

## Multidimensional integral transform involving I-function of several complex variables as kernel

Prathima J<sup>1</sup>, vasudevan Nambisan T.M<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(Research scholar, SCSVMV, Kanchipuram & Department of Mathematics, Manipal Institute of Technology/ Manipal University, India)

<sup>2</sup>(Mathematics, SCSVMV University, India)

**Abstract:** The theory of integral transforms is very useful in solving various types of boundary value problems. By giving various values to the kernel  $k(x; s)$  and considering the interval  $(0, 1)$  generally, a number of integral transforms have been introduced and studied by several authors from time to time. In the present paper we have introduced multidimensional I-function transform involving I-function of  $r$  variables as kernel. In our earlier paper we have established the multiple Mellin transform of product of two I-functions. With the help of that result, in the present paper we have discussed some theorems on multidimensional I-function transform. Special cases include the results involving H-function of several complex variables, I-function of two variables and H-function of two variables. The special case also includes the results given by V.C.Nair.

**Keywords:** Mellin-Barnes integral, H-function, H-function transform, I-function, Multidimensional I-function transform

### I. Introduction

In 1997, Rathie introduced the generalization of the well-known Fox's H-function [1] which has very recently found interesting applications in wireless communication ([2],[3],[4]). Motivated by the I-function, Shantha Kumari, Nambisan and Rathie introduced I-function of two variables [5] which is a natural generalization of the H-function of two variables introduced earlier by Mittal and Gupta [6] and discussed some of its important properties. Very recently we have introduced and studied an extension of the I-function of several complex variables. This function is defined and represented in the following manner [7].

$$I[z_1, \dots, z_r] = I_{p, q; p_1, q_1; \dots; p_r, q_r}^{0, n; m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left[ \begin{matrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{matrix} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_p \\ (b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_q \\ (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}, C_j^{(1)})_{p_1}, \dots, (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}, C_j^{(r)})_{p_r} \\ (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}, D_j^{(1)})_{q_1}, \dots, (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}, D_j^{(r)})_{q_r} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \varphi(s_1, \dots, s_r) \theta_1(s_1) \dots \theta_r(s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} ds_1 \dots ds_r \quad (1)$$

where  $\varphi(s_1, \dots, s_r)$  and  $\theta(s_i)$ ,  $i=1, \dots, r$  are given by

$$\varphi(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma^{A_j} \left( 1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i \right)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma^{A_j} \left( a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma^{B_j} \left( 1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} s_i \right)} \quad (2)$$

$$\theta_i(s_i) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma^{D_j^{(i)}} \left( d_j^{(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^r \delta_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma^{C_j^{(i)}} \left( 1 - c_j^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i \right)}{\prod_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} \Gamma^{C_j^{(i)}} \left( c_j^{(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \prod_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} \Gamma^{D_j^{(i)}} \left( 1 - d_j^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^r \delta_j^{(i)} s_i \right)} \quad (3)$$

Also

- $z_i \neq 0$  for  $i=1, \dots, r$

- an empty product is interpreted as unity.
- the parameters  $m_j, n_j, p_j, q_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, r$ ),  $n, p, q$  are nonnegative integers such that  $0 \leq n \leq p, q \geq 0, 0 \leq n_j \leq p_j, 0 \leq m_j \leq q_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, r$ ) (not all zero simultaneously).
- $\alpha_j^{(i)}$  ( $j=1, \dots, p; i=1, \dots, r$ ),  $\beta_j^{(i)}$  ( $j=1, \dots, q; i=1, \dots, r$ ),  $\gamma_j^{(i)}$  ( $j=1, \dots, p_i; i=1, \dots, r$ ),  $\delta_j^{(i)}$  ( $j=1, \dots, q_i; i=1, \dots, r$ ) are assumed to be positive quantities for standardization purpose.
- $a_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, p$ ),  $b_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, q$ ),  $c_j^{(i)}$  ( $j=1, \dots, p_i; i=1, \dots, r$ ),  $d_j^{(i)}$  ( $j=1, \dots, q_i; i=1, \dots, r$ ) are complex numbers.
- The exponents  $A_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, p$ ),  $B_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, q$ ),  $C_j^{(i)}$  ( $j=1, \dots, p_i; i=1, \dots, r$ ),  $D_j^{(i)}$  ( $j=1, \dots, q_i; i=1, \dots, r$ ) of various gamma functions involved in (2) and (3) may take non-integer values.
- The contour  $L_i$  in the complex  $s_i$ -plane is of Mellin–Barnes type which runs from  $c-i\infty$  to  $c+i\infty$  with indentations, if necessary, in such a manner that all singularities of  $\Gamma^{D_j^{(i)}}(d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)})$ ,  $j=1, \dots, m_i$  are to the right and  $\Gamma^{C_j^{(i)}}(1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)})$ ,  $j=1, \dots, n_i$  are to the left of  $L_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, r$ .

Following the results of Braaksma[8] the I-function of 'r' variables is analytic if

$$\mu_i = \sum_{j=1}^p A_j \alpha_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^q B_j \beta_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} C_j^{(i)} \gamma_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} D_j^{(i)} \delta_j^{(i)} \leq 0, \quad i=1, \dots, r \quad (4)$$

### 1.1 Convergence Conditions

The integral (1) converges absolutely if

$$\left| \arg(z_k) \right| < \frac{1}{2} \Delta_k \pi, \quad k=1, \dots, r \quad (5)$$

$$\text{where } \Delta_k = \left[ - \sum_{j=1}^p A_j \alpha_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=1}^q B_j \beta_j^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} D_j^{(k)} \delta_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=m_k+1}^{q_k} D_j^{(k)} \delta_j^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} C_j^{(k)} \gamma_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{p_k} C_j^{(k)} \gamma_j^{(k)} \right] > 0 \quad (6)$$

And if  $\left| \arg(z_k) \right| < \frac{1}{2} \Delta_k \pi$ , and  $\Delta_k > 0$ ,  $k=1, \dots, r$  then integral (1) converges absolutely under the following conditions.

(i)  $\mu_k = 0$ ,  $\Omega_k < -1$  where  $\mu_k$  is given by (4) and

$$\Omega_k = \sum_{j=1}^p \left[ \frac{1}{2} - R(a_j) \right] A_j - \sum_{j=1}^q \left[ \frac{1}{2} - R(b_j) \right] B_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p_k} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - R(c_j^{(k)}) \right] C_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=1}^{q_k} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - R(d_j^{(k)}) \right] D_j^{(k)}, \quad k=1, \dots, r \quad (7)$$

(ii)  $\mu_k \neq 0$  with  $s_k = \sigma_k + it_k$  ( $\sigma_k$  and  $t_k$  are real,  $k=1, \dots, r$ ),  $\sigma_k$  are so chosen that for  $|t_k| \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $\Omega_k + \sigma_k \mu_k < -1$

We have discussed the proof of convergent conditions in our earlier paper [7].

### Remark 1

If  $D_j^{(i)} = 1$  ( $j=1, \dots, m_i, i=1, \dots, r$ ) in (1), then the function will be denoted by

$$\bar{I}[z_1, \dots, z_r] = I_{\substack{0, n; m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r \\ p, q; p_1, q_1; \dots; p_r, q_r}} \left[ \begin{matrix} z_1 \left( a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_p : \\ \vdots \\ z_r \left( b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_q : \left( d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; 1 \right)_{m_1} \dots \left( d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)} \right)_{q_1} \dots; \\ \left( c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)} \right)_{p_1} \dots; \left( c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)} \right)_{p_r} \\ \left( d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; 1 \right)_{m_r} \dots \left( d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)} \right)_{q_r} \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \bar{\theta}_1(s_1) \dots \bar{\theta}_r(s_r) \varphi(s_1, \dots, s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} ds_1 \dots ds_r \quad (8)$$

where

$$\bar{\theta}_i(s_i) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma(d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma(c_j^{(i)} - (1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)}) s_i)}{\prod_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} \Gamma(D_j^{(i)} - (1 - d_j^{(i)} + \delta_j^{(i)}) s_i) \prod_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(C_j^{(i)} - (c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)}) s_i)}, \quad i=1, \dots, r \quad (9)$$

**Remark 2**

If  $C_j^{(i)} = 1 (j = 1, \dots, n_i, i = 1, \dots, r)$ ,  $D_j^{(i)} = 1 (j = 1, \dots, m_i, i = 1, \dots, r)$  and  $n=0$  in (1), then the function will be denoted by

$$\bar{I}_1[z_1, \dots, z_r] = I_{\substack{0, 0; m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r \\ p, q; p_1, q_1; \dots; p_r, q_r}} \left[ \begin{matrix} z_1 \left( a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_p : \left( c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; 1 \right)_{n_1} \dots \left( c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)} \right)_{p_1} \dots; \\ \vdots \\ z_r \left( b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_q : \left( d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; 1 \right)_{m_1} \dots \left( d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)} \right)_{q_1} \dots; \\ \left( c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; 1 \right)_{n_r} \dots \left( c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)} \right)_{p_r} \\ \left( d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; 1 \right)_{m_r} \dots \left( d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)} \right)_{q_r} \end{matrix} \right] \quad (10)$$

where

$$\varphi_1(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(B_j - (1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)}) s_i) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(A_j - (a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)}) s_i)} \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{\theta}_i(s_i) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma(d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma(1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i)}{\prod_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} \Gamma(D_j^{(i)} - (1 - d_j^{(i)} + \delta_j^{(i)}) s_i) \prod_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i)} \quad (12)$$

$i=1, 2, \dots, r$

**Definition**

Mellin transform of a function f(x) is defined as

$$M[f(x); s] = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} f(x) dx \quad (13)$$

## II. Result Used

The following important theorem which is an analogue of the well-known Parseval-Goldstein theorem will be required in the sequel [9]:

Theorem

$$\text{If } T\{f_1(x);s\}=\varphi_1(s) \text{ and } T\{f_2(x);s\}=\varphi_2(s)$$

$$\text{then } \int_0^\infty f_1(x)\varphi_2(x)dx = \int_0^\infty f_2(x)\varphi_1(x)dx \tag{14} \text{ provided}$$

that the various integrals involved converge absolutely.

Gupta and Mittal[10] have proved the following theorem.

Uniqueness theorem

If  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  are continuous for  $x \geq 0$  and

$$\int_0^\infty H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[ s x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j)_{1,p} \\ (b_j; \beta_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] f_1(x) dx = \int_0^\infty H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[ s x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j)_{1,p} \\ (b_j; \beta_j)_{1,q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] f_2(x) dx \tag{15}$$

$$\text{both integrals being convergent, then } f_1(x) \equiv f_2(x) \tag{16}$$

Without loss of generality the above theorem can be extended to multiple integrals with appropriate convergent conditions.

We have proved the following result [11].

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\rho_1-1} \dots x_r^{\rho_r-1} \bar{I}_1 \left[ s_1 x_1^{\lambda_1}, \dots, s_r x_r^{\lambda_r} \right] \times \bar{I}_1 \left[ t_1 x_1^{\mu_1}, \dots, t_r x_r^{\mu_r} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} t_1^{-\frac{\rho_1}{\mu_1}} \dots t_r^{-\frac{\rho_r}{\mu_r}}$$

$$I \left[ \begin{matrix} 0, 0 : m_1 + n'_1, m'_1 + n_1; \dots; m_r + n'_r, m'_r + n_r \\ p + q', p' + q : p_1 + q'_1, p'_1 + q_1; \dots; p_r + q'_r, p'_r + q_r \end{matrix} \left| \begin{matrix} -\frac{\lambda_1}{s_1 t_1^{\mu_1}}, \dots, -\frac{\lambda_r}{s_r t_r^{\mu_r}} \\ C : C_1; \dots; C_r \\ D : D_1; \dots; D_r \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

(17)

where

$$C = \left( a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_p, \left( 1 - b_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\rho_i}{\mu_i} \beta_j^{(i)}, \frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1} \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_r}{\mu_r} \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{q'}$$

$$C_i = \left( c_j^{(i)}, \gamma_j^{(i)}; 1 \right)_{n_i}, \left( 1 - d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{\mu_i}, \delta_j^{(i)} \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}; 1 \right)_{m'_i}$$

$$_{m'_i+1} \left( 1 - d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{\mu_i}, \delta_j^{(i)} \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}; D_j^{(i)} \right)_{q_i}, \left( c_j^{(i)}, \gamma_j^{(i)}; C_j^{(i)} \right)_{p_i}, \quad i=1, \dots, r$$

$$D = \left( b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_q, \left( 1 - a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\rho_i}{\mu_i} \alpha_j^{(i)}, \frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1} \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_r}{\mu_r} \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{p'}$$

$$D_i = {}_1 \left( d_j^{(i)}, \delta_j^{(i)}; 1 \right)_{m_i} {}_1 \left( 1 - c_j^{(i)}, \gamma_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{\mu_i}, \gamma_j^{(i)} \frac{\lambda_i}{\rho_i}; 1 \right)_{n_i} \\ {}_{n_i+1} \left( 1 - c_j^{(i)}, \gamma_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{\mu_i}, \gamma_j^{(i)} \frac{\lambda_i}{\rho_i}; C_j^{(i)} \right)_{p_i} {}_{m_i+1} \left( d_j^{(i)}, \delta_j^{(i)}; D_j^{(i)} \right)_{q_i}, \quad i=1, \dots, r$$

provided that

(i)  $\lambda_i, \mu_i > 0, i=1, 2, \dots, r$

(ii)  $-\lambda_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \Re \left( \frac{d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) - \mu_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \Re \left( \frac{d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) < \text{Re}(\rho_i)$   
 $< \lambda_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq n_i} \Re \left( \frac{1 - c_j^{(i)}}{\gamma_j^{(i)}} \right) + \mu_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq n_i} \Re \left( \frac{1 - c_j^{(i)}}{\gamma_j^{(i)}} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$

and

(iii) the  $\bar{I}_1$  - functions involved in (17) exist.

**Definition**

In an attempt to generalize the H-function transform we introduced an integral transform whose kernel is the I-function of several complex variables defined by (1). This integral transform is defined and represented in the following manner:

$$\varphi(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \bar{I}_1 \left[ c_1 (p_1 t_1)^{\lambda_1}, \dots, c_r (p_r t_r)^{\lambda_r} \right] f(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r \tag{18}$$

provided that the integral on the right hand side of (18) is absolutely convergent.

**III. Some Theorems On Multidimensional I-Function Transform**

**Theorem 1**

If  $\varphi(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \bar{I}_1 \left[ c_1 (p_1 t_1)^{\lambda_1}, \dots, c_r (p_r t_r)^{\lambda_r} \right] f(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r$  (19)

and  $\psi(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \bar{I}_1 \left[ C_1 (p_1 t_1)^{\sigma_1}, \dots, C_r (p_r t_r)^{\sigma_r} \right] f(t_1^{1/\nu_1}, \dots, t_r^{1/\nu_r}) h(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r$  (20)

then

$$\psi(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty g(x_1, \dots, x_r, p_1, \dots, p_r) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_r) dx_1 \dots dx_r \tag{21}$$

where  $|v_1 \dots v_r| p_1^{v_1-1} \dots p_r^{v_r-1} h(p_1^{v_1}, \dots, p_r^{v_r}) \bar{I}_1 \left[ C_1 (p_1^{v_1} k_1)^{\sigma_1}, \dots, C_r (p_r^{v_r} k_r)^{\sigma_r} \right]$

$$= \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \bar{I}_1 \left[ c_1 (p_1 t_1)^{\lambda_1}, \dots, c_r (p_r t_r)^{\lambda_r} \right] g(t_1, \dots, t_r, k_1, \dots, k_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r \tag{22}$$

provided the integrals involved are absolutely convergent.

Proof

Using (14) for the transform pairs (19) and (22),

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty g(t_1, \dots, t_r, k_1, \dots, k_r) \varphi(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r = |v_1 \dots v_r| \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty t_1^{v_1-1} \dots t_r^{v_r-1} h(t_1^{v_1} \dots t_r^{v_r}) \bar{I}_1' \left[ C_1(t_1^{v_1} k_1)^{\sigma_1}, \dots, C_r(t_r^{v_r} k_r)^{\sigma_r} \right] f(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r$$

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty g(x_1, \dots, x_r, p_1, \dots, p_r) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_r) dx_1 \dots dx_r = |v_1 \dots v_r| \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty t_1^{v_1-1} \dots t_r^{v_r-1} h(t_1^{v_1} \dots t_r^{v_r}) \bar{I}_1' \left[ C_1(t_1^{v_1} k_1)^{\sigma_1}, \dots, C_r(t_r^{v_r} k_r)^{\sigma_r} \right] f(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r$$

Hence,

Putting  $t_i^{v_i} = x_i, i=1, \dots, r$  on the right hand side and using (20) the result follows.

Theorem 2

If  $\varphi(p_1^{1/v_1}, \dots, p_r^{1/v_r}) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \bar{I}_1' \left[ c_1(p_1 t_1)^{\lambda_1}, \dots, c_r(p_r t_r)^{\lambda_r} \right] f(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r$  (23)

and  $\psi(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\rho_1-1} \dots x_r^{\rho_r-1} \bar{I}_1' \left( C_1(p_1 x_1)^{\sigma_1}, \dots, C_r(p_r x_r)^{\sigma_r} \right) \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_r) dx_1 \dots dx_r$  (24)

then

$$\psi(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \frac{1}{(v_1 \dots v_r)(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r)} c_1^{-\rho_1/v_1 \lambda_1} \dots c_r^{-\rho_r/v_r \lambda_r} \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty t_1^{-\rho_1/v_1} \dots t_r^{-\rho_r/v_r} \left[ \begin{matrix} C_1 p_1^{\sigma_1} \left( c_1 t_1^{\lambda_1} \right)^{-\sigma_1/v_1 \lambda_1} \\ C_r p_r^{\sigma_r} \left( c_r t_r^{\lambda_r} \right)^{-\sigma_r/v_r \lambda_r} \end{matrix} \left| \begin{matrix} E: E_1; \dots; E_r \\ F: F_1; \dots; F_r \end{matrix} \right. \right] f(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r$$

$0, 0; m'_1+n_1, n'_1+m_1; \dots; m'_r+n_r, n'_r+m_r$   
 $I_{p'+q, q'+p; p'_1+q_1, q'_1+p_1; \dots; p'_r+q_r, q'_r+p_r}$

where

$$E = {}_1 \left( a'_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A'_j \right)_{p'}, \left( 1-b_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{v_i \lambda_i}, \beta_j^{(1)} \frac{\sigma_1}{v_1 \lambda_1}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)} \frac{\sigma_r}{v_r \lambda_r}; B_j \right)_q,$$

$$E_i = {}_1 \left( c_j^{(i)}, \gamma_j^{(i)}; 1 \right)_{n'_i}, \left( 1-d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{v_i \lambda_i}, \delta_j^{(i)} \frac{\sigma_i}{v_i \lambda_i}; 1 \right)_{m_i},$$

$$\left( 1-d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{v_i \lambda_i}, \delta_j^{(i)} \frac{\sigma_i}{v_i \lambda_i}; D_j^{(i)} \right)_{q_i}, {}_{n'_i+1} \left( c_j^{(i)}, \gamma_j^{(i)}; C_j^{(i)} \right)_{p'_i} \quad i=1, \dots, r$$

$$F = {}_1 \left( b'_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B'_j \right)_{q'}, \left( 1-a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{v_i \lambda_i}, \alpha_j^{(1)} \frac{\sigma_1}{v_1 \lambda_1}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)} \frac{\sigma_r}{v_r \lambda_r}; A_j \right)_p$$

$$F_i = {}_1(d_j^{(i)}, \delta_j^{(i)}; 1)_{m_i'} \left( 1 - c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{v_i \lambda_i}, \gamma_j^{(i)} \frac{\sigma_i}{v_i \lambda_i}; 1 \right)_{n_i} \\ {}_{n_i+1} \left( 1 - c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)} \frac{\rho_i}{v_i \lambda_i}, \gamma_j^{(i)} \frac{\sigma_i}{v_i \lambda_i}; C_j^{(i)} \right)_{p_i}, {}_{m_i'+1}(d_j^{(i)}, \delta_j^{(i)}; D_j^{(i)})_{q_i} \quad i=1, \dots, r$$

provided

$$\sigma_i > 0, \lambda_i > 0, \Delta > 0, \Delta' > 0$$

where  $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^q \left| \prod_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} \right| - \sum_{j=1}^p \left| \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} \right|$ ;  $\Delta' = \sum_{j=1}^{q'} \left| \prod_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} \right| - \sum_{j=1}^{p'} \left| \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} \right|$

Proof

On the R.H.S of (24) use (23) to get

$$\Psi(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{p_1-1} \dots x_r^{p_r-1} \bar{I}_1 \left[ C_1(p_1 x_1)^{\sigma_1}, \dots, C_r(p_r x_r)^{\sigma_r} \right] \right. \\ \left. \times \bar{I}_1 \left[ c_1(x_1^{v_1} t_1)^{\lambda_1}, \dots, c_r(x_r^{v_r} t_r)^{\lambda_r} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r \right\} f(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r \quad (26)$$

In (26) use (17) to get the R.H.S of (25).

Theorem 3

If  $\varphi(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \bar{I}_1 \left[ c_1(p_1 t_1)^{\lambda_1}, \dots, c_r(p_r t_r)^{\lambda_r} \right] f(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r$  (27)

and

$$\Psi(p_1, \dots, p_r) \varphi(p_1^{v_1}, \dots, p_r^{v_r}) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \bar{I}_1 \left[ C_1(p_1 t_1)^{\mu_1}, \dots, C_r(p_r t_r)^{\mu_r} \right] g(t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r \quad (28)$$

then

$$g(t_1, \dots, t_r) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty k(x_1, \dots, x_r; t_1, \dots, t_r) f(x_1, \dots, x_r) dx_1 \dots dx_r \quad (29)$$

where

$$\Psi(p_1, \dots, p_r) \bar{I}_1 \left[ c_1(p_1^{v_1} x_1)^{\lambda_1}, \dots, c_r(p_r^{v_r} x_r)^{\lambda_r} \right] = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \bar{I}_1 \left[ C_1(p_1 t_1)^{\mu_1}, \dots, C_r(p_r t_r)^{\mu_r} \right] \\ k(x_1, \dots, x_r; t_1, \dots, t_r) dt_1 \dots dt_r \quad (30)$$

provided the integrals involved are absolutely convergent.

Proof

Multiplying both sides of (30) by  $f(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  and integrating with respect to  $x_1 \dots x_r$  from 0 to  $\infty$ ,

$$\Psi(p_1, \dots, p_r) \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \bar{I}_1 \left[ c_1(p_1^{v_1} x_1)^{\lambda_1}, \dots, c_r(p_r^{v_r} x_r)^{\lambda_r} \right] f(x_1, \dots, x_r) dx_1 \dots dx_r \\ = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \left\{ \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty k(x_1, \dots, x_r; t_1, \dots, t_r) \bar{I}_1 \left[ C_1(p_1 t_1)^{\mu_1}, \dots, C_r(p_r t_r)^{\mu_r} \right] dt_1 \dots dt_r \right\} dx_1 \dots dx_r \quad (31)$$

Using (27), L.H.S of (31) is  $\psi(p_1, \dots, p_r) \phi(p_1^{v_1}, \dots, p_r^{v_r})$ . Therefore from (17) and (28) we get (29).

The change in the order of integration is valid provided The I- function transform of

$|g(t_1, \dots, t_r)|$  and  $|k(x_1, \dots, x_r; t_1, \dots, t_r)|$  exists and the integral (29) is convergent by virtue of De la Vallee Pousein's theorem [12, p-504].

### Special Cases

In above three theorems, if we take  $A_j(j=1, \dots, p) = B_j(j=1, \dots, q) = C_j^{(i)}(j=n_i+1, \dots, p; i=1, \dots, r)$

$= D_j^{(i)}(j=m_i+1, \dots, q; i=1, \dots, r) = 1$ , we get the corresponding results involving H-function of r variables.

If we put  $r = 2$  in above three theorems, they reduce to the corresponding results involving I-function of two variables. Further putting all exponents unity we get the results involving H-function of two variables.

when  $p = q = 0$  and  $r = 1$  in above three theorems we obtain the results given by Nair [13].

### References

- [1]. Arjun K. Rathie, A new generalization of generalized hypergeometric functions, *Le Matematiche*, vol. 52, no. 2, 1997, pp. 297-310.
- [1]. I.S.Ansari, F.Yilmaz, M.S.Alouni and O.Kucur, On the sum of gamma random variates with application to the performance of maximal ratio combining over Nakagami-m fading channels, *Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC), 2012 IEEE 13th International Workshop*, 2012, 394-398.
- [2]. I.S.Ansari, F.Yilmaz, and M.S.Alouni, On the Sum of Squared eta- Random Variates with Application to the Performance of Wireless Communication Systems, *Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC Spring)*, 2013 IEEE 77th, 2013, 1-6.
- [3]. Xia Minghua, Wu Yik-Chung and Aissa Sonia, Exact Outage Probability of Dual-Hop CSI- Assisted AF Relaying Over Nakagami-m Fading Channels, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 60(10), 2012, 5578-5583.
- [4]. K.Shanthakumari, T.M.Vasudevan Nambisan, K. Arjun K. Rathie, A study of the I-function of two variables, To appear in *Le Matematiche*. Also see arXiv:1212.6717v1 [math.CV]. 30Dec2012.
- [5]. P.K. Mittal, K.C.Gupta, An integral involving generalized function of two variables, *Proc.Indian Acad,Sci.Sect.A32*, 1972, 117-123.
- [6]. J.Prathima, T.M.Vasudevan Nambisan, K.Shanthakumari, A study of the I-function of several complex variables, *International Journal of Engineering Mathematics Volume 2014*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, (2014).
- [7]. B.L.J.Braaksma, Asymptotic expansions and analytic continuations for a class of Barnes- integrals, *Compositio Math.* 15, 1964, 239-341.
- [8]. H.M.Srivastava, K.C.Gupta, S.P.Goyal: The function of one and two variables with applications, (South Asian Publishers, New Delhi, 1982).
- [9]. K.C.Gupta, and P.K. Mittal, The H-function transform, *II, J. Austral. Math. Univ. Nac. Tucuman Rev. Ser. A-22*, 1971, 101-107.
- [10]. J.Prathima J, T.M.Vasudevan Nambisan, Multidimensional Mellin transforms involving I-function of several complex variables, *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention*, vol.1, 2013, 25-30.
- [11]. T.J.I.A.Bromwich, An introduction to the theory of infinite series (Macmillan and co, London, 1931).
- [12]. V.C.Nair, Investigations in transform calculus., Ph.D thesis, University of Rajasthan, 1968.