

# Overconfidence and Finance Education

Anastasios d. Konstantinidis

Assistant Professor, School of Economic Sciences, Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Western Macedonia, ankonstantinidis@uowm.gr

Dimitrios Niklis

Assistant Professor, School of Economic Sciences, Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Western Macedonia

---

## Abstract

The weaknesses of the Efficient Markets Hypothesis caused the development of a new financial paradigm. Behavioral Finance, relying on other disciplines, such as psychology, anthropology, and sociology, and on the examination of cognitive and emotional errors, offers a substantial interpretation of investment behavior, stock market imbalances as well as long-term stock market bubbles.

The present research, carried out on a sample of Financial Science students, prospective stock market investors and professionals, demonstrates that overconfidence and the biases directly related to it (illusion of knowledge, self-serving bias, “above average”- effect, optimism bias), generate irrationality, which has got a considerable impact both on their behavior and choices.

**Keywords:** rational, biases, errors, behavioral, overconfidence

---

Date of Submission: 10-01-2023

Date of Acceptance: 27-01-2023

---

## I. Introduction

Behavioural Finance is a new financial paradigm, which, in the context of the stock /financial market, studies and analyses investors' behaviour, a behaviour not explained by the Efficient Market theory.

The Efficient Market theory holds that people engaged in finance always act rationally, are well-informed and aim at maximizing investment utility. This implies that stock market processes are always smooth and free from imbalances and suggests that investors cannot take advantage of capital gains. Even in case of stock market anomalies, markets are immediately regulated, as investors become promptly rational.

However, the long stock market bubbles in the recent years have highlighted the presence of specific weaknesses in the Efficient Market theory, such as irrationality and inefficiency, which were emphasized and modified by the emerging theory of Behavioural Finance in the early 90s.

Overall, Behavioural Finance underlined the major role of psychology, emotions, and biases in investment decision-making processes, which are not based on rationality and utility maximization. Relying on various disciplines apart from economics, psychology, anthropology, and sociology, and in conjunction with the complex and volatile nature of the global financial system, it established a significant status, and managed to complement and gradually replace the traditional financial paradigm.

## II. Overconfidence

Overconfidence has been studied as a most common bias in behavioural research. According to Plous (1993), ‘No problem in judgment and decision making is more prevalent and more potentially catastrophic than overconfidence’. DeBondt and Thaler (1985) consider ‘excessive confidence’ a most established and fundamental finding in the psychology of decision making.

It implies people's tendency to overestimate their capabilities and skills, overstate information, knowledge and potential, and have an arrogant attitude towards stock market issues, which results in wrong investment choices.

In the framework of the specific bias, investors are confident that their activities are the only way to achieve gains, and that their choices are perfectly rational; they overestimate their capabilities, probabilities, control for success and positive outcomes, as well as accuracy of knowledge. However, in case of failure, they believe that this is a result of external factors rather than their own decisions.

Over-confidence is associated with the following biases:

### **2.1 Illusion of knowledge**

The greatest enemy of knowledge is not ignorance, but illusion of knowledge (Boorstin, 1983). The specific bias involves people's tendency to believe that their perception of knowledge of the things which happen to them is better than actual knowledge. Barber and Odean (2001) hold that illusion of knowledge is people's tendency to draw unsubstantial conclusions and believe that their actions and decisions are rational, although their choices are insufficient for applying rational and scientific approaches.

### **2.2 Self-serving bias**

It is a cognitive bias, which describes people's tendency to attribute positive outcomes to their own special qualities, and negative ones to external factors. As argued by Barberis and Thaler (2003), overestimation is enhanced by people's tendency to attribute success to their skills and failure to bad luck.

### **2.3 The "Above-Average" effect**

It is a bias which describes people's tendency to perceive themselves as superior to others. Affected by the overconfidence bias, they believe that their qualifications, knowledge and abilities are higher or above the average when they make comparative judgements.

### **2.4 Optimism bias**

According to Montier (2002), the best evidence of all psychological errors is based on the tendency for over-optimism. Overconfident people who overestimate their abilities and skills, are too optimistic about the outcomes of events and situations (Helweg-Larsen, Shepperd, 2001). Excessive optimism is a specific form of fallacy (Heger, Papageorge, 2012).

Overoptimism implies the bias which investors display when their investments are affected by irrational optimism.

## **III. The Research**

The research sample includes second-semester Accounting & Finance students at the University of Western Macedonia, Greece.

The research was carried out during the first week of semester courses, after the first introductory lecture and before the second session, more specifically, from 23/2/2021 to 1/3/2021, on a sample of 123 second-year students (of a total number of 319), who participated in the survey and answered the questionnaire. It is worth noting that the sample accounts for more than 40% of the total number of students.

Of these, 67 are female, and 89.4% are aged 18-22. Their knowledge of stock market issues is poor (44%) to moderate (40.7%). 42% of the subjects wish to be employed in stock market jobs, whereas 50% of them are rather uncertain. It becomes, therefore, evident that they will be future investors or market professionals and will influence clients' decisions and market equilibrium.

The questionnaire includes large-scale close-ended questions drawn from the rich literature of Behavioural Finance, in which large nine- and ten-point scales are acceptable by a large number of scholars (Derek, Tanniru, 2000; Vavra, 1997). It is designed according to high content validity criteria, as it covers all different dimensions of each construct, in other words, measurable variables.

The questions are treated as problems / tests attempting to indirectly assess a specific behaviour; answers to straightforward questions would be rather negative. In addition, they have been designed to fit the researched students' profile, and academic and age group interests, and they focus on general concepts to avoid respondents' biases.

The questionnaire reliability index (Cronbach alpha > 0.7, which implies the research is reliable), applied to questionnaires carried out via factor analysis, demonstrates that the present research does not allow for further data analysis, as there is no correlation between factors.

## **IV. Research Results**

### **4.1 Overconfidence**

The bias of overconfidence implies the investors' tendency to overestimate their abilities and make a false and deceptive assessment of their skills, intellect, or talent. In effect, it involves a selfish perception of oneself, as overconfident people believe they are better than they actually are.

### **4.2 Illusion of knowledge**

It describes the investors' tendency to believe that they have a deep understanding of events, although the approach they virtually use is completely superficial.

Illusion of knowledge is investigated by the first question of the research (Montier, 2002, Behavioral Finance: Insights into Rational Minds and Markets, and Awan, Bukhari, Ghufan, 2006, Understanding investment behaviour of individual investors. How they handle investment decisions). The specific question has been adjusted to the participating students' own interests:

"Have you got full knowledge of what is of interest for you (for example sports, economy, education or anything else that you are interested in)?" On a scale from 1 ('No, not at all') to 9 ('Yes, I definitely have'), rate your answer'.

Corpus analysis demonstrated that a high percentage (more than 40%) of the subjects (total answers for items 7, 8 and 9) have full knowledge of what interests them. The keyword in the particular question, which demonstrates illusion of knowledge, is the word 'full', which implies uncompromising and authoritarian attitudes, and, thus, an irrational behaviour towards information and knowledge, as well as towards a deep understanding of relevant situations.

A percentage which accounts for over 9% (total answers for items 1, 2 and 3) suggests complete ignorance of what is of interest for the subjects, who underestimate their knowledge and awareness, thus, displaying a reverse irrational behaviour, namely, underestimation of knowledge and information of what is of interest for them. This could be described as illusion of ignorance.

A neutral attitude (item 5), which implies rational behaviour, is preferred by 14% of the subjects. Neither do they opt for 'full knowledge' nor 'complete ignorance'. They do not overestimate or underestimate knowledge; they act rationally, without any illusions.

### 4.3 Self-serving Bias

People with the specific self-bias view their success as undeniable, attributed only to their ability to manage their skills, education, and experience properly; in contrast, potential failures are attributed to other people's errors, or unfortunate time rather than themselves.

The question describing a biased perception of success was:

*'Were your successful choices in the past only a result of your own special abilities? On a scale from 1 ('No, not at all') to 9 ('They were the result of my own special abilities'), rate your answer.'* (Daniel, Hirshleifer, Subrahmanyam, 1998, *A Theory of Overconfidence, Self-Attribution, and Security Market Under- and Over-reaction*).

A keyword in the specific question is 'only'. Success is based only on the researched students' abilities.

The answers demonstrated that over 26% of the respondents (total answers for items 7, 8 and 9) are confident that their success is closely related to their own abilities and potential. Thanks to these specific abilities, they have managed to handle special situations, make good use of information without any help from people or circumstances, and benefit from right timing, place, and time. They believe that success is a natural consequence of their specific capabilities.

In addition, over than 17% of the subjects (total answers for items 1, 2 and 3) demonstrate rational thinking and are convinced that success does not only derive from themselves, but also from other circumstances and factors.

Finally, 27% of the participants opted for a neutral answer (item 5), thus, adding to the percentage of favourable attitudes towards a rational behaviour. They estimate their abilities, but their success is the result of a combination of other situations, people, and events.

### 4.4 The "Above-Average" effect

Affected by the bias of overconfidence, people irrationally consider their own qualifications, knowledge, and abilities far above average when compared with others, without, however, having full knowledge of other people's abilities, knowledge, and qualifications. Thus, they are overconfident and do not believe they must improve.

The question investigating 'the above-average effect' (Kahneman, and Riepe 1998, *Aspects of Investor Psychology*) was phrased as follows:

*'Compare your abilities with those of your fellow students. On a scale of 1 ('I'm sure I'm below average') to 9 ('I absolutely believe I'm above average'), please rate your answer.'*

The analysis demonstrated that more than 42% of the subjects (total answers for items 7, 8 and 9) are deeply convinced that their abilities and decisions are superior to those of their fellow students. Their answers reveal an irrational behaviour, as it is unlikely that they are able to know about all their fellow students' abilities and, therefore, make a relevant judgement. Although self-confidence is desirable, in this framework, it exceeds rationality and implies overestimation, which prevents self-improvement as a goal.

Of the total number of answers, only about 9% (total answers for items 1, 2 and 3) underestimate abilities and lack confidence. The subjects feel disadvantaged when compared with their fellow students, and they irrationally believe that their abilities are inferior to those of others.

Remarkably, 25% of the subjects gave neutral answers (item 5), which is evidence of rational behaviour when they compare themselves with others. They neither underestimate nor overestimate their abilities, they recognize potential weaknesses, and are always willing to improve and be rational and cautious in unstable situations.

#### 4.5 Optimism bias

It is an emotional bias of latent optimism or excessive optimism, which involves people's belief that everything will have a happy end. It results in constraining oneself and being inactive, thus, preventing favourable outcomes. In addition, it implies over-optimism about future events (Weinstein, 1980), and people's tendency to be over-optimistic about possible desired outcomes (Taffler, 2009). The question investigating the emotional bias of optimism (Awan, Bukhari, Ghufraan, 2006, Understanding investment behaviour of individual investors. How they handle investment decisions) was phrased as follows:

*'If the Athens Stock Exchange banking index drops at 3% tomorrow, do you think it will recover most losses in a few days? On a scale of 1 ('No, it will definitely not recover') to 9 ('I'm absolutely sure it will recover'), please rate your answer'.*

The analysis demonstrated that about 18% of the subjects (total answers for items 7, 8 and 9) make irrational decisions. A stock market index increase may be most desirable, an issue on which they are intent, but in no case is it an evidence-based prediction. Certainty about a future event under unpredictable conditions and in uncertain times, accompanied by over-optimism, implies an established irrational decision.

In addition, about 19% of the subjects (total answers for items 1, 2 and 3) have a negative attitude towards the progress of stock market returns, which demonstrates the researched students' irrational behaviour and exaggerated positive thinking. Pessimistic attitudes have the same impact on decision-making processes as they are driven by other psychological reactions (anxiety, fear, panic).

Finally, it is also worth noting that 30% of the participating students opted for a neutral answer (item 5) indicating rational thinking as regards predicted probability. Informed decisions cannot be made without relevant knowledge and evidence. Thus, a considerably high percentage of the researched students object to irrational optimism.

### V. Conclusions

To investigate the impact of behavioural biases on investment decisions, the research used a simple percentage analysis, as measurement of emotions - which are volatile and changeable - cannot be achieved by employing complicated scientific approaches (Fama, 1998). However, it enables drawing relevant conclusions by comparing results and determining the central tendency.

The participating students, who are potential or current investors and future investment advisors, display an irrational behaviour when they decide to be professionally involved in stock market processes and offer investment advice, and are affected by cognitive-emotional errors and biases.

A very high number of students irrationally believe that their knowledge of issues which interest them is full. They are affected by the illusion of knowledge and think they have a deep understanding of the situations in which they are involved.

In addition, a large part of the surveyed students feel that their success is the result only of their own abilities. In other words, they display the irrational behaviour of self-serving bias, by underestimating various situations, people, and circumstances, which may have been crucial to their career and life so far.

As regards the above-average effect, the researched students irrationally believe that their abilities and knowledge are superior to those of their fellow students. As they are unable to make a proper assessment of their capabilities, they tend to overestimate them, and they do not perceive potential weaknesses; thus, they are not willing to improve.

The subjects are over-optimistic about events. By not relying on relevant information and evidence, their decision-making is based on the irrational belief that everything will have a happy end. Their irrational conviction about better prospects prevents them from being able to prepare themselves for future decision-making.

To conclude, the present research emphasizes the great significance and contribution of psychology, emotions, and biases to investment decision-making, and suggests that further research and analysis are required in order to provide relevant solutions.

Emphasis should, thus, be placed on the new economic theory, Behavioural Finance, which has been the new dominant economic paradigm to study investors' behaviour and facilitate rational investment decisions.

### REFERENCES

#### Books

- [1]. Boorstin, D., 1983. *The Discoverers: A History of Man's Search to Know His World and Himself*, Random House, U.S.A.
- [2]. Derek, A., Tanniru R. 2000. *Analysis of Customer Satisfaction Data*, ASQ Quality Press, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- [3]. Montier, J., 2002. *Behavioural Finance: Insights into Rational Minds and Markets*. John Wiley & Sons, New York
- [4]. Plous, S. 1993. *The psychology of judgment and decision making*, McGraw-Hill, New York
- [5]. Vavra, T., 1997. *Improving your measurement of customer satisfaction*, ASQ Quality Press, U.S.A

**Papers**

- [6]. Awan, H., Bukhari, K., Ghufraan B., 2006. Understanding investment behavior of individual investors. How they handle investment decisions, *Management Science*, pp. 1-40
- [7]. Barber, M. and Odean T., 2001. Boys Will Be Boys: Gender Overconfidence and Common Stock Investment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 116, No.1, pp. 261-292.
- [8]. Barberis, N., and Thaler, R., 2003. A survey of behavioral finance, *National Bureau of Economic Research*, Vol. 1, No 2, pp. 3-15
- [9]. Caliendo, F., and Huang, K., 2008. Overconfidence and consumption over the life cycle, *Journal of Macroeconomics*, Elsevier, Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 1347-1369
- [10]. Camerer, C., and Lovallo, D., 1999. Overconfidence and excess entry: An experimental Approach, *American Economic Review*, Vol.89, No.1, pp. 306-318
- [11]. Cheng, K., 2007. The Trader Interaction Effect on the Impact of Overconfidence on Trading Performance: An Empirical Study, *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, Vol. 8, No.2, pp. 59-69
- [12]. Daniel, D., Hirshleifer, D., Subrahmanyam A., 1998. A Theory of Overconfidence, Self-Attribution, and Security Market Under- and Over-reaction, *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 53, pp. 1839-1855
- [13]. De Bondt, W., Thaler, R., 1985. Does the Stock Market Overreact?, *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 40, No 3, pp. 793-805
- [14]. Fama, E., 1998. Market efficiency, long-term returns, and behavioral finance, *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 49, No 3, pp. 283-306
- [15]. Glaser, M., and Weber, M. 2007. Overconfidence and Trading volume, *The Geneva Risk and Insurance Review*, Vol.32, No 1, pp. 1-36
- [16]. Hegery S., and Papageorgeo N., 2012. We Should Totally Open A Restaurant: A Taxonomy of Optimism and Overconfidence, pp. 1-39
- [17]. Helweg-Larsen M., Shepperd, J., 2001. Do Moderators of the Optimistic Bias Affect Personal or Target Risk, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 74-95
- [18]. Hughes, J., Liu, J., Zhang, M., 2010. Overconfidence, Under-Reaction, and Warren Buffett's Investments, *Social Science Research Network*, pp. 1-39.
- [19]. Irwan Trinugroho I., and Sembel, R., 2011. Overconfidence and Excessive Trading Behavior: An Experimental Study, *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 6, No. 7, pp. 1-6
- [20]. Kahneman, D., Riepe M., 1998. Aspects of Investor Psychology, *Journal of Portfolio Management*, Vol. 24, No. 4, pp. 1-22.
- [21]. Malmendier, U., Tate, G., 2015. Behavioral CEOs: The Role of Managerial Overconfidence, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Vol. 29, No. 4, pp. 37-60
- [22]. Seppälä A., 2009. Behavioral Biases of investment advisors The Effect of Overconfidence and Hindsight Bias, Master's Thesis, Helsinki School of Economics. Breaking News, Business, Politics, Technology, pp.1-2
- [23]. Skala D., 2010. Overconfidence in Psychology and Finance -an Interdisciplinary Literature Review, *MPRA Paper*, Vol. 6, No. 26386, pp. 1-19.
- [24]. Taffler, R., 2009. The Role of Behavioural Bias and Conflicts of Interest in Analyst Stock Recommendations, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 36, pp. 384-418
- [25]. Trinugroho I., and Sembel R., 2011. Overconfidence and Excessive Trading Behavior: An Experimental Study, *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 6, pp. 1-6
- [26]. Weinstein, D., 1980. Unrealistic optimism about future life events, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 39, No 5, pp. 806-820