IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)
e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 23, Issue 8 Ser. 7 (August. 2024), PP 07-12
www.iosrjournals.org

Decoding And Unraveling Pitfalls In Endodontic Surgery: Causes And Corrective Strategies

Richa Wadhawan[1], Sachin Mangal[2], Ayushi Agarwal[3], Suneera KV[4], Subhashish Sharma[5], Cherami Lamar [6]

Professor, Oral Medicine, Diagnosis & Radiology, PDM Dental College & Research Institute, Bahadurgarh, Haryana
Senior Lecturer, Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, Maharana Pratap College Of Dentistry & Research Centre, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh
Post Graduate, Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, People Dental Academy, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh
General Dentist, Dentocare Dental Clinic, Malappuram, Kerala
Dental Surgeon, Agadya Multispeciality Dental Clinic, Jorhat, Assam
Dental Surgeon, Cuspidus Dental Clinic, Jowai, Meghalaya

Abstract:
Endodontic surgery, crucial for salvaging teeth that cannot be effectively treated through conventional methods, presents a variety of challenges that can impact its success. This review consolidates common pitfalls encountered during endodontic surgical procedures, identifying their root causes and offering corrective strategies to mitigate these issues. Major obstacles involve inadequate diagnosis and treatment strategy, struggles with surgical access and visibility, managing infections effectively, and complications with root-end preparation and sealing. Additional concerns include postoperative care, management of root-end resection, handling of instruments, and patient-specific factors. By analyzing these pitfalls and implementing targeted corrective strategies such as utilizing advanced imaging techniques, adhering to strict aseptic protocols, and providing comprehensive postoperative instruction. Dental practitioners can enhance procedural outcomes and improve overall patient satisfaction. The insights provided aim to guide practitioners in refining their approach to endodontic surgery, ultimately leading to more successful and predictable results.

Keywords: *Endodontic Surgery, Root Canal System Complexity, Root-End Fillings, Technical Errors, Instrumentation Problems, Sealing Failures, Infection Control, Sterilization, Postoperative Infections, Oral Hygiene, Systemic Health Conditions, Patient Compliance, Material Biocompatibility, Operator Experience, Skill Level, Healing Complication*

Date of Submission: 12-08-2024 Date of Acceptance: 22-08-2024

I. Introduction:

Surgical endodontics is a specialized branch of dentistry dedicated to diagnosing and treating lesions arising from the dental pulp that are unresponsive to conventional endodontic treatments or cannot be managed with standard methods.[1] Unlike traditional endodontic therapy, which includes procedures such as access opening, biomechanical preparation, obturation, and restoration—typically performed without requiring a surgical flap—surgical endodontics becomes necessary when these non-invasive techniques fail or are impractical.[2] This field is reserved for situations where non-surgical retreatment has been fully explored or when conventional methods fail due to case complexity or specific challenges.[3] Modern endodontic treatments typically have high success rates, often surpassing 90%, demonstrating the effectiveness of standard techniques.[4] In contrast, surgical endodontics, while beneficial in certain cases, has a variable success rate, ranging from 44% to 95%.[5] Systematic reviews suggest that although surgical methods might offer better short-term outcomes, non-surgical retreatment often leads to more favourable long-term results.[6] Surgical endodontics is particularly indicated for periradicular disease that presents substantial treatment challenges, such as anatomical anomalies or conditions that render nonsurgical treatments ineffective or infeasible.[7] In these situations, a biopsy of the periradicular tissue may be necessary to make an accurate diagnosis and plan appropriate treatment, especially when there is suspicion of perforation or root fracture.[8] This diagnostic step is crucial for visualizing the tissues and tailoring the treatment to the patient's specific needs, particularly when extended nonsurgical retreatment is not an option due to patient-related factors.[9] Contraindications for surgical endodontics typically involve situations where the tooth lacks functional or strategic importance, such as when it does not have an antagonist, does not support fixed prostheses, or cannot be restored due to inadequate periodontal support or a vertical root fracture.[10] General contraindications may also include patients who are uncooperative or those with medical conditions that make oral surgical procedures particularly hazardous.[11] With medical advancements extending life expectancy and enhancing survival rates, managing patients with medical conditions has become more frequent.[12] For individuals with serious systemic disorders—such as cardiovascular, respiratory, digestive, hepatic, renal, immune, or musculoskeletal issues—a comprehensive assessment of their medical history is crucial.[13] This includes assessing potential medical complications and reviewing the patient's current medication regimen, which may require consultation with their primary care physician or a specialist to ensure that all risks are managed appropriately.[14] In surgical endodontics, several key procedures are commonly performed to address complex issues.[15] Periapical surgery targets unusual conditions at the root tip and cases where root canal treatments have failed without a clear reason.[16] Closure of lateral perforation involves sealing an iatrogenic defect in the root surface caused by instrumentation, which can lead to local infection and inflammation, similar to an apical sealing failure. If access is sufficient, such perforations can be sealed using techniques akin to retrograde root filling. However, if the perforation is on the mesial or distal aspect of the root, or if it is large and the roots are close together, access may be limited, making satisfactory results difficult. In some cases, conventional endodontic methods may manage these defects. Hemi-section or root amputation entails removing part of a tooth root to address specific canal spaces. These procedures are suited for molars with periodontal furcation involvement, vertical root fractures, or otherwise untreatable roots. The procedure involves excising the affected root and crown, then sealing and preserving the remaining structures. A thorough periodontal assessment is crucial before planning these interventions.[17] Intentional replantation is performed when a tooth has been displaced due to trauma; this involves extracting the tooth, treating it, and then replanting it into its original socket.[18] Corrective surgery aims to address defects caused by biological processes, such as resorption, or errors from previous treatments, typically involving exposing, preparing, and sealing the affected areas.[19] Common causes of failure in surgical endodontics often stem from inadequate diagnosis and treatment planning, technical errors during surgery, poor infection control, and issues with material selection and handling.[20] A critical factor in treatment failure is inadequate mechanical cleaning of the root canal system, which allows persistent microbial presence.[21] Persistent infections can arise from bacteria hiding in hard-to-reach areas, compounded by poor root canal obturation and inadequate coronal sealing.[22] Research highlights that overextended obturations can be problematic, though the relationship between the apical extent of root fillings and treatment failures remains complex. Achieving an optimal apical seal is essential for success, and high-quality coronal restorations are vital in preventing microbial ingress.[23]Studies consistently show that teeth with well-executed restorations have higher success rates. To improve outcomes and reduce the likelihood of failure, it is crucial to address these issues comprehensively and maintain regular follow-ups.[24] Through meticulous attention to detail and ongoing evaluation, the success rates in surgical endodontics can be significantly enhanced, leading to better patient outcomes. Indications for surgical intervention include failed orthograde treatment.[25] Surgery may be necessary if a root filling fails and retreatment cannot be achieved by orthograde means.[26] Root fillings may fail due to inadequate cleansing and filling of the root canal or anatomical reasons, such as an unfilled apical delta.[27] A biopsy of a periapical lesion should be performed if there is uncertainty about the nature of the apical lesion; it should be excised in its entirety and sent for evaluation.[28] Root-end resection or apicoectomy is a principal procedure with the objective of sealing the canal system at the apical foramen from the periradicular tissues.[29] Apicoectomy involves resecting the apical part of the root to access the root canal. Advancements in endodontic techniques and materials continue to improve the success rates of both conventional and surgical treatments.[30] A thorough understanding of indications, contraindications, and common pitfalls in surgical endodontics is essential for optimizing patient outcomes. Continued research and development in this field will further enhance the efficacy and predictability of endodontic surgeries.[31]Modern endodontic surgery incorporates high magnification, ultrasonic root-end preparation, and root-end filling with biocompatible materials.[32] Techniques such as the use of dental operating microscopes, cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) for preoperative diagnosis and treatment planning, and piezoelectric approaches to osteotomy and root manipulation represent significant technological advances. Crown and root resection techniques have also benefited from these advancements.[33] This review highlights the current state of root-end surgery by comparing modern endodontic microsurgery techniques and materials to earlier methods, discussing recent additions to clinical protocols, and providing an outlook on future directions. While nonsurgical retreatment remains the first choice for most cases of endodontic failure, modern endodontic microsurgery offers a predictable and minimally invasive alternative for retaining natural teeth.[34]

II. Discussion:

Rotary instruments, which are vital in modern endodontics, can sometimes fracture within the canals, leading to complications if not properly managed. Adhering to guidelines for access cavity preparation and the correct use of rotary instruments is essential to prevent such fractures. The consequences of these fractures include diminished access to the apical portion of the root canal, which can compromise the disinfection and filling of the canal.[35] Although many studies suggest that fractured instruments have minimal impact on treatment success, the timing of the fracture can influence the prognosis.[36] Disinfection and obturation of the canal segment beyond a fractured instrument can be difficult, potentially leading to persistent infection. However, the instrument itself is less likely to be the direct cause of failure; particularly if there is no pre-existing infection.[37] Clinical research indicates that, in the absence of preoperative infection or periradicular changes, the presence of a fractured rotary instrument is unlikely to negatively affect the treatment outcome.[38]

A common issue in endodontic treatment, especially in molars, is the failure to identify all canals.[39] Molar teeth often contains more canals than roots, and inadequate access can make it challenging to find supplemental canals. Missing canals is a significant cause of endodontic failure, as bacteria in these unaddressed areas can lead to ongoing symptoms.[40] Studies, including one involving 5,616 molars, show that failure to locate canals such as the mesio buccal (MB2) canal significantly reduces long-term prognosis.[41] Another study reported that missed canals were present in 42% of endodontically failing teeth.[42] Studies on endodontic regenerative surgery and microsurgery have primarily focused on surgical outcomes, with research indicating that reoperations generally have a lower success rate compared to primary endodontic surgery when a broad-opening approach is not used. However, microsurgical techniques have not shown a significant difference in success rates between primary surgeries and reoperations.[43] Saunders' review suggests that initial surgical failures may result from microbiological complications, including coronal occlusion and challenges in cleaning the root canal due to coronal leakage. Iatrogenic errors during the initial surgery, such as poor judgment, incomplete resection of the root tip, or root perforation in an off-axis retrograde plane, are significant contributors to failure.[44] Song et al. pinpointed several critical factors contributing to surgical failure in endodontics, including inadequate root-end harvesting and preparation, delayed drainage, and undetected isthmuses. Their comprehensive review of extracted roots aimed to uncover the root causes behind previous surgical failures.[45] Advanced imaging technologies, particularly high-resolution cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), have revolutionized endodontic microsurgery outcomes by significantly enhancing the identification of missed canals and the assessment of periapical lesions.[46] CBCT's ability to provide detailed, three-dimensional views allows for a more accurate diagnosis of failure causes, uncovering issues that were previously hidden.[47] Research has shown that many instances of endodontic failure can be traced back to identifiable problems such as incomplete root-end fillings, improper root preparation, and undetected isthmic regions.[48] For example, Crutchman highlighted that incomplete or misplaced root-end fillings are frequent culprits behind initial surgical failures.[49] Prospective studies have similarly identified incomplete canal cleaning and improper root preparation as significant factors contributing to these failures.[50] Peterson & Gutmann's meta-analysis underscores the importance of high magnification in improving outcomes for both endodontic microsurgery and primary endodontic procedures.[51] Their findings, supported by studies showing that CBCT imaging enhances the detection of missing canals and periapical lesions, reinforce the critical role of detailed imaging in successful endodontic treatment.[52] Unlike combination of traditional methods with advanced CBCT imaging has proven invaluable, not only in pinpointing previously unidentified causes of failure but also in revealing the prevalence of iatrogenic errors, which are common contributors to treatment failure.[53] In the specialty of endodontics, periradicular surgery addresses issues involving the external root surface. This includes apicoectomy, root resection, repair of root perforations or resorption defects, removal of broken tooth fragments or filling materials, and exploratory surgery to identify root fractures.[54] Symptoms indicating infection in the periradicular tissue around a root-treated tooth can impede healing after conventional root canal treatment.[55] After pulp removal, the goal of endodontic treatment is to seal the pulpal space to prevent bacterial contamination and facilitate healing of the periradicular tissue.[56] Success rates for root-canal treatment range from 47% to 97%, with failures often attributed to issues such as inadequate root canal filling, short root fillings, or preexisting periapical lesions.[57] Treatment options for these failures include nonsurgical root-canal re-treatment or periradicular surgery, with the latter considered when the former is contraindicated.[58] Periradicular surgery involves several stages, beginning with local anaesthesia and flap design to access the affected periapical tissues. Initially, topical anaesthetic gel is applied; especially in the anterior maxilla where injections can be painful.[59] A local anaesthetic solution with a vasoconstrictor, such as 1:80,000 epinephrine, is used to ensure a relatively bloodless field during surgery. The vasoconstrictor should be allowed at least 5 minutes to take effect before proceeding. Both buccal and palatal or lingual soft and hard tissues, as well as areas lateral to the surgical field for relieving incisions, must be adequately anaesthetized.[60] If granulation tissue is present around the apex of the tooth, a swab soaked in the local anaesthetic solution is applied directly to the tissue before curettage.[61] Flap design is critical and can be classified into 'two-sided', 'three-sided' (trapezoidal), and semilunar types. The 'two-sided' flap involves a relieving incision in the buccal sulcus extending around the gingival margin of the tooth, which provides good access while maintaining gingival attachment. The 'three-sided' flap, or trapezoidal design, offers excellent access but may risk gingival recession and can be more challenging to reposition accurately.[62] The Luebke-Ochsenbein modification, which leaves a 3-4 mm rim of gingival tissue, often provides a good balance of access and aesthetics. The semi lunar flap avoids the gingival margin and reduces recession risk but may limit access and is prone to dehiscence.[63] Flap reflection requires careful handling of the periosteum to prevent tears that could cause postoperative pain and swelling. The presence of sinus tracts or fibrous scar tissue from previous surgeries can complicate flap reflection, necessitating dissection and suturing of any defects.[64] Bone removal involves creating a window in the buccal bone to expose the apical tissues and any granulomas. Identifying the apex might be challenging, especially with bleeding, but haemostatic materials can help manage this.[65] Curettage follows to remove any foreign bodies and confirm the presence of granulation tissue, which is then sent for histopathological examination.[66] Apicectomy involves excising the apical portion of the root to remove lateral root canals and prepare the root-end for a filling. The bevel angle of the cut is adjusted based on the tooth's position and orientation. After apicectomy, a retrograde cavity is prepared in the root apex, and temporary obturation of the bone cavity is necessary to prevent spillage of filling material and contamination from bleeding.[67] Various root-end filling materials are used, including dental amalgam, gutta percha, and mineral trioxide aggregate, each with its advantages. Following the root-end filling, the site is irrigated, and any debris is carefully removed, often with a fine jet of sterile saline or a Briault probe. [68] A postoperative radiograph may be taken to ensure the apical seal is adequate. Wound closure involves repositioning and suturing the mucoperiosteal flap, often with resorbable sutures, and applying gentle pressure with a moist gauze swab to achieve haemostasis. If no radiograph was taken prior to closure, it is typically done at this stage to finalize the assessment of the surgical site.[69] Recent advancements, such as methylene blue staining and high magnification tests, have improved the detection of micro cracks or dentinal defects. Transillumination with LED devices has also proven useful in detecting defects, though it was not used in some studies. Long-term follow-up indicates that cases initially classified as "incomplete" often become "complete" or remain "incomplete" after 8 to 12 years. Despite these advances, the "uncertainty of treatment" still requires further investigation.[70]

III. Future Research Directions:

Future research in endodontics should focus on addressing current limitations by exploring new technologies and techniques. Advancements in imaging technologies, such as CBCT, combined with emerging tools like artificial intelligence and machine learning, hold promise for enhancing diagnostic accuracy and predicting treatment outcomes.[71] Additionally, research into new materials for root-end filling and retrograde preparation is essential. Innovations in biocompatible materials, improved sealants, and advanced instrumentation could address issues related to insufficient root-end fillings and enhance treatment durability.[72] Longitudinal studies tracking the long-term success of endodontic procedures, including resurgeries, are needed to develop predictive models based on clinical, radiographic, and procedural data, which could help identify high-risk patients and guide personalized treatment approaches. Exploring patient-specific factors, such as anatomical variations and systemic health conditions, is crucial for tailored treatment plans. Continuous education and training for endodontists, incorporating the latest research findings and technologies, are vital for improving procedural techniques and outcomes.[73]

IV. Conclusion:

Understanding the causes of endodontic failure is crucial for improving the success rates of both initial and resurgical treatments. Key factors impacting effectiveness include iatrogenic errors, microbial contamination, anatomical complexities, and insufficient root-end fillings. Advanced imaging techniques, such as CBCT, and careful procedural adjustments are vital for enhancing diagnostic precision and surgical results. Despite progress, ongoing challenges persist, and future research should focus on these issues to improve patient outcomes. Persistent symptoms like pain, swelling, abscess formation, and radiographic signs of poor healing can signal procedural failure, necessitating prompt reassessment and optimized treatment approaches. In summary, attention to these common issues is essential during endodontic treatment. Paying close attention to detail not only enhances the quality of endodontic care but also increases the likelihood of success. Regular follow-ups, ideally at least annually, are important for monitoring any changes. However, meticulous clinical care during the treatment phase can ultimately benefit both the clinician and the patient in the long term.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil
Conflicts of interest There are no conflicts of interest

References

[1] Lin Lm, Skribner Je, Gaengler P. Factors Associated With Endodontic Treatment Failures. J Endod. 1992; 18:625–7.
[2] Kim S, Pecora G, Rubinstein R. Comparison Of Traditional And Microsurgery In Endodontics. In: Kim S, Pecora G, Rubinstein R, Eds. Color Atlas Of Microsurgery In Endodontics. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders, 2001:5–11.
[3] Endo Ms, Ferraz Cc, Zaia Aa, Almeida Jf, Gomes Bp. Quantitative And Qualitative Analysis Of Microorganisms In Root-Filled Teeth With Persistent Infection: Monitoring Of The Endodontic Retreatment. Eur J Dent. 2013; 7:302–9.

[4] Gilheany Pa, Figdor D, Tyas Mj. Apical Dentin Permeability And Micro Leakage Associated With Root End Resection And Retrograde Filling. J Endod. 1994; 20:22– 6.
[5] Lin Lm, Pascon Ea, Skribner J, Gängler P, Langeland K. Clinical, Radiographic, And Histologic Study Of Endodontic Treatment Failures. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1991; 71:603–11.
[6] Sjögren U, Figdor D, Persson S, Sundqvist G. Influence Of Infection At The Time Of Root Filling On The Outcome Of Endodontic Treatment Of Teeth With Apical Periodontitis. Int Endod J. 1997; 30:297–306.
[7] Gutmann Jl, Harrison Jw. Periradicular Curettage, Root-End Resection, Root-End Filling. In: Guttmann Jl, Harrison Jw, Eds. Surgical Endodontics. Boston: Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1991:208 –213.
[8] Ng Yl, Mann V, Rahbaran S, Lewsey J, Gulabivala K. Outcome Of Primary Root Canal Treatment: Systematic Review Of The Literature - Part 2. Influence Of Clinical Factors. Int Endod J. 2008; 41:6–31.
[9] Engström B, Lundberg M. The Correlation Between Positive Culture And The Prognosis Of Root Canal Therapy After Pulpectomy. Odontol Revy. 1965; 16:193–203.
[10] Tronstad L, Asbjørnsen K, Døving L, Pedersen I, Eriksen Hm. Influence Of Coronal Restorations On The Periapical Health Of Endodontically Treated Teeth. Endod Dent Traumatol. 2000; 16:218–21.
[11] Carr Gb. Surgical Endodontics. In: Cohen S, Burns R, Eds. Pathways Of The Pulp, 6th Ed. St Louis: Mosby, 1994:531.
[12] Kojima K, Inamoto K, Nagamatsu K, Hara A, Nakata K, Morita I, Et Al. Success Rate Of Endodontic Treatment Of Teeth With Vital And Nonvital Pulps. A Meta-Analysis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2004; 97:95–9.
[13] Troullos Es, Goldstein Ds, Hargreaves Km, Dionne Ra. Plasma Epinephrine Levels And Cardiovascular Response To High Administered Doses Of Epinephrine Contained In Local Anesthesia. Anesth Prog. 1987; 34:10 –3.
[14] Segura-Egea Jj, Jiménez-Pinzón A, Poyato-Ferrera M, Velasco-Ortega E, Ríos-Santos Jv. Periapical Status And Quality Of Root Fillings And Coronal Restorations In An Adult Spanish Population. Int Endod J. 2004; 37:525–30.
[15] Bayram Hm, Celikten B, Bayram E, Bozkurt A. Fluid Flow Evaluation Of Coronal Micro Leakage Intraorifice Barrier Materials In Endodontically Treated Teeth. Eur J Dent. 2013; 7:359–62.
[16] Swanson K, Madison S. An Evaluation Of Coronal Microleakage In Endodontically Treated Teeth. Part I. Time Periods. J Endod. 1987; 13:56–9.
[17] Kim S. Principles Of Endodontic Microsurgery. Dent Clin North Am. 1997; 41:481–97.
[18] Nair Pn. Pathogenesis Of Apical Periodontitis And The Causes Of Endodontic Failures. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 2004; 15:348 – 381.
[19] Kerekes K, Tronstad L. Long-Term Results Of Endodontic Treatment Performed With A Standardized Technique. J Endod. 1979; 5:83–90.
[20] Siqueira Jf Jr. Aetiology Of Root Canal Treatment Failure: Why Well-Treated Teeth Can Fail. Int Endod J. 2001; 34:1–10.
[21] Wolcott J, Ishley D, Kennedy W, Johnson S, Minnich S, Meyers J. A 5 Yr Clinical Investigation Of Second Mesiobuccal Canals In Endodontically Treated And Retreated Maxillary Molars. J Endod. 2005; 31:262–4.
[22] Ashley M, Harris I. The Assessment Of The Endodontically Treated Tooth. Dent Update. 2001; 28:247–52.
[23] Ray Ha, Trope M. Periapical Status Of Endodontically Treated Teeth In Relation To The Technical Quality Of The Root Filling And The Coronal Restoration. Int Endod J. 1995; 28:12–8.
[24] Rubinstein Ra, Kim S. Short-Term Observation Of The Results Of Endodontic Surgery With The Use Of A Surgical Operation Microscope And Super-Eba As Root-End Filling Material. J Endod. 1999; 25:43– 8.
[25] Carr Gb. Microscope In Endodontics. J Calif Dent Assoc.1992; 20:55– 61.
[26] Hoen Mm, Pink Fe. Contemporary Endodontic Retreatments: An Analysis Based On Clinical Treatment Findings. J Endod. 2002; 28:834–6.
[27] Nair Pn. Non-Microbial Etiology: Foreign Body Reaction Maintaining Post-Treatment Apical Periodontitis. Endod Topics. 2003; 6:114 –34.
[28] Kerekes K. Tronstad L. Long-Term Results Of Endodontic Treatment Performed With A Standardized Technique. J Endod. 1979; 5:83–90.
[29] Nair Pn, Pajarola G, Schroeder He. Types And Incidence Of Human Periapical Lesions Obtained With Extracted Teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1996; 81:93–102.
[30] Bergenholtz G, Lekholm U, Milthon R, Heden G, Odesjo B, Engstrom B. Retreatment Of Endodontic Fillings. Scand J Dent Res.1979; 87:217–24.
[31] Jokinen Ma, Kotilainen R, Poikkeus P, Et Al. Clinical And Radiographic Study Of Pulpectomy And Root Canal Therapy. Scand J Dent Res. 1978; 86:366 –73.
[32] Nair Pn. New Perspectives On Radicular Cysts: Do They Heal? Int Endod J. 1998; 31:155– 60.
[33] Swartz Db, Skidmore Ae, Griffin Ja Jr .Twenty Years Of Endodontic Success And Failure. J Endod. 1983; 9:198–202.
[34] Gorni Fg, Gagliani Mm. The Outcome Of Endodontic Retreatment: A 2-Yr Follow-Up. J Endod. 2004; 30:1– 4.
[35] Crump Mc, Natkin E. Relationship Of Broken Root Canal Instruments To Endodontic Case Prognosis: A Clinical Investigation. J Am Dent Assoc. 1970; 80:1341–7.
[36] Simon S, Machtou P, Tomson P, Adams N, Lumley P. Influence Of Fractured Instruments On The Success Rate Of Endodontic Treatment. Dent Update. 2008; 35:172–4. 176,178-9.
[37] Nair Pn, Sjogren U, Schumacher E, Sundqvist G. Radicular Cyst Affecting A Root-Filled Human Tooth: A Long-Term Post-Treatment Follow-Up. Int Endod J. 1993; 26:225–33.
[38] Simon Jh. Incidence Of Periapical Cysts In Relation To The Root Canal. J Endod. 1980; 6:845– 8.
[39] Pecora G, Andreana S. Use Of Dental Operating Microscope In Endodontic Surgery. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1993; 75:751– 8.
[40] Chong Bs, Pitt Ford Tr, Hudson Mb. A Prospective Clinical Study Of Mineral Trioxide Aggregate And Irm When Used As Root-End Filling Materials In Endodontic Surgery. Int Endod J. 2003; 36:520 – 6.
[41] Weller Rn, Niemczyk Sp, Kim S. Incidence And Position Of The Canal Isthmus: Part 1.Mesiobuccal Root Of The Maxillary First Molar. J Endod 1995; 21:380 –3.
[42] Pineda F. Roentgenographic Investigation Of The Mesiobuccal Root Of The Maxillary First Molar. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1973; 36:253– 60.
[43] Vertucci Fj. Root Canal Anatomy Of The Human Permanent Teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1984;58:589 –99.
[44] Saunders Wp, Saunders Em. Coronal Leakage As A Cause Of Failure In Root-Canal Therapy (A Review). Endod And Dent Traumatology. 1994; 10:105– 8.
[45] Song M, Kim Hc, Lee W, Kim E. Analysis Of The Cause Of Failure In Nonsurgical Endodontic Treatment By Microscopic Inspection During Endodontic Microsurgery. J Endod. 2011; 37:1516–9.

[46] Wang N, Knight K, Dao T, Friedman S. Treatment Outcome In Endodontics: The Toronto Study. Phases I And Ii: Apical Surgery. J Endod. 2004; 30:751– 61.
[47] Malamed S. Handbook Of Local Anesthesia, 1st Ed. St. Louis: C.V. Mosby Co., 1980. Dionne Ra, Goldstein Ds, Wirdzek Pr. Effects Of Diazepam Premedication And Epinephrine-Containing Local Anesthetic On Cardiovascular And Plasma Catecholamine Responses To Oral Surgery. Anesth Analg. 1984; 63:640 – 6.
[48] Witherspoon De, Gutmann Jl. Hemostasis In Periradicular Surgery. Int Endod J. 1996; 29:135– 49.
[49] Jastak Jt, Yagiela Ja. Vasoconstrictors And Local Anesthesia: A Review And Rationale For Use. J Am Dent Assoc. 1983; 107:623–30.
[50] Kim S, Rethnam S. Hemostasis In Endodontic Microsurgery. Dent Clin North Am. 1997; 41:499 –511.
[51] Gutmann Jl.Parameters Of Achieving Quality Anesthesia And Hemostasis In Surgical Endodontics. Anesth Pain Control Dent. 1993; 2:223– 6.
[52] Vy Ch, Baumgartner Jc, Marshall Jg. Cardiovascular Effects And Efficacy Of A Hemostatic Agent In Periradicular Surgery. J Endod. 2004; 30:379 – 83.
[53] Nair Pn. New Perspectives On Radicular Cysts: Do They Heal? Int Endod J. 1998; 31:155– 60.
[54] Ibarrola Jl, Bjorenson Je, Austin Bp, Gerstein H. Osseous Reactions To Three Hemostatic Agents. J Endod. 1985; 11:75– 83.
[55] Engel Tk, Steiman Hr. Preliminary Investigation Of Ultrasonic Root-End Preparation. J Endod. 1995;21:443– 8.
[56] Rahbaran S, Gilthorpe Ms, Harrison Sd, Gulabivala K. Comparison Of Clinical Outcome Of Periapical Surgery In Endodontic And Oral Surgery Units Of A Teaching Dental Hospital: A Retrospective Study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2001; 91:700 –9.
[57] Wuchenich G, Meadows D, Torabinejad M. A Comparison Between Two Root End Preparation Techniques In Human Cadavers. J Endod. 1994; 20:279 – 82.
[58] Kramper Bj, Kaminski Ej, Osetek Em, Heuer Ma. A Comparative Study Of The Wound Healing Of Three Types Of Flap Design Used In Periapical Surgery. J Endod. 1984; 10:17–25.
[59] Velvart P. Papilla Base Incision: A New Approach To Recession-Free Healing Of The Interdental Papilla After Endodontic Surgery. Int Endod J 2002; 35:453– 80.
[60] Zimmermann U, Ebner Jp, Velvart P. Papilla Healing Following Sulcular Full Thickness Flap In Endodontic Surgery. J Endod. 2001; 27:219.
[61] Koseoglu B. G., Tanrikulu S., Subay R. K., Sencer S. Anesthesia Following Overfilling Of A Root Canal Sealer Into The Mandibular Canal: A Case Report. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, And Endodontics. 2006; 101: 803–806.
[62] Lubow Rm, Wayman Be, Cooley Rl. Endodontic Flap Design: Analysis And Recommendations For Current Usage. Oral Surg. 1984; 58:207–12.
[63] Velvart P, Peters Ci. Soft Tissue Management In Endodontic Surgery. J Endod. 2005; 31:4 –16.
[64] Navarre Sw, Steiman R. Root-End Fracture During Retropreparation: A Comparison Between Zirconium Nitride-Coated And Stainless Steel Microsurgical Ultrasonic Instruments. J Endod. 2002; 28:330 –2.
[65] Boyne P, Lyon H, Miller C. The Effects Of Osseous Implant Materials On Regeneration Of Alveolar Cortex. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1961; 369 –78.
[66] Hjorting-Hansen E, Andreasen Jo. Incomplete Bone Healing Of Experimental Cavities In Dog Mandibles. Br J Oral Surg. 1971; 9:33– 40.
[67] Altonen M, Mattila K. Follow-Up Study Of Apicoectomized Molars. Int J Oral Surg. 1976; 5:33– 40.
[68] Maltezos C., Glickman G. N., Ezzo P., He J. Comparison Of The Sealing Of Resilon, Pro Root Mta, And Super-Eba As Root-End Filling Materials: A Bacterial Leakage Study. Journal Of Endodontics. 2006; 32: 324–327.
[69] Guttmann Jl, Harrison Jw. Posterior Endodontic Surgery: Anatomical Considerations And Clinical Techniques. Int Endod J. 1985; 18:8 –34.
[70] Hsu Yy, Kim S. The Resected Root Surface. The Issue Of Canal Isthmuses. Dent Clin North Am. 1997; 41:529 – 40.
[71] Kost W. J., Stakiw J. E. (1991) Root Amputation And Hemisection. Journal Of The Canadian Dental Association. 57: 42–45.
[72] Gutmann Jl, Pitt Ford Tr. Management Of The Resected Root End: A Clinical Review. Int Endod J. 1993; 26:273– 83.
[73] Rud J, Andreasen Jo. A Study Of Failures After Endodontic Surgery By Radiographic, Histologic And Stereomicroscopic Methods. Int J Oral Surg. 1972; 1:311–28.