m-1} + \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1+m(1+\alpha)) \frac{c+m}{n+m+c-1} \frac{(a_2)_n(b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n(1)_n} B_{n+m-1} \\ & \leq m(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1}(b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} + m(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n(b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n(1)_n} \\ & = m(1-\alpha)F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) + m(1-\alpha)F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) - 2m(1-\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by $m(1-\alpha)$ if and only if (2.8) is satisfied. This proves the result.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m-1)\{n-1+m(1-\alpha)\}}{m} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1}(b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} A_{n+m-1} \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m-1)\{n-1+m(1+\alpha)\}}{m} \frac{(a_2)_n(b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n(1)_n} B_{n+m-1} \\ & \leq m(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1}(b_1)_{n-1}}{(c_1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} + m(1-\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a_2)_n(b_2)_n}{(c_2)_n(1)_n} \\ & = m(1-\alpha)F(a_1, b_1; c_1; 1) + m(1-\alpha)F(a_2, b_2; c_2; 1) - 2m(1-\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by $m(1-\alpha)$ if and only if (2.11) is satisfied. This proves the result.

References

- [1]. Ahuja, O.P., Jahangiri, J.M., and Silverman, H., Convolutions for special classes of Harmonic Univalent Functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 16(6) (2003), 905-909.
- [2]. Ahuja, O.P. and Silverman, H., Extreme Points of families of Univalent Functions with fixed second coefficient. *Colloq. Math.* 54 (1987), 127-137.
- [3]. Ahuja, O.P. and Jahangiri, J.M., Harmonic Univalent Functions with fixed second coefficient, *Hakkoido Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 31(2002) p-431-439.
- [4]. Ahuja, O.P. and Silverman, H., Inequalities associating hypergeometric Functions with planar harmonic mappings, Vol 5, Issue 4, Article 99, 2004.
- [5]. Ahuja, O.P. and Jahangiri, J.M., Multivalent Harmonic starlike Functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie – Skłodowska, Section A*, 55 (1) (2001), 1-13.
- [6]. Ahuja, O.P. and Jahangiri, J.M., Errata to “Multivalent Harmonic starlike Functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, Vol LV.*, 1 Sectio A 55 (2001), 1-3, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie – Skłodowska, Sectio A*, 56(1) (2002), 105.