

n -Path Graph

P. Uma¹ and R. Kala²

Department of Mathematics, Einstein College of Engineering Tirunelveli 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India.

Department of Mathematics, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: The n -path graph $PG_n(G)$ of a graph G is a graph having the same vertex set as G and 2 vertices u and v in $PG_n(G)$ are adjacent if and only if there exist a path of length n between u and v in G . In this paper we find n -path graph of some standard graphs. Bounds are given for the degree of a vertex in $PG_n(G)$. We further characterise graphs G with $PG_2(G) = \overline{G}$, $PG_2(G) = G$ and $PG_2(G) = K_n$

Keyword: n -path, distance, diameter. AMS subject classification: 05C76

I. Introduction

By a graph $G = (V, E)$ we mean a finite, undirected connected graph without loops and multiple edges. Terms not defined here are used in the sense of Harary[2,].

Research in graph theory is developing in diverse aspects. One among these is the study of graphs derived from graphs. In this paper we define a new graph called n -path graph for any connected graph G . It is defined as a graph having the same vertex set as G and 2 vertices u and v are adjacent in $PG_n(G)$ if and only if there exist a path of length n between u and v in G .

The open neighbourhood $N(v)$ of a vertex v in a graph G is the set of all vertices adjacent to v in G .

II. Main Results

Definition 2.1 The n -path graph $PG_n(G)$ of a graph G is a graph having the same vertex set as G and 2 vertices u and v in $PG_n(G)$ are adjacent if and only if there exist a path of length n between u and v in G .

Example 2.2 A graph G and its $PG_n(G)$ are given in figure.

Theorem 2.3

1. $PG_2(K_{1,n}) = K_n \cup K_1$.
2. $PG_2(B_{m,n}) = K_{m+1} \cup K_{n+1}$.
3. $PG_2(K_{m,n}) = K_m \cup K_n$.
4. If G is a spider $S(K_{1,n})$ then $PG_2(G) = K_n \cup K_{1,n}$.
5. If G is a wounded spider with r wounded edges then $PG_2(G) = K_{1,n-r} \cup K_n$.
6. If G is a Wheel W_n then $PG_2(G) = K_{n+1}$.
7. $PG_2(K_n) = K_n$.

Proof.

1. Let $V(K_{1,n}) = (V_1, V_2)$, where $V_1 = \{v_0\}$, $V_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. All the vertices of V_2 are connected to each other by a path of length 2. So all the n vertices in V_2 are adjacent to each other in $PG_2(G)$. Also v_0 is not adjacent to any other vertex in $PG_2(G)$. Hence $PG_2(K_{1,n}) = K_n \cup K_1$.

2. Let the vertices of $B(m, n)$ be $v_1, v_2, v_{1i} (1 \leq i \leq m)$, $v_{2j} (1 \leq j \leq n)$, where v_1, v_2 are 2 centers and v_{1i}, v_{2j} are pendent vertices. Let $S_1 = \{v_1, v_{21}, v_{22}, \dots, v_{2n}\}$, $S_2 = \{v_2, v_{11}, v_{12}, \dots, v_{1m}\}$ be a partition of

$V(G)$. No vertex of S_1 is connected by a path of length 2 to a vertex of S_2 . Therefore $\langle S_1 \rangle$ and $\langle S_2 \rangle$ are 2 components in $PG_2(G)$. Also any 2 vertices in S_1 (resp. S_2) are connected by a path of length 2 in $PG_2(G)$. Hence $PG_2(B_{m,n}) = K_{m+1} \cup K_{n+1}$.

3. Let $V(K_{m,n}) = U \cup V$, where $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}, V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. As above, we get $PG_2(K_{m,n}) = K_m \cup K_n$.

4. Let the vertices of $K_{1,n}$ be $\{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ where v_0 is the center. Let G be the spider obtained by subdividing $K_{1,n}$ and u_i be the new vertex obtained by subdividing $v_0v_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Let $S_1 = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $S_2 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be a partition of the vertex set. No vertex of S_1 is connected by a path of length 2 to a vertex of S_2 . So $\langle S_1 \rangle$ and $\langle S_2 \rangle$ are 2 components in $PG_2(G)$. Also any 2 vertices of S_2 are connected to each other by a path of length 2. So in $PG_2(G)$, $\langle S_2 \rangle = K_n$. Also all the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are connected to v_0 by a path of length 2 and they are not connected to themselves by a path of length 2. So $\langle S_1 \rangle = K_{1,n}$. Hence $PG_2(G) = K_n \cup K_{1,n}$.

5. Let the vertices of $K_{1,n}$ be $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ where v_0 is the center. Let G be the wounded spider obtained by subdividing $n-r$ edges. Let u_i be the new vertex obtained by subdividing $v_0v_i (1 \leq i \leq n-r)$. By an argument similar to the above, we get $PG_2(G) = K_{1,n-r} \cup K_n$.

6. Let the vertices of the wheel W_n be $\{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, where v is the center of the wheel. Every vertex is connected by a path of length 2 to all the other vertices. Hence $PG_2(W_n) = K_{n+1}$.

7. Let the vertices of K_n be $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. It is obvious that $PG_2(K_n) = K_n$.

Theorem 2.4 Let P_n be a path on n vertices. $PG_2(P_n) = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2}$, where $n_1 + n_2 = n, n_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ and $n_2 = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$.

Proof. Let P_n be $v_1v_2 \dots v_n$. Let $n_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, n_2 = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$. Let $S_1 = \{v_1, v_3, \dots\}, S_2 = \{v_2, v_4, \dots\}$. No vertex of S_1 is adjacent to a vertex of S_2 in $PG_2(P_n)$. So $\langle S_1 \rangle$ and $\langle S_2 \rangle$ are two components of $PG_2(P_n)$. Also $|S_1| = n_1$ and $|S_2| = n_2$. It is easy to observe that $\langle S_1 \rangle = P_{n_1}$ and $\langle S_2 \rangle = P_{n_2}$. Hence $PG_2(P_n) = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2}$.

Now we extend this to any positive integer r .

Theorem 2.5 Let P_n be a path of n vertices. $PG_r(P_n) = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup P_{n_r}$, where $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r = n$ and $n_i = \left\lfloor \frac{n-i}{r} \right\rfloor + 1. (1 \leq i \leq r)$

Proof. Let $S_i = \{v_i, v_{r+i}, v_{2r+i}, \dots, v_{k_i r+i}\}, k_i = \left\lfloor \frac{n-i}{r} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq i \leq r$. By the definition of $PG_r(P_n)$, v_i and $v_{k_i r+i}$ are the 2 vertices which are adjacent to one vertex and all other vertices are adjacent to 2 vertices. Therefore each $\langle S_i \rangle$ is a path of length k_i . Also for any i and $j (1 \leq i, j \leq r)$, no vertex of S_i is

adjacent to a vertex of S_j . Therefore $\langle S_i \rangle$'s are disconnected components of $PG_r(P_n)$. Hence $PG_r(P_n) = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup P_{n_k}$.

Lemma 2.6 Let C_n be a cycle of length n . Then $PG_r(C_n) = PG_{n-r}(C_n)$.

Proof. If there is a path of length r in the clockwise direction then there is a path of length $n-r$ in the anti clockwise direction. So $PG_r(C_n) = PG_{n-r}(C_n)$.

Theorem 2.7 Let C_n be a cycle of length n . Then $PG_r(C_n) = qC_{\frac{n}{q}}$, where $q = gcd(r, n)$.

Proof. Let $V(C_n) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ and $q = gcd(r, n)$. Let us partition $V(C_n)$ into r subsets as follows. Assume that the vertices of S_i 's are listed in the increasing order of their indices.

$$S_i = \{rs + i / i = 1, 2, \dots, k, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-k}{r}, n \equiv k(mod r)\},$$

$$S_j = \{rs + j / j = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-k}{r} - 1\}.$$

By the choice of S_i any 2 vertices in each S_i 's are at a distance mr , $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore each $\langle S_i \rangle$ is connected in $PG_r(C_n)$.

Case:1 $r | n$

Now $n \equiv 0(mod r)$ and so $k = 0$.

Claim $\langle S_j \rangle$'s are r components in $PG_r(C_n)$.

Suppose 2 vertices $v_m \in S_i$ and $v_n \in S_j$ are connected in $PG_2(C_n)$.

$d(v_m, v_n) = |rm_1 + i - (rm_2 + j)| = |r(m_1 - m_2) + (i - j)| \neq$ multiple of r (since $i - j < r$), which is a contradiction. $\langle S_j \rangle$'s are r components in $PG_r(C_n)$ and each $\langle S_j \rangle$ has $\frac{n}{r}$ vertices. Therefore

$$PG_r(C_n) = rC_{\frac{n}{r}} = gcd(r, n)C_1.$$

If $r = \frac{n}{2}$, then $PG_r(C_n) = rP_2$.

Case:2 $r \nmid n$

Claim 1: Last vertex of S_i and first vertex of S_j are adjacent where

$$t = \begin{cases} i + r - k, & \text{if } i + r - k \leq r \\ i + r - k - r & \text{if } i + r - k > r \end{cases}$$

Let u be the vertex which is at a distance r in the clockwise direction from the last vertex of S_i . Therefore

$$u = r\left(\frac{n-k}{r} + 1\right) + i - n = n - k + r + i - n = r - k + i. \text{ Hence the claim.}$$

Claim 2: If S_i and S_j lies in the same component in $PG_r(C_n)$ then $j - i$ is a multiple of q .

Suppose S_i and S_j lies in the same component in $PG_r(C_n)$. Then by claim 1, $j - i$ is a multiple of $r - k$, which is a multiple of q . Hence $j - i$ is a multiple of q .

If $q = 1$, then by claim 2, all the S_i 's are connected and hence $PG_r(C_n) = C_n$.

Suppose $q > 1$.

Claim 3: No two of S_1, S_2, \dots, S_q lie in the same component.

If S_i and S_j , ($1 \leq i < j \leq q$) lies in the same component, then $j - i$ is a multiple of q , which is a contradiction.

Hence by claims 2 and 3, $PG_r(C_n)$ has q components and each has equal number of S_i 's. Therefore

$$PG_r(C_n) = qC_{\frac{n}{q}}.$$

Theorem 2.8 Let G be any graph and let $v \in V(G)$. Then $deg_{PG_2(G)}(v) \leq \sum_{u \in N(v)} (deg_G(u) - 1)$. Further

equality holds for any vertex v iff v does not lie in a C_4, K_4 or $K_4 - e$.

Proof. By the definition of $PG_2(G)$, v is adjacent to all the vertices which are connected to it by a path of length 2. In other words all the vertices of $\{N(u) - \{v\} / u \in N(v)\}$ are adjacent to v in $PG_2(G)$. Therefore

$$deg_{PG_2(G)} v \leq \sum_{u \in N(v)} (deg_G u - 1).$$

If v does not lie in a C_4 , then $\bigcap_{u \in N(v)} (N(u) - \{v\}) = \emptyset$. Therefore $deg_{PG_2(G)} v = \sum_{u \in N(v)} (deg_G u - 1)$.

Conversely, let $v \in V(G)$ with $deg_{PG_2(G)} v = \sum_{u \in N(v)} (deg_G u - 1)$. Let $deg_G(v)$ be denoted by δ_v .

Claim v does not lie in a C_4 .

If not, suppose v lies in a C_4 . Let $N(v) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\delta_v}\}$ and $N(u_i) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\delta_{u_i}}\} (1 \leq i \leq \delta_v)$.

The cycle C_4 containing v is either of the form $vu_i u_j u_k v$ or of the form $vu_r w_s u_t v$. In both the cases

$$deg_{PG_2(G)}(v) < \sum_{u \in N(v)} (deg_G u - 1),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore v does not lie in a C_4 .

Corollary 2.9 If G is a r -regular graph, then for every $v \in V(G)$, $deg_{PG_2(G)} v \leq r(r - 1)$.

Theorem 2.10 Let G be any graph. Let $v \in V(G)$ and $S_i = \{u \in V(G) / d(u, v) = i\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Then

$$|S_n| \leq deg_{PG_n(G)}(v) \leq |S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_n|.$$

Proof. The set S_n contains all the vertices which are at a distance n from v . So there is a path of length n

between them. Therefore $deg_{PG_n(G)}(v) \geq |S_n|$. Every vertex u adjacent to v in $PG_n(G)$ should lie in at

least one $S_j (1 \leq j \leq n)$. Hence $deg_{PG_n(G)}(v) \leq |S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_n|$.

Corollary 2.11 If G is a tree, then $deg_{PG_n(G)}(v) = |S_n|$.

Proof. For a tree, there is only one path between any 2 vertices. Hence for every $u \in S_i$, there is only one path of length n between u and v .

So $deg_{PG_n(G)} v = |S_n|$.

Lemma 2.12 Let T be a tree. For $u, v \in V(T)$, $d_T(u, v)$ is even if and only if u & v are connected by a path in $PG_2(T)$.

Proof. Assume $d_T(u, v) = 2n$. Let $u = u_1, u_2 \dots u_{2n} u_{2n+1} = v$ be the path connecting u & v . By the

definition of 2-path graph, u_1 & u_3 are adjacent in $PG_2(T)$. Let the edge be e_1 . Likewise we have $u_3u_5 = e_2, u_5u_7 = e_2, \dots, u_{2n-1}u_{2n+1} = e_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. Therefore there is a path of length $\frac{n-1}{2}$ between u & v in $PG_2(T)$.

Conversely assume u & v are connected by a path of length l in $PG_2(T)$. Let $u = w_1, w_2 \dots w_{l+1} = v$ be the path connecting u & v in $PG_2(T)$. By the definition of 2-path graph, as w_1, w_2 are adjacent in $PG_2(T)$, there is a path of length 2 between w_1 & w_2 in T . Let the path be $w_1, w_{1'}, w_2$. Since T is a tree, this path is the unique path between w_1 & w_2 in T . by similar argument we get the path between u & v in T namely $w_1, w_{1'}, w_2, w_{2'}, w_3 \dots w_l, w_{l'}, w_{l+1}$ which is of length $2l$. Hence the proof.

Theorem 2.13 For any connected tree T . $PG_2(T)$ is disconnected with 2 components. But the converse is not true.

Proof.

Let T be a connected tree. Let u be a pendant vertex & v is the support. Let the vertex set $V_1 = V(T) - \{u\}$ is partition as follows $V_1 = S^1 \cup S^2, S^1 = S^1_1 \cup S^2_2 \cup \dots \cup S^1_l$ and $S^2 = S^2_1 \cup S^2_2 \cup \dots \cup S^2_m$ and $S^1_i = \{v^1_{ir} \in V_1/d(u, v^1_{ir}) = 2i, 1 \leq r \leq l_i\}$
 $S^2_j = \{v^2_{jt} \in V_1/d(u, v^2_{jt}) = 2j-1, 1 \leq t \leq m_j\}$.

Claim:1 $\langle S^1 \rangle$ is connected in $PG_2(T)$.

Let $v^1_{is} \in S^1_i$ & $v^1_{jt} \in S^1_j$. Since T is connected there exists a path $v^1_{is} = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m = v^1_{jt}$ in T . $u_1 \in S^1_i, u_2 \in S^2_{i+1} \cup S^2_{i-1}$, since no two vertices of S^1_i are adjacent. i.e, $u_2 \in S^2, u_3 \in S^1$ etc. ie) $u_l \in S^1$ if l is odd. Here $v^1_{jt} = u_m \in S^1$. Therefore m is odd. Therefore length of path is even. By lemma 2.12, v^1_{is} & v^1_{jt} are connected in $PG_2(T)$. ie) $\langle S^1 \rangle$ is connected in $PG_2(T)$. Similarly $\langle S^2 \rangle$ is connected in $PG_2(T)$.

Claim:2 $\langle S^1 \rangle$ and $\langle S^2 \rangle$ are disconnected in $PG_2(T)$. Let $v^1_{is} \in S^1_i$ & $v^2_{jt} \in S^2_j$. Let $v^1_{is} = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n = v^2_{jt}$ be the path between v^1_{is} & v^2_{jt} in T . $v^1_{is} = w_1 \in S^1_i \Rightarrow w_2 \in S^1_{i+1} \cup S^1_{i-1}$. i.e., $w_2 \in S^2, w_3 \in S^1$ so on. Since $w_m = v^2_{jt} \in S^2$ implies m is even. ie) $d(v^1_{is}, v^2_{jt})$ is odd. By lemma v^1_{is} & v^2_{jt} are not connected in $PG_2(T)$. ie) $\langle S^1 \rangle$ and $\langle S^2 \rangle$ are disconnected in $PG_2(T)$. Hence $PG_2(T)$ is disconnected with 2 components. Converse is not true.

Example 2.14 $PG_2(C_6)$ has 2 components.

Theorem 2.15 For any graph G , $PG_2(G) = \overline{G}$ if and only if G is a star.

Proof. Suppose $PG_2(G) = \overline{G}$. If 2 vertices. u and v are adjacent in G then they are not adjacent in \overline{G} and vice versa. Since $PG_2(G) = \overline{G}$, any 2 adjacent vertices u and v in G are not connected by a path of length 2. ie., G is K_3 -free. Also for any two non-adjacent vertices u and v in G , there is a path of length 2 between u and v so that distance between u and v is 2. Thus $diam(G) \leq 2$. Hence $G \cong K_{1,n}$. Converse is obvious.

Theorem 2.16 For any simple graph G , $PG_2(G)$ can never be a path.

Proof. If not there exist a graph G with $PG_2(G) \cong P_p$. By theorem 2.7, G does not contain C_n as a

subgraph. Therefore G is a tree. By theorem 2.3, G does not contain $K_{1,n}$ ($n \geq 3$) as a subgraph. Therefore G is a path. By theorem 2.4, $PG_2(G)$ is disconnected which is a contradiction.

Theorem 2.17 If G is an Eulerian graph which does not contain C_4, K_4 or $K_4 - e$ as an induced subgraph, then $PG_2(G)$ is also Eulerian.

Proof. G is Eulerian and so $deg_G u$ is even $\forall u \in V(G)$. Since G is C_4 -free, by Theorem 2.8, $deg_{PG_2(G)} u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} (deg(v) - 1)$. Since $|N(u)|$ is even and $(deg(v) - 1)$ is odd, $deg_{PG_2(G)} u$ is even.

Hence $PG_2(G)$ is Eulerian. But the converse is not true.

Example 2.18 $PG_2(G)$ is Eulerian but G is an Eulerian graph which contains K_4 as an induced subgraph.

Theorem 2.19 If G', G'' are 2 graphs such that $G' \cong G''$, then $PG_2(G') \cong PG_2(G'')$. But the converse is not true.

Proof. Let ϕ be an isomorphism of G' onto G'' .

Then $(u, v) \in E(G')$ iff $(\phi(u), \phi(v)) \in E(G'')$

$(u, v) \in E(PG_2(G'))$

\Leftrightarrow There is a path of length 2 between u and v in G' .

\Leftrightarrow There is a path of length 2 between $\phi(u)$ and $\phi(v)$ in G'' .

$\Leftrightarrow (\phi(u), \phi(v)) \in E(PG_2(G''))$.

Therefore $PG_2(G') \cong PG_2(G'')$.

Converse is not true.

Consider G' and G'' given above. we observe that $G' \cong G''$, but $PG_2(G') \cong PG_2(G'')$.

Theorem 2.20 Let G be a connected graph. $PG_2(G) = G$ iff $G \cong C_{2n+1}$ or K_p .

Proof. Assume $PG_2(G) = G$.

By theorem 2.12, G is not a tree.

Also G contains no pendent edge.

If G is a unicyclic graph, then by theorem 2.7 $G \cong C_{2n+1}$.

If $G \cong C_{2n+1}$ we prove that $G \cong K_p$ by induction on p .

If $p = 4$, then the only graph with $PG_2(G) = G$ is K_4 .

Assume that if G is a graph with n vertices with $PG_2(G) = G$ then $G \cong K_n$.

Let G be a graph with $n+1$ vertices and $PG_2(G) = G$. Let $u \in V(G)$. Let $G' = G - \{u\}$.

By induction hypothesis $G' \cong K_p$.

claim: In G , u is adjacent to all the n vertices of G' .

If not, u is not adjacent to $v \in V(G')$. Since G is connected, u is adjacent to $v' \in V(G')$ and v' is adjacent to $v \Rightarrow u$ and v are adjacent in $PG_2(G)$ which is contradiction to $PG_2(G) = G$.

Therefore u is adjacent to all the vertices of G' .

Therefore $G \cong K_{(n+1)}$.

Converse is obvious.

Theorem 2.21 If G is a connected graph that contains a spanning subgraph isomorphic to G_1, G_2 or G_3 given below, then $PG_2(G) = K_n$. But converse is not true.

Proof. Let $G \cong G_1, G_2$ or G_3 . It is clear any two vertices in G_1, G_2, G_3 are connected by a path of length 2.

Therefore $deg_{PG_2(G)}u = p - 1$

Therefore $PG_2(G) = K_n$.

Converse is not true.

Here $PG_2(G) = K_n$ but $G \not\cong G_1, G_2$ or G_3 .

References

- [1]. S. Arumugam, S. Velammal, Edge Domination in Graphs, Taiwanese Journal of mathematics, 2(2)(1998), 173-179.
- [2]. F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1972.