

## A New Result On $|A, p_n, \delta|_k$ -Summability

Ripendra Kumar & Aditya Kumar Raghuvanshi

Department of Mathematics IFTM University Moradabad, U.P., India, 244001

**Abstract:** In this paper we have established a new theorem on  $|A, p_n, \delta|_k$ -summability which gives some new and interesting results and previous known results as a corollary.

**Keywords:**  $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ -summability,  $|A|_k$ -summability,  $|A, \delta|_k$ -summability,  $|A, p_n, \delta|_k$ -summability and infinite series.

**Mathematical classification :** 40D25, 40E05, 40F05 & 40C10.

### I. INTRODUCTION:

Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sum  $(s_n)$  and let  $A = (a_{nv})$  be a normal matrix of non zero diagonal entries. Then  $A$  defines the sequence to sequence transformation mapping the sequences  $s = (s_n)$  to  $A_s = (A_n(s))$ ,

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} A_{nv} s_v$$

where (1.1)

The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to summable  $|A|_k, k \geq 1$  if (RHOADES and SAVAS [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta} A_n(s)|^k < \infty$$

(1.2)

where  $\bar{\Delta} A_n(s) = A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)$  and it is said to be summable  $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 0$  and  $\delta \geq 0$  if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |\Delta A_{n-1}|^k < \infty$$

(1.3)

Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

(1.4)

where  $P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, i \geq 1$  and  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|A, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$  if (ÖZARSLAN, [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta} A_n(s)|^k < \infty$$

(1.5)

And is said to be summable  $|A, p_n, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$  if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |\bar{\Delta} A_n(s)|^k < \infty$$

(1.6)

If  $P_n = 1, \delta = 0$ ,  $|A, p_n, \delta|_k$ -summability is the same as  $|A|_k$ -summability also if we take  $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ , then  $|A, p_n|_k$ -summability is the same as  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ -summability (BOR [1]).

A sequence  $(b_n)$  of positive numbers is said to be  $\delta$ -quasi monotone, if  $b_n > 0$  ultimately and  $\Delta b_n \geq -\delta_n$  where  $(\delta_n)$  is a sequence of positive numbers (SAVAS [4]).

and a sequence  $(d_n)$  is said to be almost increasing if there exist a positive increasing sequence  $(c_n)$  and two positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$Ac_n \leq d_n \leq Bc_n \text{ for each } n.$$

**II. KNOWN RESULT:**

Concerning with absolute matrix summability factor SAVAS [5] has proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1**

Let  $A$  be a lower triangular or Normal matrix with non-negative entries satisfying

$$\bar{a}_{n,0} = 1 \tag{2.1}$$

$$a_{n-1, \nu} \geq a_{n\nu} \text{ for } n \geq \nu + 1 \tag{2.2}$$

$$na_{nn} = O(1) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\Delta_\nu \hat{a}_{n\nu}| = O(\nu^{\delta k} a_{\nu\nu}) \tag{2.4}$$

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| = O(\nu^{\delta k}) \tag{2.5}$$

where  $A$  associates with two lower triangular matrices  $\bar{A}$  &  $\hat{A}$  defined.

$$\bar{a}_{n\nu} = \sum_{r=\nu}^n a_{nr}, \quad n, \nu = 0, 1, 2 \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{a}_{n\nu} = \bar{a}_{n\nu} - \bar{a}_{n-1,\nu}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3$$

If  $(X_n)$  is an almost increasing sequence such that,

$$|\Delta X_n| = O\left(\frac{X_n}{n}\right) \text{ and} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\lambda_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.7}$$

Suppose that there exist a sequence of numbers  $(A_n)$  such that it is  $\delta$ -quasi monotone with  $\sum n X_n \delta_n < \infty$ ,  $\sum A_n X_n$  is convergent and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n|}{n} < \infty \tag{2.8}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k - 1} |t_n|^k = O(X_m) \tag{2.9}$$

where  $t_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k$ ,

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ .

**III. MAIN RESULT:**

The goal of this paper is to generalize the theorem (2.1) for  $|A, p_n, \delta|_k$ -summability.

**Theorem 3.1**

If  $A = (a_{n\nu})$  is any normal matrix associated with two lower sub-matrices  $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{n\nu})$  and  $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{n\nu})$  as follows

$$\bar{a}_{n\nu} = \sum_{i=\nu}^n a_{ni}, \quad n, \nu = 0, 1, 2 \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{n\nu} = \bar{a}_{n\nu} - \bar{a}_{n-\nu,\nu} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\hat{a}_{0,0} = \bar{a}_{0,0} = a_{0,0}$ .

If the conditions

$$\bar{a}_{n,0} = 1 \tag{3.3}$$

$a_{n-1, v} \geq a_{n, v}$  for  $n \geq v+1$

and let  $(p_n)$  be the sequence of positive numbers such that,

$$P_n = O(np_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.4}$$

$$a_{nn} = O\left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right) \tag{3.5}$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| = O\left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} a_{vv}\right) \tag{3.6}$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n, v+1}| = O\left(\left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\delta k}\right) \tag{3.7}$$

If  $\{X_n\}$  is an almost increasing sequence such that  $\left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} |\Delta X_n|\right) = O(X_n)$  and  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose that there exist a sequence of numbers  $(A_n)$  such that it is  $\delta$ -quasi monotone with  $\sum_n X_n \delta_n < \infty$ ,  $\sum A_n X_n$  is convergent and  $|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq |A_n|$  for all  $n$ , if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n |\lambda_n|}{P_n} < \infty \tag{3.8}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k-1} |t_n|^k = O(X_m) \tag{3.9}$$

where  $t_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k$

are satisfied then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|A, p_n, \delta|_k, k \geq 1, \delta \geq 0$ .

#### IV. LEMMA:

We need the following lemmas for the proof of theorem (3.1).

##### Lemma 4.1.

Under the condition of theorem, we have ((SAVAS [4])

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \tag{4.1}$$

##### Lemma 4.2 (SAVAS [5])

Let  $\{X_n\}$  is an almost increasing sequence such that

$$|\Delta X_n| = O\left(\frac{X_n}{n}\right)$$

If  $(A_n)$  is  $\delta$ -quasi monotone with  $\sum_n X_n \delta_n < \infty, \sum A_n X_n$  is convergent, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n X_n |\Delta A_n| < \infty \text{ and } n A_n X_n = O(1)$$

#### V. PROOF OF THEOREM:

Let  $\{y_n\}$  be the  $n$ th term of the  $A$ -transform of  $\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i a_i$  then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_n &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} s_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} \sum_{v=0}^i \lambda_v a_v \\
 &= \sum_{v=0}^n \lambda_v a_v \sum_{i=v}^n a_{n,i} \\
 &= \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} \lambda_v a_v
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{y}_n &= y_n - y_{n-1} = \sum_{v=0}^n (\bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}) \lambda_v a_v \\
 &= \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v a_v
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_n &= \sum_{v=1}^n \left( \frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \right) v a_v \\
 &= \sum_{v=1}^n \left( \frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \right) \left[ \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r - \sum_{r=1}^{v-1} r a_r \right] \\
 &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left( \frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn} \lambda_n}{n} \sum_{v=2}^n v a_v \\
 &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| \lambda_v \frac{v+1}{v} t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} (\Delta \lambda_v) \frac{v+1}{v} t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \frac{1}{v} t_v + (n+1) \frac{a_{nn} \lambda_n t_n}{n} \\
 &= T_{n,1} + T_{n,2} + T_{n,3} + T_{n,4} \text{ (say)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

To complete the proof, it is sufficient, by Minkowski's inequality, to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4 \tag{5.4}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{n,1}| \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \hat{a}_{n,v} \lambda_v \frac{v+1}{v} t_v \right|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{n,v}| \lambda_v |t_v| \right)^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{n,v}| \lambda_v^k |t_v|^k \right) \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v a_{vv} \right)^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} a_{mm} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{n,v}| \lambda_v^k |t_v|^k
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} a_{nm} \right)^{k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| \|\Delta \hat{a}_{vv}\| |t_v|^k \right) \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} a_{nm} \right)^{k-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{n,v}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} |\lambda_v| |a_{vv}| |t_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| \left[ \sum_{r=1}^v a_{rr} |t_r|^k \left( \frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\delta k} + \sum_{r=1}^{v-1} a_{rr} |t_r|^k \left( \frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\delta k} \right] \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta (|\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v |t_r|^k \left( \frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\delta k-1} + |\lambda_m| \sum_{r=1}^m |t_r|^k \left( \frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\delta k-1}) \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |A_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1). \tag{5.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Again, using the hypothesis of the theorem (3.1) and Lemma (4.1), using Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n,2}|^k \\
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} (\Delta \lambda_v) \frac{v+1}{v} t_v \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \|\Delta \lambda_v\| \left| \frac{v+1}{v} \right| |t_v| \right]^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \|\Delta \lambda_v\| |t_v| \right]^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \|\Delta \lambda_v\| |t_v|^k \right] \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \|\Delta \lambda_v\| \right]^{k-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

from (Rhoades and Savas[3]).

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \|\Delta \lambda_v\| \leq M a_{nm}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} a_{nm} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \|\Delta \lambda_v\| |t_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \|\Delta \lambda_v\| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} a_{nm} \right)^{k-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \|\Delta \lambda_v\| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k} \|\Delta \lambda_v\| |t_v|^k
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \Delta \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k} \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right) |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right) \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \Delta \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} |\Delta \lambda_v| \right) \sum_{r=1}^r \left( \frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\delta k-1} |t_r|^k + O(1) m |\Delta \lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \left( \frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\delta k-1} |t_r|^k. \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \Delta \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} |\Delta \lambda_v| \right) X_v + O(1) m |\Delta \lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \Delta \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} |\Delta \lambda_v| \right) X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |A_{v-1}| X_{v-1} + O(1) m |A_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Next using the hypothesis of the theorem (3.1) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n,3}|^k \\
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \lambda_{v+1} \frac{t_v}{v} \right|^k \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |t_v| \right]^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}|^k \right] \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} \right]^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} a_{nn} \right)^{k-1} \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \right] \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} \right]^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} a_{nn} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} a_{nn} \right)^{k-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k} |t_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (|\lambda_{v+1}|) \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k-1} |t_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} (|\Delta \lambda_{v+1}|) X_v + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_m \\
 &= O(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_4 &= \sum_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{n,4}|^k \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} \left| \frac{(n+1)a_{nn}\lambda_n t_n}{n} \right|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |a_{nn}|^k |\lambda_n|^k |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} a_{nn} \right)^{k-1} a_{nn} |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} a_{nn} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1), \text{ as in the proof of } I_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

**VI. COROLLARY:**

This theorem have the following results as a corollary.

**Corollary 6.1**

Taking  $\left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right) = n$  the theorem (3.1) reduces to theorem (2.1).

**Corollary 6.2**

Taking  $\frac{P_n}{p_n} = n$ , and  $\delta = 0$  the theorem (3.1) is  $|A|_k$ -summable.

**Corollary 6.3**

Taking  $a_{nv} = \frac{P_v}{P_n}$ , and  $\delta = 0$  then theorem (3.1) is  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ -summable.

**REFERENCES:**

- [1] BOR, H; On local property of  $|\bar{N}, p_n, \delta|_k$ -summability of factored Fourier series, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 179 (1993).
- [2] OZARSLAN, H.S.; On absolute matrix summability methods, Mathematical Commun. 12, (2007).
- [3] RHOADES, B.E. and SAVAS, E.; On  $|A|_k$ -summability factors, Alco, Math. Hung, (2006).
- [4] SAVAS, E.; A summability factor theorem for absolute summability involving  $\delta$ -quasi-monotone and almost increasing sequence, Math. Comput. Model, 48, (2008).
- [5] SAVAS, E.; A note on absolute summability factor theorem and almost increasing sequences, academic, Journal, Vol. 8 (2013).