

A Generalization of QN-Maps

S. C. Arora¹, Vagisha Sharma²

¹Former Professor & Head, Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi, INDIA

²Department of Mathematics, IP College for Women, (University of Delhi), Delhi, INDIA

Abstract: The notion of GQN-Maps is introduced and some results regarding these maps are obtained.

Keywords: Quasi-nonexpansive maps, GQN-maps, convex set, fixed point set, continuous maps, retract, retraction mapping, locally weakly compact, conditional fixed point property.

AMS subject classification codes: 47H10, 54H25

I. Introduction

A self mapping T of a subset C of a normed linear space X is said to be nonexpansive if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all x, y in C [3]. It is quasi-nonexpansive if T has at least one fixed point p of T in C and $\|Tx - p\| \leq \|x - p\|$ for all x in C and for each fixed point p of T in C [5,6]. Many results have been proved for nonexpansive and quasi-nonexpansive mappings. One may refer Browder and Petryshyn [1], Bruck [4], Chidume [5], Das and Debata [6], Dotson [7], Petryshyn and Williamson [8], Rhoades [9], Singh and Nelson [11], Senter and Dotson [10] and many more.

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce the notion of generalized quasi-nonexpansive mappings (GQN-maps).

Throughout the paper, unless stated otherwise, X denotes a Banach space, \mathfrak{R} , the field of real numbers, \bar{A} , the closure of A and $F(T)$, the fixed point set of a mapping T . A subset C of X is locally compact if each point of C has a compact neighbourhood in C [12]. The mapping r from a set C onto A , A being a subset of C , is a retraction mapping if $ra = a$ for all a in A [2].

II. Definition

2.1: A selfmapping T of a subset C of X is said to be generalised quasi-nonexpansive mapping (GQN-map) provided T has at least one fixed point and corresponding to each fixed point T , there exists a constant M depending on the fixed point p (referred as $M(p)$) in \mathfrak{R} such that for each x belonging to C ,

$$\|Tx - p\| \leq M(p) \|x - p\|$$

Clearly, every quasi-nonexpansive map is a GQN map. However, the converse may not be true. Example 1.2 establishes the same. It is well known that for a linear map, the fixed point set $F(T)$ is convex and for a continuous map, the fixed point set is closed. But there are non-linear discontinuous GQN-maps whose fixed point sets are closed and convex.

Example 2.2:

- (i) Define $T: [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \rightarrow [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ by

$$Tx = x + (x - \frac{\pi}{4})(\cos x + 1)$$
 Then $F(T) = \{ \frac{\pi}{4} \}$
- (ii) Define $T: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$Tx = (n+1)x - 1, \frac{1}{n+1} < x \leq \frac{1}{n}, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$T(0) = 1$$
 Then $F(T) = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\}$
- (iii) Define $T: \mathfrak{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ by

$$Tx = \frac{1-x}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1} < x \leq \frac{1}{n}, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
 Then $F(T) = \{ \frac{1}{n+1} : n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \}$
- (iv) Consider the Banach space $\mathfrak{R}^n = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathfrak{R} \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$.
 Set $C = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) : x_n = 0 \text{ for all } n > 2, x_2 \neq 0, x_2 \neq 1\}$.

Define $T : C \rightarrow C$ by
 $T(x_1, x_2, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = (2x_2 - (x_2 - 1)x_1, x_2, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$
 Then $F(T) = \{(2, x_2, 0, 0, \dots, 0) : x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \sim \{0, 1\}\}$.

The above examples show that $F(T)$ may or may not be closed and convex for a GQN-map. Note that except in example (i), the GQN-maps are discontinuous also. The exact set of conditions under which the fixed point set of a GQN-map is closed and convex, are yet to be obtained, but the conditions for $F(T)$ to be a GQN-retract are obtained in the next section.

III. Main results

IV.

In this section, C always denotes a closed, bounded and convex subset of the space X .

Definition 3.1: A subset A of C is said to be a GQN-retract of C if there exists a retraction mapping r from C onto A which is a GQN-map.

To find the set of conditions for any nonempty subset of a locally weakly compact set to be a GQN-retract, we prove the following two lemmas:

Lemma 3.2: Suppose A is a nonempty subset of a locally weakly compact set C and let $G(A) = \{f : C \rightarrow C \text{ is a GQN-map and } f(x) = x \text{ for each } x \text{ in } A\}$. Then $G(A)$ is compact in the topology of weak-pointwise convergence.

Proof: Fix $x_0 \in A$. For each $f \in G(A)$, there exists a real number $M_f(x_0)$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| \leq M_f(x_0) \|x - x_0\| \text{ for all } x \in C.$$

$$\text{Let } M(x_0) = \sup_{f \in G(A)} M_f(x_0).$$

Case (i): Let $M(x_0)$ be finite. For each $x \in C$, define $A_x = \{y \in C : \|y - x_0\| \leq M(x_0) \|x - x_0\|\}$. Then A_x contains $f(x)$ for each x in C and $f \in G(A)$ which gives that $G(A)$ is a subset of the Cartesian product $P = \prod_{x \in C} A_x$. Now A_x is convex and weakly compact. So if A_x is given the weak topology and P is given the product topology, by Tychonoff's theorem for the product of compact sets, P is compact.

Case (ii): Let $M(x_0)$ be infinite. Then $P = C$ and hence P is compact. Now to show that $G(A)$ is closed in P , let f be a limit point of $G(A)$ in P and $\langle f_\lambda \rangle$, a net in $G(A)$ such that $f_\lambda \rightarrow f$. Then, using lower semi-continuity of the norm function and the fact that f_λ is in $G(A)$, we get that $G(A)$ is a closed subset of the compact set P and hence is compact as desired.

Lemma 3.3: Suppose A is nonempty subset of C and C is locally weakly compact. Then there exists an $r \in G(A)$ such that for each $f \in G(A)$ we have $\|rx - ry\| \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\|$ for all x, y in C .

Proof: Define an order $<$ on $G(A)$ by setting $f < g$ if $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \|g(x) - g(y)\|$ for each x, y in C with inequality holding for at least one pair of x and y . Also $f \leq g$ means either $f < g$ or $f = g$. Clearly \leq is a partial order on $G(A)$. For each f in $G(A)$, we define the initial segment $Is(f) = \{g \in G(A) : g \leq f\}$. Then, as shown in lemma 2.2, $Is(f)$ is closed and compact in $G(A)$. Now consider a chain ξ in $G(A)$. Then $T = \{Is(f) : f \in \xi\}$ is a chain of compact sets under set-inclusion as a partial order relation. By the finite intersection property for compact sets, T is bounded below, say, by $Is(h)$. Then $f \leq h \forall f \in G(A)$.

Now we prove the desired result in the following form:

Theorem 3.4: Suppose C is locally weakly compact and A is a nonempty subset of C . Suppose further that for each z in C , there exists an $h \in G(A)$ such that $h(z) \in A$. Then A is a GQN-retract of C .

Proof: By lemma 2.3, there exists an $r \in G(A)$ such that for each x, y in C and $f \in G(A)$

$$\|r(x) - r(y)\| \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\| \dots\dots\dots (2.1)$$

Also, it can be easily verified that for each $f \in G(A)$, the composite map $f \circ r \in G(A)$. Since $r \in G(A)$, it is sufficient to show that for each $x \in C$, $r(x) \in A$. For this, let $x \in C$ and put $z = r(x)$. Then as $z \in C$, the hypothesis assures the existence of an $h \in G(A)$ such that $h(r(x)) \in A$. Now, let $h(r(x)) = y$ then as $h \circ r \in G(A)$, the inequality 2.1 implies

$$\|r(x) - r(y)\| \leq \|h \circ r(x) - h \circ r(y)\| \dots\dots\dots (2.2)$$

Since $y = h(r(x)) \in A$ and $r \in G(A)$, therefore, $r(y) = y$ which further implies $h(r(y)) = h(y) = y = h(r(x))$. So we get, in view of 2.2, that $r(x) \in A$.

Since for a GQN-map T , the fixed point set $F(T)$ is always nonempty, so we have the following :

Corollary 3.5: Let C be a locally weakly compact set and $T: C \rightarrow C$ is a GQN-map. Suppose that for each $z \in C$ there exists an h in $G(F(T))$ such that $h(z) \in F(T)$. Then $F(T)$ is a GQN-retract of C .

Theorem 3.6: Under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, the class of GQN-retracts is closed under arbitrary intersection.

Proof: By theorem 2.4, the collection $\{A_f : f \in \xi\}$, where ξ is a chain in $G(A)$, has a minimal element f in $G(A)$ which is a GQN-retract of C . Let $\Lambda = \{A_f \subseteq C : f \in G(A) \text{ and } A_f \text{ is the corresponding GQN-retract of } C\}$. Clearly $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ as $A \in \Lambda$. Order Λ by $A_f \subseteq A_g$ iff $f \leq g \forall f$ and g in $G(A)$. By Zorn's lemma, Λ has a minimal element, say, A_g . It can be seen that g is minimal in $G(A)$.

Put $F = \bigcap_{f \in G(A)} A_f$. As $A \subseteq F(f)$ for every f , therefore, F is nonempty. Also minimality of g in $G(A)$ implies that A_g is contained in each GQN-retract of C and hence in F . Then $F = A_g$. Thus F is a GQN-retract of C .

We now establish that the set of common fixed points of an increasing sequence of GQN-maps is a GQN-retract of C .

Theorem 3.7: Let C be a locally weakly compact subset of X . If $\langle r_n \rangle$ is a sequence of GQN-maps in $G(A)$ such that the corresponding GQN-retracts $F(r_n)$ form an increasing sequence with $\bigcap_n F(r_n) \neq \emptyset$ then there exists a GQN-map r from C to C such that $F(r) = \bigcap_n F(r_n)$.

Proof: Consider $\mathfrak{F} = \{F(r_n) : r_n \text{ is a GQN-retraction of } C \text{ onto } F(r_n)\}$. Order \mathfrak{F} as $A \leq B$ if $A \subseteq B$. By Zorn's lemma, there exists a minimal element, say, $F \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then $F = \bigcap_n F(r_n)$. Thus $\bigcap_n F(r_n)$ is a GQN-retract of C .

By hypothesis, $\bigcap_n F(r_n) \neq \emptyset$. So let $p \in \bigcap_n F(r_n)$. Then $p \in F(r_n)$ for each n . Choose a sequence $\langle \lambda_n \rangle$ of positive numbers such that $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$ and let $r = \sum_n \lambda_n r_n$. For each $p \in \bigcap_n F(r_n)$ and $x \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|r(x) - r(p)\| &\leq \|(\sum_n \lambda_n r_n)(x) - (\sum_n \lambda_n r_n)(p)\| \\ &\leq \sum_n \lambda_n \|r_n x - r_n p\| \\ &\leq M(p) \|x - p\| \end{aligned}$$

as $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$ and $M(p) = \max_n \{M_{r_n}(p) : M_{r_n}(p) \text{ is a constant corresponding to the GQN-map } r_n\}$. Thus r is a GQN-map. Further, using $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$, it can be shown that $F(r) = \bigcap_n F(r_n)$ which proves the result.

Definition 3.8: [3]: A mapping $T: C \rightarrow X$ is said to satisfy the conditional fixed point property (CFPP) if either T has no fixed point or T has a fixed point in each nonempty bounded closed set it leaves invariant.

Definition 3.9: A nonempty subset C is said to have the hereditary fixed point property (HFPP) for GQN maps if every nonempty bounded closed convex subset of C has a fixed point for GQN-mappings.

Following Bruck [3], we prove the following:

Theorem 3.10: If C is locally weakly compact and $T: C \rightarrow C$ is a GQN-map which satisfies CFPP then $F(T)$ is a GQN retract of C .

Proof: By definition of T , $F(T)$ is nonempty. For a fixed z in C , define $K = \{f(z) : f \in G(F(T))\}$. In view of the compactness of $G(F(T))$, following [3], K is weakly compact and hence bounded. Also, $K \neq \emptyset$. For f and g in $G(F(T))$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, consider $\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g$. If $y_0 \in F(T)$ then $F(y_0) = y_0 = g(y_0)$ so that for all x, y in C ,

$$\|(\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g)(x) - y_0\| \leq (\lambda M_f(y_0) + (1 - \lambda)M_g(y_0)) \|x - x_0\|$$

where $M_f(y_0)$ and $M_g(y_0)$ are real numbers corresponding to the fixed point y_0 and for mappings f and g respectively. Let us put $M_{(\lambda M_f + (1 - \lambda)M_g)}(y_0) = \lambda M_f(y_0) + (1 - \lambda)M_g(y_0)$ then $\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g$ is a GQN-map.

Also every fixed point x of T is a fixed point of $\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g$ and hence K is convex. Also for $f \in G(F(T))$, $T \circ f \in G(F(T))$ i.e. $T(K) \subseteq K$. Therefore, by hypothesis T has a fixed point in K i.e. $\exists f \in G(F(T))$ such that $f(z) \in F(T)$ for each $z \in C$. Thus, by theorem 2.4, $F(T)$ is a GQN-retract of C .

Corollary 3.11: Suppose $T: C \rightarrow C$ is a GQN-map satisfying CFPP and the convex closure $\overline{\text{conv}(T(C))}$ of the range of T is locally weakly compact then $F(T)$ is a GQN-retract of C .

The following result can be proved following the arguments of Bruck [3].

Theorem 3.12: Let C be locally weakly compact and $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of weakly closed GQN retracts of C . Then

- (a) If this family is directed by \supseteq , then $\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha$ is a generalised quasi-nonexpansive retract of C .
- (b) If each F_α is convex and the family is directed by \subset then $\overline{(\bigcup_\alpha F_\alpha)}$, the closure of $(\bigcup_\alpha F_\alpha)$, is a generalised quasi-nonexpansive retract of C .

Lemma 3.13: Let C be weakly compact and satisfies HFPP for GQN-maps. Let F be nonempty GQN- retract of C and $T: C \rightarrow C$ is a GQN-map which leaves F invariant. Then $F(T) \cap F$ is a nonempty GQN-retract of C .

Theorem 3.14: Suppose C is weakly compact and has HFPP for GQN-maps. If $\{T_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a finite family of commuting GQN-maps $T_j: C \rightarrow C$ then $\bigcap_{j=1}^n F(T_j)$ is a nonempty GQN-retract of C .

Theorem 3.15: Let $\{T_\alpha: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a family of GQN-maps of C , where, Λ is some index set. If exactly one map, say T_α , of the family is linear and continuous and commutes with each of the remaining then $F(T_\alpha) \cap (\bigcap_{\beta \neq \alpha} \overline{\text{conv. } F(T_\beta)})$ is nonempty.

Proof: Without loss of generality, we may assume that T_1 is linear and continuous such that $T_1 T_\alpha = T_\alpha T_1$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Clearly $\overline{\text{conv } (F(T_1))} = F(T_1)$. Also for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $\overline{\text{conv } (F(T_\alpha))}$ is a nonempty compact convex subset of C . Linearity and continuity of T_1 implies $T_1(\overline{\text{conv } (F(T_\alpha))} \subset \overline{\text{conv } (F(T_\alpha))}$. So, by Tychonoff's theorems for fixed points, T_1 has fixed points in $\overline{\text{conv } (F(T_\alpha))}$ and hence the result.

Remark 3.16: In the proof of the above result, the condition of the self mapping being GQN-map is required to assume that $F(T_\alpha)$'s are nonempty. So if the hypothesis of the theorem contains the fact that $F(T_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, the result remains true for an ordinary family of mappings with exactly one map of the family being linear and continuous.

The result of theorem 2.15 can be extended to a countable intersection of convex closures of $F(T_j)$'s but the least conditions required are yet to be traced though the result is trivially true for the family of linear and continuous maps.

References

- [1]. Browder, F.E. and Petryshyn, W.V.: The Solutions Of Iterations Of Nonlinear Functional Analysis Of Banach Spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 571 – 575.
- [2]. Bruck R. E.: Nonexpansive Retracts of Banachspaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 384 – 386.
- [3]. Bruck, R. E.: Properties of Fixed Points of Nonexpansive Mappings In Banach Spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 179 (1973), 251 – 262.
- [4]. Bruck, R.E.: A Common Fixed Point Theorem For A Commuting Family of Nonexpansive maps, Pac. J. Math., Vol. 53, No.1, 1974, 59 – 71.
- [5]. Chidume, C.E.: Quasi-Nonexpansive Mappings And Uniform Asymptotic Regularity, Kobe J. Math. 3 (1986), No.1, 29 – 35.
- [6]. Das, G. and Debata, J. P.: Fixed Points Of Quasi-Nonexpansive Mappings, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math, 17 (1986), No.11, 1263 – 1269.
- [7]. Dotson, W. G.: Fixed Points Of Quasi- Nonexpansive Mappings, J. Australian Math. Soc. 13 (1972), 167 – 170.
- [8]. Petryshyn, W. V. AND Williamson, T. E.: Strong And Weak Convergence Of The Sequence Of Successive Approximations For Quasi-Nonexpansive Mapping, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 43 (1973), 459 – 497.
- [9]. Rhoades, B. E.: Fixed point Iterations Of Generalised Nonexpansive Mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 130 (1988), No. 2, 564 – 576.
- [10]. Senter, H. F. And Dotson, W. G.: Approximating Fixed Points Of Nonexpansive Mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1974), 375 – 380.
- [11]. Singh, K. L. AND Nelson, James L.: Nonstationary Process For Quasi- Nonexpansive mappings, Math. Japon. 30 (1985), No. 6, 963 – 970.
- [12]. Yosida, K.: Functional Analysis, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 1979.