

Generalized Contraction Principle in Complex valued Metric spaces

Parveen Kumar¹, Sanjay Kumar²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology, Murthal, Sonapat-131039, Haryana (India)

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the notion of Generalized contractive type mappings in complex valued metric space and establish fixed point theorem for these mappings.

Keywords: Complex valued metric space, Generalized contractive maps, fixed point.

I. Introduction

The existence and uniqueness of fixed point theorems of operators or mappings has been a subject of great interest since the work of Banach in 1922[2]. The Banach contraction mapping principle is widely recognized as the source of metric fixed-point theory. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$, where (X, d) is metric space, is said to be contraction mapping if for all $x, y \in X$, $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$, $0 < \lambda < 1$. (1) According to the Banach contraction mapping principle, any mapping T satisfying (1) in Complete metric space will have a unique fixed-point. This principle includes different directions in different spaces adopted by mathematicians; for example, metric space, G-metric spaces, partial metric spaces, cone metric spaces have already been obtained. A new space called the complex valued metric space which is more general than well-known metric space has been introduced by Azam et.al. Azam proved some fixed-point theorems for mappings satisfying a rational inequality. In 2012, Rouzkard and Imdad [3] extended and improved the common fixed-point theorems which are more general than the result of Azam et.al. [1]. The idea of complex valued metric spaces can be exploited to define complex valued normed spaces and complex valued Hilbert spaces; additionally, it offers numerous research activities in mathematical analysis.

II. Basic Facts and Definitions

We recall some notations and definitions which will be utilized in our discussion.

Let \mathbf{C} be a set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbf{C} as follows:

$$z_1 \preceq z_2 \text{ if and only if } \operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2).$$

It follows that $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$, $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.
- (ii) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$, $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$, $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.
- (iv) $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2)$, $\operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$.

In (i), (ii) and (iii), we have $|z_1| < |z_2|$. In (iv), we have $|z_1| = |z_2|$. So $|z_1| \leq |z_2|$. In particular, we will write $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (i), (ii) and (iii) is satisfied. In this case $|z_1| < |z_2|$. We will write $z_1 < z_2$ if and only if (iii) is satisfied.

Take into account some fundamental properties of the partial order \preceq on \mathbf{C} as follows.

- (i) $0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2$, then $|z_1| < |z_2|$.
- (ii) If $z_1 \preceq z_2$, $z_2 < z_3$, then $z_1 < z_3$.
- (iii) If $z_1 \preceq z_2$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ is a real number, then $\lambda z_1 \preceq \lambda z_2$.

Definition 1.[3] The "max" function for the partial order relation " \preceq " is defined by the following.

- (i) $\max\{z_1, z_2\} = z_2$ if and only if $z_1 \preceq z_2$.
- (ii) If $z_1 \preceq \max\{z_2, z_3\}$, then $z_1 \preceq z_2$ or $z_1 \preceq z_3$.
- (iii) $\max\{z_1, z_2\} = z_2$ if and only if $z_1 \preceq z_2$ or $|z_1| \leq |z_2|$.

Using Definition 1 one can have the following lemma.

Lemma 2 [3] Let $z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots \in \mathbf{C}$ and the partial order relation \preceq is defined on \mathbf{C} . Then the following conditions are easy follow.

- (i) If $z_1 \preceq \max\{z_2, z_3\}$, then $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if $z_3 \preceq z_2$.
- (ii) If $z_1 \preceq \max\{z_2, z_3, z_4\}$, then $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if $\max\{z_3, z_4\} \preceq z_2$.
- (iii) If $z_1 \preceq \max\{z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5\}$, then $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if $\max\{z_3, z_4, z_5\} \preceq z_2$.

Now we give the definition of complex valued metric space which has been introduced by Azam et. al. [1]

Definition3. Let X be non empty set. If a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

- (i) $0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called complex valued metric space .

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in complex valued metric space X and $x \in X$. If for every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < \epsilon$ there $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that , for all $n > N$, $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$, then x is called the limit of $\{x_n\}$ and is written as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If for every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < \epsilon$ there $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that , for all $n > N$, $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in X . If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in X , then X is called a complete complex valued metric space.

Lemma2. [1] Let (X, d) is called complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_m)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

III. Main Results

In this paper, we prove Generalized contraction principle in complex valued metric space as follows:

Theorem1.1. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be self mappings of a complex valued metric space (X, d) satisfying

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k M(x, y) \quad \text{where } k \in [0, 1) \tag{2}$$

$$\text{where } M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(Tx, y), d(Ty, y), \frac{[d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x)]}{2} \right\}. \tag{5}$$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary point and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as $Tx_n = x_{n+1}$. Then putting $x = x_n, y = x_{n-1}$ we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \leq k M(x_n, x_{n-1}) \tag{3}$$

$$\text{where } M(x_n, x_{n-1}) = \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n-1}), d(Tx_n, x_n), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \frac{[d(Tx_n, x_n) + d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1})]}{2} \right\}.$$

$$M(x_n, x_{n-1}) = \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n-1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n-1}), \frac{[d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n-1})]}{2} \right\}.$$

$$\leq \max \{ d(x_n, x_{n-1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \}.$$

Now from (2) we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \leq k \max \{ d(x_n, x_{n-1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \}.$$

$$|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)| \leq k \max \{ |d(x_n, x_{n-1})|, |d(x_{n+1}, x_n)| \}$$

$$\leq k \max \{ |d(x_{n+1}, x_n)|, |d(x_n, x_{n-1})| \}.$$

We shall take two cases.

Suppose $|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)| > |d(x_n, x_{n-1})|$. Since $|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)| > 0$, we obtain

$$|d(x_{n+1}, x_n)| \leq k |d(x_{n+1}, x_n)| \text{ a contradiction. Therefore, we get}$$

$$\max \{ |d(x_{n+1}, x_n)|, |d(x_n, x_{n-1})| \} = |d(x_n, x_{n-1})|. \text{ Then } d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq k d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \tag{4}$$

Again $d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq k d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2})$, then from (3) $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq k^2 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2})$.

Continuing in the same manner, we have $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq k^n d(x_1, x_0)$. (5)

Then for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and repeated use of triangular inequality for $m \geq 1$ and from (5), we have

$$d(x_{n+m}, x_n) \leq d(x_{n+m}, x_{n+m-1}) + \dots + d(x_{n+1}, x_n)$$

$$\leq \sum_{p=n}^{n+m-1} k^p d(x_1, x_0)$$

$$\leq \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} k^p d(x_1, x_0)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } |d(x_{n+m}, x_n)| \leq \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} k^p |d(x_1, x_0)|.$$

Since $k \in [0, 1)$, if we take limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $|d(x_{n+m}, x_n)| \rightarrow 0$.

So, $\{x_n\}$ is complex valued Cauchy sequence .By completeness of (X, d) there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is complex valued convergent to z .

Next we prove $Tz = z$. Assume on contrary that $Tz \neq z$. Then by (1), put $x = z, y = x_{n+1}$

$$d(Tz, Tx_{n+1}) \leq k M(z, x_{n+1})$$

$$\text{where } M(z, x_{n+1}) = \max \left\{ d(z, x_{n+1}), d(Tz, z), d(Tx_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \frac{[d(Tz, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, z)]}{2} \right\}.$$

As $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to z , therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(z, x_n)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, z)| = 0$.

Thus letting $n \rightarrow \infty, d(Tz, z) \leq k d(Tz, z)$ that is $|d(Tz, z)| \leq |d(Tz, z)|$ which is contradiction.

So, $Tz = z$ that is, z is fixed point of T .

Uniqueness. Let $u (u \neq z)$ be another fixed point of T , then from (2) we have

$$d(u, z) = d(Tu, Tz) \leq k M(u, z)$$

$$\text{where } M(u, z) = \max \left\{ d(u, z), d(Tu, u), d(Tz, z), \frac{[d(Tu, z) + d(Tz, u)]}{2} \right\}.$$

$=d(u, z)$

$|d(u, z)| \leq k |d(u, z)|$ which is a contradiction. Hence $u=z$ that is, T has a unique fixed point.

References

- [1]. Azam, A. Fisher, and Khan, M. Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, vol.32, pp.243-253, 2011.
- [2]. Banach, S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles et leur application aux équations intégrales, Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol.3, pp.133-181, 1992.
- [3]. Rouzkard, F. And Imdad, M. Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric space, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, vol.64, no.6, pp.1866-1874, 2012.
- [4]. Verma, R.K. and Pathak, H.K. Some common fixed point theorems using property(E.A) in complex valued metric space, Thai journal of Mathematics, 2012.
- [5]. Karapınar, E, Samet, B: Generalized α - ψ contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Article ID 793486 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/793486.