

Jordan Higher left (σ, τ) - Centralizer on prime Γ -Rings

Salah M. Salih⁽¹⁾ and Mazen O. Karim⁽²⁾

(1)Department of mathematics College of Educations Al-Mustansiriya University

(2) Department of mathematics College of Educations Al-Qadisiyah University

Abstract: Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring. Then we prove that every Jordan higher left (σ, τ) -centralizer on M is higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer on M . We also prove that with certain conditions every Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer on M is a Jordan triple higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of M .

Keywords: prime Γ -ring, higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer, Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer.

I. Introduction

The definition of a Γ - ring was introduced by Nobusawa [5] and generalized by Barnes [6] as the following:

Let M and Γ be two additive abelian groups, then M is called Γ - ring if there exist a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (the image of (a, α, b) being denoted by $a\alpha b$) (where $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$) which satisfies the following conditions for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$i) (a + b)c = ac + bc, a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b, \alpha(b + c) = \alpha b + \alpha c$$

$$ii) (a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$$

Throughout this paper M is denote to a Γ - ring with center $Z(M)$ which equal to the set of all elements $a \in M$ such that $a\alpha b = b\alpha a$ for all $b \in M$ where $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Now for any $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the symbol $[a, b]_\alpha$ will denoted to $a\alpha b - b\alpha a$ which is called the commutator [2]. M is said to be commutative Γ - ring if $[a, b]_\alpha = 0$ for all $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ [3]

A Γ - ring M is called prime if $a\Gamma M \Gamma b = \{0\}$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ and it is called semi-prime if $a\Gamma M \Gamma a = \{0\}$ implies that $a = 0$. and a Γ - ring M is called 2-torsion free if $2a = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ for all $a \in M$ [4].

Throughout this paper we consider the Γ - ring M satisfy the following condition $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ which will represented by (*)

In 2011 M.F. Hoque and A.C. Paul [3] also B. Zalar [1] defined a centralizer on Γ - ring as follows an additive mapping $T: M \rightarrow M$ is left (right) centralizer if $T(a\alpha b) = T(a)\alpha b$ ($T(a\alpha b) = \alpha T(b)$) holds for all $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. In [3], defined a Jordan centralizer on Γ - ring M as follows an additive mapping $T: M \rightarrow M$ is left (right) centralizer if $T(a\alpha a) = T(a)\alpha a$ ($T(a\alpha a) = \alpha T(a)$) holds for all $a \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

In this paper we introduce a new definition of higher left (σ, τ) -centralizer, Jordan higher left (σ, τ) -centralizer and jordan triple higher left (σ, τ) -centralizer on Γ - ring.

II. Higher (σ, τ) -Centralizer

In this section we will introduce the definitions of higher (σ, τ) – centralizer, Jordan higher (σ, τ) – centralizer and Jordan triple higher (σ, τ) – centralizer on M and other concepts which will be used in our work.

Definition (2.1): Let $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mapping of a Γ -ring M into itself and σ, τ are endomorphisms of M , then:-

i. T is called higher left (σ, τ) – centralizer on M if

$$T(a\alpha b) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha^i(b)$$

for all $a, b \in M$; $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

ii. T is called Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer on M if

$$T(a\alpha a) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha^i(a)$$

for all $a \in R$; $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

iii. T is called Jordan triple higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer on M if

$$T(a\alpha b\beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha^i(b) \beta^i(a)$$

for all $a, b \in M; \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Lemma (2.2): Let $T=(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer on M then

- i) $t_n(a\alpha b + b\alpha a) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b) + t_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\tau^i(a)$
- ii) $t_n(a\alpha b\alpha c + c\alpha b\alpha a) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(c) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(c))\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a)$
- iii) $t_n(a\alpha b\beta c + c\alpha b\beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(c) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(c))\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a)$

Proof:-

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i) } t_n((a + b)\alpha(a + b)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a + b))\alpha\tau^i(a + b) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a) + \sigma^i(b))\alpha(\tau^i(a) + \tau^i(b)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\tau^i(b) \quad \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} t_n((a + b)\alpha(a + b)) &= t_n(a\alpha a + a\alpha b + b\alpha a + b\alpha b) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(a)) + t_n(a\alpha b + b\alpha a) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\tau^i(b) \quad \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we have

$$t_n(a\alpha b + b\alpha a) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a))$$

ii) In Definition 2.1 (iii) replace $a+c$ for a we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_n((a + c)ab\alpha(a + c)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a + c)\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a + c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a) + \sigma^i(c))\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha(\tau^i(a) + \tau^i(c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a)) + \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(c)\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(c)) \quad \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} t_n((a + c)ab\alpha(a + c)) &= t_n(a\alpha b\alpha a + a\alpha b\alpha c + c\alpha b\alpha a + c\alpha b\alpha c) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a)) + t_n(a\alpha b\alpha c + c\alpha b\alpha a) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(c)\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(c)) \quad \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we have

$$t_n(a\alpha b\alpha c + c\alpha b\alpha a) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(c)) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(c)\alpha\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a))$$

iv) In Definition 2.1 (iii) replace $a+c$ for a we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_n((a + c)ab\beta(a + c)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a + c)\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a + c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a) + \sigma^i(c))\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta(\tau^i(a) + \tau^i(c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a)) + \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(c)\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(c)) \quad \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$t_n((a + c)ab\beta(a + c)) = t_n(a\alpha b\beta a + a\alpha b\beta c + c\alpha b\beta a + c\alpha b\beta c)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(b) \beta \tau^i(a) + t_n(aab\beta c + cab\beta a) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(c)) \alpha \tau^i(b) \beta \tau^i(c) \dots (2)$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we have

$$t_n(aab\beta c + cab\beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(b) \beta \tau^i(c) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(c)) \alpha \tau^i(b) \beta \tau^i(a) \blacksquare$$

Definition (2.3):- Let $T=(t_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of a Γ - ring M then for all $a, b \in M$; $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha = t_n(aab) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(b)$$

Now we present the properties of $\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha$

Lemma (2.4):- Let $T=(t_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of a Γ - ring M then for all $a, b \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$

- i- $\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha = -\delta_n(b, a)$
- ii- $\delta_n(a + b, c)_\alpha = \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha + \delta_n(b, c)_\alpha$
- iii- $\delta_n(a, b + c)_\alpha = \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha + \delta_n(a, c)_\alpha$

Proof:

i- Since

$$t_n(aab + baa) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(b) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(b)) \alpha \tau^i(a)$$

then

$$t_n(aab) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(b) = -t_n(baa) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(b)) \alpha \tau^i(a)$$

So that

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha = -\delta_n(b, a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii- } \delta_n(a + b, c)_\alpha &= t_n((a + b)ac) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a + b)) \alpha \tau^i(c) \\ &= t_n(aac) + t_n(bac) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a) + \sigma^i(b)) \alpha \tau^i(c) \\ &= t_n(aac) + t_n(bac) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(c) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(b)) \alpha \tau^i(c) \\ &= t_n(aac) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(c) + t_n(bac) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(b)) \alpha \tau^i(c) \\ &= \delta_n(a, c)_\alpha + \delta_n(b, c)_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iii- } \delta_n(a, b + c)_\alpha &= t_n(a\alpha(b + c)) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(b + c) \\ &= t_n(aab) + t_n(aac) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha (\tau^i(b) + \tau^i(c)) \\ &= t_n(aab) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(b) + t_n(aac) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(c) \\ &= \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha + \delta_n(a, c)_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Remark (2.5):- Note that $T=(t_i)_{i \in N}$ is higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of a Γ - ring M if and only if $\delta_n(a, c)_\alpha = 0$

Lemma (2.6): Let $T=(t_i)_{i \in N}$ be a Jordan higher left (σ, τ) – centralizers of a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring M then for all $a, b, m \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in N$

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha = 0$$

Proof:- We prove by induction on $n \in N$

Let $\omega = aab\beta m\beta b\alpha\alpha + b\alpha a\beta m\beta a\alpha b$

$$\begin{aligned} t(\omega) &= t(\alpha\alpha(\beta m\beta b)\alpha\alpha + b\alpha(a\beta m\beta a)\alpha b) \\ &= t(\sigma(a)\alpha\tau(\beta m\beta b))\alpha\tau(a) + t(\sigma(b))\alpha\tau(a\beta m\beta a)\alpha\tau(b) \\ &= t(\sigma(a)\alpha\tau(b)\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(b)\alpha\tau(a)) + t(\sigma(b))\alpha\tau(a) + \\ &\quad t(\sigma(b)\alpha\tau(a)\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(a)\alpha\tau(b)) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (1)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} t(\omega) &= t((aab)\beta m\beta(b\alpha\alpha) + (b\alpha a)\beta m\beta(a\alpha b)) \\ &= t(\sigma(aab))\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(b\alpha\alpha) + t(\sigma(b\alpha a))\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(a\alpha b) \\ &= t(\sigma(a)\alpha\sigma(b))\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(b\alpha\alpha) + t(\sigma(b)\alpha\sigma(a))\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(a\alpha b) \\ &= t(\sigma(\sigma(a))\alpha\tau(\sigma(b)))\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(b\alpha\alpha) + t(\sigma(\sigma(b)))\alpha\tau(\sigma(a))\beta\tau(m)\tau(a\alpha b) \\ &= t(\sigma(a)\alpha\tau(b)\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(b\alpha\alpha) + t(\sigma(b\tau(m))\alpha\tau(a)\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(a\alpha b)) \\ &= t(aab)\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(b\alpha\alpha) + t(b\alpha a)\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(a\alpha b) \\ &= t(aab)_\beta \tau(m)_\beta \tau(b)\alpha\tau(a) + t(b\alpha a)_\beta \tau(m)_\beta \tau(a)\alpha\tau(b) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2)$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (t(aab) - t(\sigma(a)\alpha\tau(b)))\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(b)\alpha\tau(a) + (t(b\alpha a) - t(\sigma(b)\alpha\tau(a)))\beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(a)\alpha\tau(b) \\ &= \delta(a, b)_\alpha \beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(b)\alpha\tau(a) + \delta(b, a)_\alpha \beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(a)\alpha\tau(b) \\ &= \delta(a, b)_\alpha \beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(b)\alpha\tau(a) - \delta(a, b)_\alpha \beta\tau(m)\beta\tau(a)\alpha\tau(b) \\ &= \delta(a, b)_\alpha \beta\tau(m)\beta[\tau(a), \tau(b)]_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Then we can assume that

$$\delta_s(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^s(m) \beta [\tau^s(a), \tau^s(b)]_\alpha = 0$$

for all $a, b, m \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $s, n \in N, s < n$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(\omega) &= t_n(\alpha\alpha(b\beta m\beta b)\alpha\alpha + b\alpha(a\beta m\beta a)\alpha b) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha\tau^i(b\beta m\beta b)\alpha\tau^i(a) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(b)) \alpha\tau^i(a\beta m\beta a)\alpha\tau^i(b) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(m)\beta\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(b)) \alpha\tau^i(a)\beta\tau^i(m)\beta\tau^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(b) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha\tau^i(b)\right)\beta\tau^n(m)\beta\tau^n(b)\alpha\tau^n(a) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(b)) \alpha\tau^i(a)\right)\beta\tau^n(m)\beta\tau^n(a)\alpha\tau^n(b) \\ &= t_n(aab)\beta\tau^n(m)\beta\tau^n(b)\alpha\tau^n(a) + t_n(b\alpha a)\beta\tau^n(m)\beta\tau^n(a)\alpha\tau^n(b) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (1)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(\omega) &= t_n((aab)\beta m\beta(b\alpha\alpha) + (b\alpha a)\beta m\beta(a\alpha b)) \\ &= t_n((aab)\beta\tau^n(m)\beta\tau^n(b)\alpha\tau^n(a) + t_n(b\alpha a)\beta\tau^n(m)\beta\tau^n(a)\alpha\tau^n(b)) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2)$$

Compare (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (t_n(aab) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b))\beta\tau^n(m)\beta\tau^n(b)\alpha\tau^n(a) \\ &\quad + (t_n(b\alpha a) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(a))\beta\tau^n(m)\beta\tau^n(a)\alpha\tau^n(b) \\ &= \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta\tau^n(m)\beta[t^n(a), t^n(b)]_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

III. The Main Result

In this section, we introduce our main results, we have prove that every Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of a 2-torsion free prime Γ - ring M is higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of M . and we prove that under certain conditions a Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of a prime Γ – ring M is Jordan triple higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of M .

Theorem (3.1):- Let $T=(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of a prime Γ – ring M then for all $a, b, c, m \in M; \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\delta_n(a + c, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$$

Proof: - In Lemma (2.6) replace $a+c$ for a

$$\delta_n(a + c, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a + c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$0 = \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a + c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha + \delta_n(c, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a + c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha$$

$$0 = \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha + \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha + \delta_n(c, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha + \delta_n(c, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha$$

By Lemma 3 we get

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha + \delta_n(c, d)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha = -\delta_n(c, d)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha$$

Since M is Γ – ring

$$0 = \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha =$$

$$-\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta \delta_n(c, b)_\alpha \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha = 0$$

Hence by primness we get

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (1)$$

Now replace $b+d$ for b in Lemma (2.6), we get :-

$$\delta_n(a, b + d)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b + d)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b + d)]_\alpha + \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b + d)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha + \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha + \delta_n(a, d)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha + \delta_n(a, d)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$$

By Lemma (2.6) we get:-

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha + \delta_n(a, d)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$$

Hence

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = -\delta_n(a, d)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha$$

Since M is Γ – ring we can conclude

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$$

So

$$-\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$$

Since M is prime we get

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (2)$$

Thus

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a + c), \tau^n(b + d)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha + \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(a), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha + \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(b)]_\alpha + \delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$$

from Lemma (2.6) and by 1 and 2 we get the result

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$$

Theorem (3.2): Every Jordan higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of a 2-torsion free prime Γ – ring M is higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of M .

Proof:- Let $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan higher left (σ, τ) -centralizer of a prime Γ – ring M . from Theorem (3.1) we have

$$\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha \beta \tau^n(m) \beta [\tau^n(c), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$$

for all $a, b, c, d, m \in M$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

since M is prime Γ – ring we have

either $\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha = 0$ or $[\tau^n(c), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$

if $[\tau^n(c), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha \neq 0$ for all $c, d \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

then $\delta_n(a, b)_\alpha = 0$ and hence T is higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of M .

if $[\tau^n(c), \tau^n(d)]_\alpha = 0$ for all $c, d \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

then M is commutative Γ – ring and by Lemma (2.2) (i)

we have

$$t_n(2a\alpha b) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a)) \alpha \tau^i(b)$$

and since M is a 2-torsion free we get the required result. ■

Theorem (3.3):- Let $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan higher left (σ, τ) -centralizer of a prime Γ – ring M such that $\tau^i \sigma^i = \tau^i$ and $\sigma^{2i} = \sigma^i$ then T is Jordan triple higher left (σ, τ) - centralizer of M .

Proof:-replace b by $a\beta b + b\beta a$ in Definition 2.1 then

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & t_n(a\alpha(a\beta b + b\beta a) + (a\beta b + b\beta a)\alpha a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(a\beta b + b\beta a) + t_i(\sigma^i(a\beta b + b\beta a))\alpha\tau^i(a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(a)\beta\tau^i(b) + t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\beta\sigma^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a) + t_i(\sigma^i(b)\beta\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(a)\beta\tau^i(b) + t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i(a)))\beta\tau^i(\sigma^i(b))\alpha\tau^i(a) + t_i(\sigma^i(\sigma^i(b)))\beta\tau^i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(a) \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} & t_n(a\alpha(a\beta b + b\beta a) + (a\beta b + b\beta a)\alpha a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(a)\beta\tau^i(b) + t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\beta\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a) + t_i(\sigma^i(b))\beta\tau^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(a) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (1)$$

on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & t_n(a\alpha(a\beta b + b\beta a) + (a\beta b + b\beta a)\alpha a) \\ &= t_n(a\alpha a\beta b + a\alpha b\beta a + a\beta b\alpha a + b\beta a\alpha a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(a)\beta\tau^i(b) + t_n(a\alpha b\beta a + a\beta b\alpha a) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(b))\beta\tau^i(a)\alpha\tau^i(a) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2)$$

Comparing (1) and (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & t_n(a\alpha b\beta a + a\beta b\alpha a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a) + t_i(\sigma^i(a))\beta\tau^i(b)\alpha\tau^i(a) \end{aligned}$$

since M satisfying (*) we have

$$t_n(2a\alpha b\beta a) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a)$$

since M is 2-torsion free Γ – ring we have

$$t_n(a\alpha b\beta a) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(\sigma^i(a))\alpha\tau^i(b)\beta\tau^i(a)$$

References

- [1]. B.Zalar" On Centralizers of Semiprime Ring", Comment. Math.Univ. Carolinae, Vol. 32 ,(1991), 609-614.
- [2]. K.KDey. and A.C.Paul, "commutativity of prime gamma rings with left centralizers " , J. Sci.Res. , Vol.6 ,No.1,(2014) , 69-77 ,
- [3]. M.F.Hoque and A.C.Paul, "On Centralizers of Semiprime Gamma Rings", International Mathematical Forum, Vol . 6No.13 ,(2011), 627- 638
- [4]. M.F.Hoque ,F. S. Alshammari and A.C.Paul, "On Centralizers of Semiprime Gamma Rings withInvolution" , Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol.8 No.95,(2014), 4713- 4722 .
- [5]. N.Nobusawa, " On a Generalization of the Ring Theory", Osaka J. Math., (1964), 81-89.
- [6]. [6]. W.E.Barnes, "On the Γ -Rings of Nobusawa" , Pacific J.Math., 18 (1966), 411-422.