

## A Common Fixed Point Result for Compatible Mappings of Type (P) in Metrically Convex Metric Spaces

Geeta Modi\* And Bhawna Bhatt\*\*

\* Department of Mathematics, Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalaya, Bhopal, India

\*\*Department of Mathematics, Truba College Of Science and Technology, Bhopal, India

**Abstract:** In the present paper, we improve upon a result on common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (P) in metrically convex metric spaces by relaxing continuity restriction of two out of four mappings.

MSC: 47H10, 54H25

**Key Words:** Metrically convex metric spaces, Compatible mappings, Compatible mappings of type (P)

### I. Introduction:

Compatible mappings of type (P) were introduced in [5]. Later on [4] gave a result on common fixed point theorems for such mappings. We improve one of its results for metrically convex metric spaces. The result is as follows:

**THEOREM 1.1:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metrically convex metric space and  $K$  a non empty closed subset of  $X$ . Suppose that  $S, T: X \rightarrow X$  are continuous from  $X$  into itself  $\partial K \subset S(K) \cap T(K)$ , where  $\partial$  denotes boundary of  $K$  and  $A, B: K \rightarrow X$  are continuous mappings with  $A(K) \cap K \subset S(K), B(K) \cap K \subset T(K)$ . Suppose further that the pairs  $(A, T)$  and  $(B, S)$  are relatively compatible of type (P) satisfying

$$d(Ax, By) \leq \phi(d(Tx, Sy)) \quad \dots\dots\dots 1.1.1$$

for all  $x, y \in K$ , where  $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a non-decreasing and upper semi-continuous function such that  $\phi(t) < t$  and  $\sum \phi^n(t) < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ . If for  $x \in K, Tx, Sx \in \partial K \Rightarrow Ax, Bx \in K$ , then there exists a point  $z \in K$  such that  $z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz$ . Further if  $Av = Bv = Sv = Tv$ , then  $Tv = Tv$ .

We relax the restriction of all the four mappings  $A, B, S, T$  to be continuous by imposing continuity condition on only two of the four mappings. Also we change the inequality (1.1.1)

### II. Preliminaries

**DEFINITION 2.1:** Let  $A, B: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  be mappings. Then  $A, B$  are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ABx_n, BAx_n) = 0$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ .

**DEFINITION 2.2:** Let  $A, B: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  be mappings. Then  $A, B$  are said to be compatible of type (P) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(AAx_n, BBx_n) = 0$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.3:** Let  $A, B: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  be mappings. If  $A, B$  are compatible of type (P) and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ , then we have the following

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AAx_n = Bt$  if  $B$  is continuous at  $t$ .
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BBx_n = At$  if  $A$  is continuous at  $t$ .
- (iii)  $ABt = BAAt$  and  $At = Bt$  if  $A$  and  $B$  are continuous at  $t$ .

### III. MAIN RESULT

**THEOREM 3.1:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metrically convex metric space and  $K$  a non empty closed subset of  $X$ . Suppose that  $M, N: X \rightarrow X$  are continuous and  $F, G: K \rightarrow X$  satisfy the following conditions

1.  $\partial K \subset MK \cap NK$ , where  $\partial$  denotes boundary of  $K$
2.  $FK \cap K \subset NK, GK \cap K \subset MK$
3.  $Mx, Nx \in \partial K \Rightarrow Fx, Gx \in K$
4.  $(F, M)$  and  $(G, N)$  are relatively compatible of type (P)
5.  $\phi(d(Fx, Gy)) \leq c \max\{\phi(d(Mx, Ny)), \phi(d(Fx, Mx)), \phi(d(Gy, Ny)), \phi(d(Fx, Ny)) + \phi(d(Mx, Gy))\}$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is an increasing upper semi-continuous function such that  $\phi(t) = 0 \Rightarrow t = 0$ .

**PROOF:** We construct two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in the following way:

Let  $x \in \partial K$  and  $x_0 \in K$  be such that  $x = Mx_0$ . Then  $Fx_0 \in K$  by (3) and hence  $Fx_0 \in FK \cap K \subset NK$ .

This implies that there exists a point  $x_1 \in K$  such that  $y_1 = Nx_1 = Fx_0 \in K$ . Since  $y_1 = Fx_0$  there exists point  $y_2 = Gx_1$  such that  $d(y_1, y_2) = d(Fx_0, Gx_1)$ . Suppose  $y_2 \in K$ . Then  $y_2 \in GK \cap K \subset MK$  which implies that there exists a point  $x_2 \in K$  such that  $y_2 = Mx_2$ . If  $y_2 \notin K$ , then there exists point  $p \in \partial K$  such that

$$d(Nx_1, p) + d(p, y_2) = d(Nx_1, y_2)$$

Since  $p \in \partial K \subset MK$  there exists a point  $x_2 \in K$  with  $p = Mx_2$  such that

$$d(Nx_1, Mx_2) + d(Mx_2, y_2) = d(Nx_1, y_2)$$

Let  $y_3 = Fx_2$  be such that  $d(y_2, y_3) = d(Gx_1, Fx_2)$ . Thus continuing this process by similar arguments we obtain two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  such that

- (i)  $y_{2n} = Gx_{2n-1}$ ,  $y_{2n+1} = Fx_{2n}$
- (ii)  $y_{2n} \in K \Rightarrow y_{2n} = Mx_{2n}$  or  $y_{2n} \notin K \Rightarrow Mx_{2n} \in \partial K$  and  $d(Nx_{2n-1}, Mx_{2n}) + d(Mx_{2n}, y_{2n}) = d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$
- (iii)  $y_{2n+1} \in K \Rightarrow y_{2n+1} = Nx_{2n+1}$  or  $y_{2n+1} \notin K \Rightarrow Nx_{2n+1} \in \partial K$  and  $d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}) + d(Nx_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) = d(Mx_{2n}, y_{2n+1})$

We denote  $P_0 = \{Mx_{2i} \in \{Mx_{2n}\}; Mx_{2i} = y_{2i}\}$

$$P_1 = \{Mx_{2i} \in \{Mx_{2n}\}; Mx_{2i} \neq y_{2i}\}$$

$$Q_0 = \{Nx_{2i+1} \in \{Nx_{2n+1}\}; Nx_{2i+1} = y_{2i+1}\}$$

$$Q_1 = \{Nx_{2i+1} \in \{Nx_{2n+1}\}; Nx_{2i+1} \neq y_{2i+1}\}$$

We observe that  $(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}) \notin P_1 \times Q_1$  as if  $Mx_{2n} \in P_1$ , then  $y_{2n} \neq Mx_{2n}$  and we infer that  $Mx_{2n} \in \partial K \Rightarrow y_{2n+1} = Fx_{2n} \in K$ . Hence  $y_{2n+1} = Nx_{2n+1} \in Q_0$ . Similarly one can argue that  $(Mx_{2n-1}, Nx_{2n}) \notin Q_1 \times P_1$ . There arise three cases :

Case I :  $(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}) \in P_0 \times Q_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})) &= \phi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \\ &= \phi(d(Gx_{2n-1}, Fx_{2n})) \\ &= \phi(d(Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n-1})) \\ &\leq c \max\{\phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1})), \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Fx_{2n})), \phi(d(Gx_{2n-1}, Nx_{2n-1})), \\ &\quad \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Gx_{2n-1})) + \phi(d(Fx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1}))\} \\ &\leq c \max\{\phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1})), \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})), \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1})), \\ &\quad \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Mx_{2n})) + \phi(d(Nx_{2n+1}, Nx_{2n-1}))\} \\ &\leq c \max\{\phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1})), \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})), \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1})), \\ &\quad \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})) + \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1}))\} \\ &= \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})) + \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})) \leq \frac{c}{1-c} \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1}))$$

Case II :  $(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}) \in P_0 \times Q_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})) &= \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) = \phi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{1-c} \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1})) \quad [\text{From Case I}] \end{aligned}$$

Case III:  $(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}) \in P_1 \times Q_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})) &= \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, y_{2n})) + \phi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, y_{2n})) + \phi(d(Bx_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n})) \\ &\leq \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) + c \max\{\phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})), \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})), \\ &\quad \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})), \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, y_{2n})) + \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, Nx_{2n+1}))\} \\ &\leq \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) + c \max\{\phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})), \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})), \\ &\quad \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})), \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) + \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, Mx_{2n})) + \\ &\quad \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}))\} \\ &\leq \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) + c \{\phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) + \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, Mx_{2n})) + \\ &\quad \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})) \leq \frac{1+2c}{1-c} \phi(d(Nx_{2n-1}, y_{2n}))$$

$$\leq \frac{1+2c}{(1-c)^2} \phi(d(Mx_{2n-2}, Nx_{2n-1}))$$

Thus in all the three cases

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})) &\leq \max\left\{\frac{c}{1-c} \phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1})), \frac{c(1+2c)}{(1-c)^2} \phi(d(Mx_{2n-2}, Nx_{2n-1}))\right\} \\ &= k \max\left\{\phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n-1})), \phi(d(Mx_{2n-2}, Nx_{2n-1}))\right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \max\left\{\frac{c}{1-c}, \frac{c(1+2c)}{(1-c)^2}\right\} < 1$ . By induction, for  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\phi(d(Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1})) < k^n \delta \text{ and } \phi(d(Nx_{2n+1}, Mx_{2n+2})) < k^{n+1/2} \delta$$

where  $\delta = k^{-1/2} \max\left\{\phi(d(Mx_0, Nx_1)), \phi(d(Nx_1, Mx_2))\right\}$

The sequence  $\{Mx_0, Nx_1, Mx_2, Nx_3, \dots, Mx_{2n}, Nx_{2n+1}\}$  is Cauchy. Hence there exists at least one subsequence  $\{Mx_{2n}\}$  or  $\{Nx_{2n+1}\}$  which is contained in  $P_0$  or  $Q_0$  respectively and converges to  $q \in K$ . Since  $K$  is a closed subset of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , therefore

$$q = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Nx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Mx_{2n} \tag{3.1.1}$$

By hypothesis there exists a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  in  $N$  such that

$$Mx_{2n_k} = Gx_{2n_k-1} \text{ or } Nx_{2n_k+1} = Fx_{2n_k}$$

We observe

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(FFx_{2n_k}, GGx_{2n_k-1})) &\leq c \max\{\phi(d(MFx_{2n_k}, NGx_{2n_k-1})), \phi(d(MFx_{2n_k}, FFx_{2n_k}))\} \\ &\quad \phi(d(MFx_{2n_k}, GGx_{2n_k-1})) + \phi(d(FFx_{2n_k}, NGx_{2n_k-1})) \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , from (3.1.1), proposition 2.3(i) and (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(Mq, Nq)) &\leq c \max\{\phi(d(Mq, Nq)), \phi(d(Mq, Mq)), \phi(d(Nq, Nq)), \phi(d(Mq, Nq)) + \\ &\quad \phi(d(Mq, Nq))\} \\ &\leq c \max\{\phi(d(Mq, Nq)), 0, 0, 2\phi(d(Mq, Nq))\} \\ &= 2c\phi(d(Mq, Nq)) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\phi(d(Mq, Nq)) = 0$ , since  $c < \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus showing

$$Mq = Nq \tag{3.1.2}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(FFx_{2n_k}, Gq)) &\leq c \max\{\phi(d(MFx_{2n_k}, Nq)), \phi(d(MFx_{2n_k}, FFx_{2n_k})), \phi(d(Gq, Nq)), \\ &\quad \phi(d(MFx_{2n_k}, Gq)) + \phi(d(FFx_{2n_k}, Nq))\} \\ &= c \max\{\phi(d(Mq, Nq)), \phi(d(Mq, Mq)), \phi(d(Gq, Nq)), \phi(d(Mq, Gq)) + \\ &\quad \phi(d(Mq, Nq))\} \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , (3.1.1), (3.1.2) and proposition 2.3 (i)

$$\phi(d(Mq, Gq)) \leq c\phi(d(Nq, Gq)) = c\phi(d(Mq, Gq)). \text{ This gives}$$

$$Mq = Gq \text{ since } c < \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(FFx_{2n_k}, Gx_{2n_k-1})) &\leq c \max\{\phi(d(MFx_{2n_k}, Nx_{2n_k-1})), \phi(d(FFx_{2n_k}, MFx_{2n_k})), \\ &\quad \phi(d(Gx_{2n_k-1}, Nx_{2n_k-1})), \phi(d(FFx_{2n_k}, Nx_{2n_k-1})) + \\ &\quad \phi(d(MFx_{2n_k}, Nx_{2n_k-1}))\} \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , proposition 2.3 (i) and (3.1.1) gives

$$\phi(d(Mq, q)) \leq c \max\{\phi(d(Mq, q)), \phi(d(Mq, Mq)), \phi(d(q, q)), \phi(d(Mq, q)) + \phi(d(Mq, q))\}$$

Thus  $(1 - 2c)\phi(d(Mq, q)) \leq 0$  which gives  $Mq = q$  since  $c < \frac{1}{2}$ .

From (3.1.2) and (3.1.3) we have

$$Mq = Gq = Nq = q \tag{3.1.4}$$

Also

$$\phi(d(Fq, Gq)) \leq c \max\{\phi(d(Mq, Nq)), \phi(d(Mq, Fq)), \phi(d(Gq, Nq)), \phi(d(Mq, Gq)), \phi(d(Fq, Nq))\}$$

From (3.1.4) we get

$$\phi(d(Fq, q)) \leq c \max\{0, \phi(d(q, Fq)), 0, \phi(d(Fq, q))\} \text{ showing } Fq = q$$

Hence  $Mq = Nq = Gq = Fq = q$ . To prove the uniqueness of this point, let there be another point  $t$  such that  $Mt = Nt = Gt = Ft = q$ . Then

$$\phi(d(Fq, Gt)) \leq c \max\{\phi(d(Mq, Nt)), \phi(d(Mq, Fq)), \phi(d(Gt, Nt)), \phi(d(Mq, Gt)) + \phi(d(Fq, Nt))\}$$

which, from above discussion, yields

$$\phi(d(q, t)) \leq c \max\{\phi(d(q, t)), \phi(d(q, q)), \phi(d(t, t)), \phi(d(q, t)) + \phi(d(q, t))\}$$

Thus  $\phi(d(q, t)) = 0$  giving us  $q = t$ . Therefore the common fixed point is unique. This proves the result.

### References

- [1]. Hadzic O.: On coincidence theorems for a family of mappings in convex metric spaces, *Internat.J. Math. and Math. Sci.* ,10,453-460 (1987)
- [2]. Jungck ,G. : Compatible mappings and common fixed points ,*Internat.J. Math. and Math. Sci.* 9,771-779 (1986)
- [3]. Jungck ,G. : Compatible mappings and common fixed points(2) ,*Internat.J. Math. and Math. Sci.* 11, 285-288 (1988)
- [4]. Pathak H.K.,Cho Y.J.,Kang S.M.,Lee B.S. : Fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type(P) and applications to dynamic programming ,*Le Matematiche Vol.L*, 15-33 (1995) .
- [5]. Pathak H.K.,Cho Y.J.,Chang S.S.,Kang S.M.: Compatible mappings of type(P) and fixed point theorems in metric spaces and probabilistic metric spaces.