

## **Pi: Generic Patterns leading to mathematical digression (Movie Review)**

Aneek Chaudhuri

---

**Abstract:** *Archimedes to the modern day inventors might have bent a lot to research and delve on relevant topics, which had once seemed to be irrelevant to others; however, the film does not really deal with mathematics (just as the name suggests). Pi is a film that leads to certain tongs of temporal consequences. This is a piece of madness that turns up to interesting events as the film unfolds. The wordy piece is transformed slowly into a numeral affair with the diseased mind; here, disease refers to the mind devoid of reality and the urge to accept things as they are.*

---

### **I. Introduction**

Is there any extension for moving pictures to investigate the perplexing, disorderly universe of math and number hypothesis? Executive Darren Aronofsky answers this with a film brimming with turbo-charged visuals that take us inside the head of human mini-computer Max Cohen, submerging us in his babbling, always-turning personality that mirrors the retro, loud PC equipment that jumbles his condo.

Cohen (the male protagonist) is attempting to discover an example in Wall Street offer managing that will permit him to foresee the securities exchanges. With the interminable estimation of Pi at the heart of his counts, the isolated however splendid mathematician ends up needed by merciless corporate sorts, also a gathering of similarly extreme Rabbis who accept his figures and last answer will be a correspondence course to God. As he gets closer to the enchantment number, weight upon him mounts, not minimum in his own head where consistent, skull-part headaches abandon him in a close incapacitated state. In spite of warnings from his math coach, Sol, who endured a stroke attempting to discover a response to Pi, Max proceeds.

Aronofsky's fast cutting keeps the pace up and a large number of his visuals are really unsettling - Max's pipedreams amid his severe migraines are reminiscent of David Lynch's similarly aggravating gimmick debut "Eraserhead". Somewhere else, Aronofsky's utilization of the odd 'Snorri Cam' (a cam strapped to the on-screen character's body, named after its fashioners, the Snorri Brothers) gives a definitive in subjectivity, while an inconspicuous utilization of both moderate and quick movement contribute incredibly to the portrayal of Max's disarray. Sean Gullette, who additionally co-composed the film, plays Max so convincingly that when he starts trembling at an alternate up and coming headache assault, you feel like its nearing your far as well.

"Pi" is a lesson yearning for producers all around: immaculate your aptitudes, be unique, be strong. It's a compassion youthful British executives aren't making movies as extraordinary and inventive as this.

Max Cohen is an abruptly insidious man who finds it pleasant to lock him behind a door that is locked by triple barricade doors. But, how does it add more in his solitude? The speaker is no more the manual vocal chords; the manually programmed machines have actually replaced anyone else. Moreover, what he knows is numbers and codes; hence, he compares everything with codes and numbers. Though, Math means more than just numbers.

The film is shot in harsh, high-complexity highly contrasting environment. Max, played via Sean Gullette, is thinning up to anxious, distrustful and splendid mood. He has weakening migraines and nosebleeds. An indication of hypertension -or of the mental torment he's putting himself through is quite evident from this graphical representation as well. He's suspicious of everybody. The well disposed Indian lady nearby puts sustenance by his entryway; however, he keeps away from her. He trusts just his old educator, Sol (Mark Margolis). They play Go, an amusement deeper than chess, and Sol lets him know to stop getting directed to the universe business, as of now. He cautions that he's turning far from science and mis-relating terms with numerology.

### **II. Contextual surroundings**

Pie has a peculiar opening; however, it matches well with the overall theme of the film. The dots embedded within the mini-dots of mathematical segregation or to be precise, the approximate values of Pie. Let me give a brief overview of Pie and where does it confine to in the field of Math. Pie is equal to  $22/7$  or 3.14 approximately and is used to calculate the area and circumference of spherical shapes, including the one-

dimensional circle. Now, if we compare the theme with the protagonist, Max, he can be impressed upon the slightly bent covering of the symbol that floats in an unnatural cum unfixed hyperbola. Does it sound mathematical? Perhaps, it is not.

Max, an isolated arithmetic virtuoso, looks for an example that will help him anticipate the stock exchange with the support of a supercomputer he has inherent his condo. He likewise experiences shocking headaches which make him daydream, and accepts (now and then effectively) that individuals are stalking him. As he gets closer to spotting a certain 216 digit number that may have enchanted prescient qualities, he ends up got between the maneuvers of an expansive company and a supernatural organization, both of whom need the learning inside his head and will persevere relentlessly to get it.

This is piece that completely focuses on the patterns that helps the man to compare them with mathematical symbols in nature. Math does exist around us (around him); through this, it's quite convenient to claim that everything that happens or occupies space around Max is a piece of formula for him (Erbert, 1998).

More and more, as we move into the film, we tend to identify it as a lunatic component or sub-set of the genius hero. However at times, it seems as if the man is trying to torture his instincts into the valid spaces of notions, which seek invalid attention most of the time. Aronofsky's directorial charisma suggests coherence with the style inhibited (or exhibited) by Alain Resnais or Ingmar Bergman. Here, he is willingly trying to live the essence of the circumstances through the perceptions of the audiences.

### **III. Chaos Theory and Comparative study**

The protagonist looks for symbols all around himself; however, he knows it very well that there are hardly any patterns that can lead him to a concrete conclusion. This forms the basis of Chaos Theory. Max tries to relate the situations to certain momentary lapses that hardly hold significance in the context of mathematical symbols. The best example is the controlling ability of epilepsy, mental trauma through the application of schizophrenic symbols; by this, we refer to those unidentifiable patterns that can only be decoded by the protagonist. There are scenes which reflect the absorbing mentality of Max, when he tends to controls seizures by relating his ideations with shapes (Holden, 1998).

The best example is of relating mathematics to God when he discovers a 216 letter code which is exactly the numeral representation of God in Greek. A man quantifying God in terms of numbers and codes is indeed the result of an obsessed affair with the mind.

Suppose, he want to convey a formulating structure of Pi and at the same time, wants to conduct a preliminary experiment on the same. In this case, medical pills can be shaped up with the mediums possessing spherical circumference. That's mathematics to him! In a much similar way, there are notions circling him turning him to a spiral arena; the magic of this structure gives you an undirected spectrum where you are accompanied by multiplying innovations, thoughts, hallucinations etc.

Moving along the scripted directions, he assumes a key to every incident; this gets applied to God, stock market or the game of GO. Coming in to concluding terms, the formula Max has been trying to accommodate brings in a thing or the other to stock marketers, or the Hasidic Cabals; be it anyone, his interpretation and laying the situations into calculative measures have exactly brought out something for everyone. Such a mirage of system analysis is a measure of the hero's (Max's) risk in pursuit of an obsession.

### **IV. Scenic brilliance and interpretation**

All is well as much as it can be in this sheltered and jumbled universe of Max. We perceive that he experiences some type of maladjustment and related headaches, at standard interims. He is presently on medicine for it and has attempted each conceivable option previously, from grass to needles. One day, his count utilizing an unusual setup of PCs (more for visual symbolism than utilitarian, I assume) releases an arrangement of numbers 216 digits in length. I comprehend what you're supposing - no, it isn't any piece of the unending decimal succession of the Pi steady. Infact, there's no Pi included in any of his counts.

He does do a considerable measure of doodles that should appear to be the splendid harsh work of a virtuoso. Perhaps it lives up to expectations for the layman. I discovered numerous funny. By one means or another, his work begins to include brilliant spirals, brilliant degrees (find them on the off chance that you aren't mindful), and he hosts some intrigued gatherings keen on his speculations and results. There is a Jewish clique who accept the name of God is 216 digits and subsequently the numerical show on his PCs may be that name!

At that point, there is a stock broking firm who needs to put resources into his role as he appears to have accurately anticipated certain patterns. Inexorably, he is gotten between these two and most onerously, his own particular personality. This contention and the determination, which appears to be unusual yet in accordance with the story, make up the rest.



(source: <http://www.greatdreams.com/spiral-crop.jpg>)

The image above passes on the sort of composition that wraps each edge of the film (of course has a winding on it, which I thought ran well with the outline). So the grainy highly contrasting casings in high complexity shots make you rub your eyes in abundance. The impression Darren needed to pass on was to transparent the frantic virtuoso's psyche, which I felt was a touch exaggerated. The cinematographer, Matthew Libatique, has made a vocation in the tinsel town on the quality of this film as much as Darren.

Some piece of what likewise makes the film so hypnotizing is its cerebral quality. This is effortlessly what might be named as a reasoning individual's film, and it conveys numerous provocative, however at times dreadful, wise minutes. One of the all the more capable ones happens when Lenny, a Jewish numerologist, clarifies that in Hebrew, the letters all have numerical equivalents. As he exhibits to Max, the total of the numbers for "man" (3) and "lady" (41) equivalents the number for "tyke" (44). Past that, the film additionally concentrates on the numerical virtuoso of the antiquated Japanese prepackaged game of "Go," and the hardships of other experts in the field.

What the scenic piece may succeed in issuing us however, is the vibe for Max's dull world. His dreams and fancies are galore. It's further energized when a rabbi from the religion goes down to his numeral hypotheses from the Torah (delineated well in the graphs above and to one side). The stock firm issues him a characterized chip which would give his figuring framework some electronic steroids. He ingests the illusions of greatness and endeavoring to discover all examples in the universe with his 216 arbitrary digits, that "some way or another" show up from his figuring on the PC.

#### **V. Certain Technical Aspects**

Joining Clint Mansell's sleepily throbbing science fiction score, Oren Sach's intentionally anxious altering, and the nightmarish highly contrasting photography, Aronofsky has likewise conveyed a sufficient distrustful thriller to please devotees of that section of the science fiction class. Since we're never certain what's genuine and what a fantasy is, we effortlessly end up on the same playing field with the fundamental character who's beset by that same issue.

On-screen character Sean Gullette (making his introduction) conveys a sufficiently convincing execution that evokes enough sympathy from the gathering of people that we truly do wind up nurturing his situation. A flawless character investigation of a man so fixated on his journey that his societal, physical and mental parts of his life quickly disintegrate, Gullette's execution is regularly unsettling to watch, however continually enrapturing.

The supporting exhibitions, from Mark Margolis (a bit player in numerous movies) as Max's guide, to Ben Shenkman (an alternate bit player) as a man who needs Max's discoveries for significant religious reasons, are great however not remarkable. Conveyed in a stripped-down design, they do, be that as it may, by and large - and successfully - give to the abstruse air overwhelming the film.

#### **VI. Conclusions**

Through the above mentioned discussion, it can be concluded that Pi is more of a scientific thriller than being a biopic on the invention of Pi (an approximate value in Mathematics). Hereby, it can be strongly claimed as the digression of mind that controls a person, rather than the vice-versa stating its monopoly in a very practical scenario as of today.

### **Acknowledgements**

I wish to thank Mr. Deb Chowdhury who first inculcated a strong inclination towards the medium that helped me conceive works of such high quality. I would like to thank Late Sh. Ritwik Ghatak for bearing with me and delving within me through the period of gestation; and any kind of blemishes present in this paper rest squarely on my shoulders. Finally, a special word of thanks to my love for inspiring me at every stage, from inception to its emergence as a finished entity.

### **References**

- [1]. Erbert, Roger (1998). *PI: Review*. [Online]. Available from: <http://www.rogerebert.com/reviews/pi-1998>
- [2]. Holden, Stephen (1998). *Math as a Secret Decoder of Markets and Mysticism*. [Online]. Available from: <http://www.nytimes.com/library/film/040398pi-film-review.html>