

## Comparison of retention of Selective Laser Sintered and Conventional Cast full metal crown prepared with a constant taper- An In Vitro Study

Dr.Hareesh M.T<sup>1</sup>,Dr.Byju Paul Kurian <sup>2</sup>,Dr. Manu Johns<sup>3</sup>,Dr. Karthikeyan CR <sup>4</sup>,Dr.Roshy George <sup>5</sup>,Dr. Jamshid Usman M<sup>6</sup>

(Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Government Dental College, Kozhikode <sup>1,6</sup>.Professor & HOD, Department of Prosthodontics,Mar Baselios Dental College, Kothamangalam <sup>2</sup>,Reader , Department of Prosthodontics, Annoor Dental College and Hospital, Muvattupuzha<sup>3</sup>,Consultant,VPS Lakeshore Hospital, Ernakulam.<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Government Dental College, Kottayam.<sup>5</sup>)

---

### Abstract

**Background:** Retention is a key factor essential for a successful fixed dental prosthesis and is directly related to the geometric configuration of the tooth preparation. The present study aimed to compare the retention of crowns fabricated by Direct Metal Laser Sintering (DMLS) and Conventional Casting Technology against a constant taper of 24 degree with a standardized cement space.

**Methods:** A standard metal die simulating prepared mandibular first molar was fabricated through CAD-CAM technology. Impression of the standard metal die was made using two stage impression technique - heavy body with light body using addition silicone impression material. 20 casts were duplicated from the single cast obtained and each one was first scanned for DMLS Crown and the same casts were then used for Conventional Casting procedure. Both were provided with a standardized cement space of 24 microns and the space was evaluated with Scanning electron microscope using Fit checker. Retention of the crowns was studied as a measure of tensile force with a universal testing machine.

**Results:** The mean tensile strength of crowns fabricated by DMLStechnology was higher than the crowns fabricated using Conventional technology ( $p= 0.028$ ) at a constant combined taper of 24°.

### Conclusion

Crowns fabricated by DMLS Technology provide significantly more retention than conventional casting technology for this particular taper. DMLS technology promises in preserving the provided cement space for effective flow of luting agent thereby enhancing the retention.

**Keywords:** Direct metal laser sintering, Conventional casting, Retention

---

Date of Submission: 16-09-2023

Date of acceptance: 26-09-2023

---

## I. INTRODUCTION

The use of crowns for fixed partial dentures to restore or replace teeth has gained its importance over the years. <sup>[1]</sup>The lack of retention was shown to be one of the common causes for fixed prosthesis failure. <sup>[2]</sup>This may happen primarily due to over tapering of the preparation. The smaller the taper the higher will be the retention <sup>[3]</sup>, a range of 5-12° taper is considered as optimal. <sup>[4]</sup>Crowns for fixed partial dentures are commonly fabricated in the dental laboratory using the lost wax technique. <sup>[5]</sup> Though conventional casting procedure has advanced, a more superior, single-stage dental laboratory process would be helpful to replace the currently employed multi-stage technique for preparing cast restorations. Advances in computer-aided design and computer aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) have provided a new alternative technique for producing dental restorations. This radical shift is due to the advent of digital dental technology on an unprecedented scale through automation.

Computer aided designing technology help us to provide prosthesis with good quality, standardization, economy, and success. This digital technology which bypasses the traditional lab procedures by directly fusing the powdered metal particles at 20micron level and fabricating the metal components is known as Direct metal laser sintering (DMLS). It is an additive metal fabrication technology <sup>[6]</sup>developed by Electro Optical Systems (EOS) of Munich, Germany. It also referred by the terms selective laser sintering (SLS) or selective laser melting (SLM). It is a new promising technology which may replace conventional casting of base metal alloys <sup>[7]</sup> and envisages the use of a high-power laser which can rapidly fuse small particles on the surface of a powder

bed of the base metal alloy into a mass representing the desired three-dimensional object. This is achieved from a CAD file or another file created from scanned data of crosssections generated from a three-dimensional digital description of the part.

Hence by replacing the casting of base metal alloys with direct metal laser sintering we can overcome the casting errors and thereby improve the quality of the prosthesis. Only limited studies have been conducted on the retention of the prosthesis fabricated by this technique. Therefore it is important to find out whether this promising technology can improve the retention of a commonly clinically seeing taper which gives less retention than ideal. This research was designed to compare the retention of crowns fabricated by SLS and Conventional Casting Technology against a constant taper of 24° with standardized cement space.

## **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

An Invitro study was conducted in the department of Prosthodontics & Crown & Bridge at Anoor Dental College & Hospital, Muvattupuzha and National Institute of Technology, Kozhikode for a period of two years. Raw materials required for the study was procured from the dental material distributor through online services.

### **Fabrication of master model:**

A Cobalt Chromium metal die with a uniform 24° of taper (12° on either side), CervicoOcclusal dimension of 6.5mm lingually and 6.0 mm buccally, Mesiodistally 10mm and labiolingually 9.5mm, 360° chamfer margin with 0.5mm thickness a simulating prepared mandibular molar tooth was milled by CAD-CAM technology (Figure 1).

### **Fabrication of working models:**

To standardize the conventional crowns and DMLS crowns the working models were made (Figure 2). To standardize the samples of working models, model duplication was done with addition silicone duplicating impression material.

### **Preparation of DMLS crown:**

The working models were numbered as A1, A2, and A3 till A20. They were first scanned for SLS crown and then followed by conventional casting procedure, providing a standardized cement space of 24 microns. A total of 20 DMLS crowns with extension were fabricated and they were numbered as D1 to D20 (Figure 3).

### **Preparation of conventional crown:**

Twenty working models which were previously scanned for DMLS crown was used for the preparation of the conventional crown and were casted in a centrifugal induction casting machine. Conventional casted crowns were numbered as numbered as C1 to C20 (Figure 4).

### **Evaluation of available cement space (cement thickness)**

As silicone indicator paste was used for checking the cement space for all the forty samples. The indicator paste was placed inside the crown uniformly and the crown was placed on the standardized metal die. On removal of metal crown, the silicone indicator paste remained adhered to the metal die surface, over impression was made using a putty silicone impression material of different colour. Two points corresponding to mid marginal ridge of mesial and distal surface of the putty body. The whole assembly was removed carefully using sectional tray.

The silicone indicator paste and putty assembly was carefully filled with a layer of light body material. A line was drawn connecting the mesial and distal marks. The assembly was sectioned in a mesiodistal direction. The width of silicone indicator paste in the assembly was recorded from three areas, first from mid mesial, second from mid occlusal and third one from mid distal area of using a scanning electron microscope and thickness of the silicone indicator paste was assessed. This procedure is repeated for all the forty samples.

### **Cementation of crown to working model:**

The cement of choice for conventional and direct metal laser sintered crown cementation was zinc phosphate cement. Mixing of cement was done by measuring powder and liquid components according to the manufacturer's instruction. The mixed cement applied to the intaglio surface of the crown and pressed against the die until the margin of the crown merge with finish line using light finger pressure. Individual crowns were seated on the metal die with firm finger pressure by the same operator for two minutes. Excess cement was removed with an

explorer. After cementation, the specimens were attached to the Universal testing machine keeping the metal extension in between the two holding compartments in the Universal testing machine.

#### **Measurement of retention:**

Retention was measured in Mega Pascal (MPa) by separating the metal crowns from the metal die under tension on a universal testing machine (Figure 5). Retention of conventional crowns and DMLS copings were studied as a measure of tensile force (Figure 6). Tensile testing of the samples was done at  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  according to ASTM D-412 method with dumb-bell shaped test specimens at a cross head speed of 500 mm/min using a series IX automated material testing system 1.38 by Instron Corporation (Model 441). The measurements were made in MPa and were tabulated for statistical analysis.

### **III. RESULTS**

20 samples were taken in each of the study groups. The measured values were recorded and subjected to statistical analysis by paired t-test to know any significant difference between the two variables. The 'mean', 'standard deviation' and p-values were calculated for the variables. In this present study  $p < .05$  was considered as the level of significance. The mean and standard deviation and median were higher for the DMLS FDP than the Conventional FDP as shown in Table 1. Statistically significant difference was observed for the mean retention values between two study groups with a P value of .028 as depicted in Table 2.

### **IV. DISCUSSION**

The aim of the present study was to compare and evaluate the retention of conventional and DMLS crowns against a constant taper of  $12^{\circ}$  or total occlusal convergence angle of  $24^{\circ}$ . Results of the study provided enough evidence to support the claim that DMLS crowns were of a superior quality compared to conventional crowns. This was found to be statistically significant. Retention is the feature of tooth preparation that resists dislodgement of a crown along the path of placement.<sup>[8]</sup> According to Ohm and Silness<sup>[2]</sup> the lack of retention was shown to be a common cause of fixed prosthesis failure. There are so many factors that are directly associated with retention such as factors related with tooth preparation, factors related with cementing medium, and factors related with casting.<sup>[1]</sup> Luting cements fill the space between prepared tooth surface and the interior of the castings and provide direct link. Most popular cements available for cementation of casting namely the zinc phosphate cement, zinc poly carboxylate cement, glass ionomer cement, and the resin cement. According to Yamashita et al.<sup>[9]</sup> Zinc phosphate cement has been the most popular luting material for more than 90 years and which is used routinely by almost one-third of practitioners.<sup>[10]</sup>

Rosenstiel study reflected that one of the advantages of Zinc Phosphate cement was that the removal of excess cement was easy compared to other cements<sup>[11]</sup> hence repeated luting on the same die was quite easy. In addition to the luting cements retention is directly linked with the geometrical configuration like taper. Taper, which is a main feature of the geometry of the preparation, is the convergence of two opposing external walls of a tooth preparation as viewed in a given plane.<sup>[12]</sup> Taper has been a feature investigated and discussed widely<sup>[4]</sup>, whereas the convergence angle is the extension of average lines within that plane.<sup>[13]</sup> Smaller is the taper, higher the retention.<sup>[3]</sup> The choice of cement for crowns prepared within this ideal range ( $0-6^{\circ}$  taper) might be of limited clinical significance.<sup>[14]</sup> Study conducted by Jorgensen<sup>[4]</sup> suggested that the ideal angle was  $5-10^{\circ}$  and an angle greater than  $10^{\circ}$  decreased retention 50%. But in a study conducted by Nodlander<sup>[15]</sup> the mean convergence angle of crown preparation made by general dental practitioners and by specialists was reported to be 20 degrees. The cement of choice and taper of choice and their relation with retention were well documented but the role of fabrication technology on retention is not well documented. Hence in this study a convergence angle of  $24^{\circ}$  which provides compromised retention was selected to approximate the clinical situation. In this scenario it is always preferable to find out whether fabrication technology has a role in increasing the retention. Many of the critical laboratory variables like master cast fabrication, die spacing, casting can be omitted by CAD-CAM technology. According to Savencu et al.<sup>[16]</sup> CAD/CAM technologies, both additive and subtractive, represent an excellent option to produce time-effective, precise metal-ceramic crowns with excellent adaptation. The study done by Kim et al.<sup>[17]</sup> reported that SLS group cores had higher values of marginal and internal gaps than the casting group cores, and the difference was statistically significant. Ucar et al.<sup>[18]</sup> reported that the total amount of internal gap measured by weight of filled light body silicone was significantly larger in direct metal laser sintered system compared to the conventional method. Bindl and Mormann<sup>[19]</sup> reported internal gap widths of  $81\mu\text{m}$  to  $136\mu\text{m}$  for different all ceramic CAD/CAM crowns. The finished metal ceramic crown gap values were also significantly higher in the SLS group compared to the conventional casting group. All these summarize that the fit of DMLS crown compared with the conventional crown is inferior. Even though fit is poor, DMLS crowns will provide more retention compared to conventional crown. This is because it provides a uniform cement space throughout the prepared tooth surface and thus enhance a secondary retention through luting cement. Mehl

et al.<sup>[20]</sup> suggested that cement film thickness has an influence on the retentive strength of cemented implant-retained crowns. According to Anusavice<sup>[5]</sup> to be effective, a luting agent must be sufficiently fluid to flow in to a continuous film of 25 µm thickness or less without fragmentation. For luting application, the maximum available thickness is 25µm; a low value of film thickness is preferred because excess cement can be expressed more easily. Here DMLS provides a more uniform cement space, because the metal particle fusion is occurring at a range of 20 micrometer. Hence metal shrinkage can be bypassed by continuous fusion of particle at this range where as in conventional it is not possible. By providing a DMLS fabrication technology, a uniform space for luting cement is achieved which enhances retention. DMLS technology also bypasses some of the laboratory variables that lead to casting shrinkage which provides less cement space. The main laboratory variables being master cast fabrication die spacing and casting. DMLS technique could minimize some of these variables since fewer critical manual steps are present and hence can reduce processing errors.

However, since the DMLS system is relatively new, further studies are indicated to evaluate the system. Future research should include measurement of the mechanical properties and surface characteristics of the laser-sintered Co-Cr alloy, along with investigation of the biocompatibility of the crowns prepared by laser sintering.

## V. CONCLUSION

Crowns fabricated by DMLS technology showed a significantly more retention than conventionally casted crowns for this constant combined taper of 24°. DMLS technology retains the provided cement space for the effective flow of luting agents thus enhancing the retention. To conclude, this study has deepened our understanding about the latest technologies available for a better and successful treatment. We thus recommend the use of crowns fabricated by the DMLS technology even in compromised cases. Further clinical evidences are needed for to pursue sound opinion and universal consensus that could offer predictable outcomes for patients.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Kaufman EG, Coelho DH, Colin L. Factors Influencing The Retention Of Cemented Gold Castings. *Prosthet Dent* 1961; 11:487-502.
- [2]. Ohm E, Silness J. The Convergence Angle In Teeth Prepared For Artificial Crowns. *J Oral Rehab* 1978; 5:371-5.
- [3]. Walton JN, Gardner FM, Agar JR. A Survey Of Crown And Fixed Partial Denture Failures: Length Of Service And Reasons For Replacement. *J Prosthet Dent* 1986; 56:416-21.
- [4]. Jorgensen KD. The Relationship Between Retention And Convergence Angle In Cemented Veneer Crowns. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1955; 13:35-40.
- [5]. Anusavice KJ. Phillips'. *Science Of Dental Materials*. 11th Ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2003.
- [6]. John GP. Digital Dentistry – The Future Is Here. *Kerala Dent Journal* 2011; 34: 474- 477.
- [7]. Kovalev AI, Vainshtein DL, Mishina VP, Titov VI, Moiseev VF, Tolochko NK. Selective Laser Sintering Of Steel Powders To Obtain Products Based On Sapmodels. *Metallurgist* 2000;4: 206-9.
- [8]. The Glossary Of Prosthodontic Terms. 8th Edition. *J Prosthet Dent* 2005; 94:10-92.
- [9]. Yamashita J, Takakuda K, Shiozawa I, Nagasawa M, Miyairi H. Fatigue Behaviour Of The Zinc-Phosphate Cement Layer. *Int J Prosthodont* 2000; 13: 321-6.
- [10]. Brunton PA, Christensen GJ, Cheung SW, Burke FJT, Wilson NHF. "Contemporary Dental Practice In The UK: Indirect Restorations And Fixed Prosthodontics". *Bristish Dental Journal* 2005; 198: 99-103.
- [11]. Rosenstiel SF, Land MF, Crispin BJ. Dental Luting Agents: A Review Of The Current Literature. *J Prosthet Dent* 1998; 80:280-301.
- [12]. Zidan O, Ferguson GC. The Retention Of Complete Crowns Prepared With Three Different Tapers And Luted With Four Different Cements. *J Prosthet Dent* 2003; 89: 565-71.
- [13]. Goodacre CJ. Designing Tooth Preparation For Optimal Success. *Dent Clin North Am* 2004; 48:359-385
- [14]. Sajjan Chandra Shekar SC, Giridhar K, Rao KS. An In Vitro Study To Evaluate The Retention Of Complete Crowns Prepared With Five Different Tapers And Luted With Two Different Cements. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc* 2010; 10; 89-95.
- [15]. Nordlander J, Weir D, Stoffer W, Ochi S. The Taper Of Clinical Preparations For Fixed Prosthodontics. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1988; 60: 148-51.
- [16]. Savencu CE, Şerban C, Porojan L. Adaptability Evaluation Of Metal-Ceramic Crowns Obtained By Additive And Subtractive Technologies. *Applied Sciences*. 2020 Jan;10 (16):5563.
- [17]. Kim KB, Kim JH, Kim WC, Kim HY, Kim JH. Evaluation Of The Marginal And Internal Gap Of Metal-Ceramic Crown Fabricated With A Selective Laser Sintering Technology: Two And Three-Dimensional Replica Techniques *J Adv Prosthodont* 2013; 5: 179-86.
- [18]. Ucar Y, Akova T, Akyil MS, Brantley WA. Internal Fit Evaluation Of Crowns Prepared Using A New Dental Crown Fabrication Technique: Laser-Sintered Co-Cr Crowns. *J Prosthet Dent* 2009; 102: 253-9.
- [19]. Bindl A, Mormann WH. Marginal And Internal Fit Of All-Ceramic CAD/CAM Crown Copings On Chamfer Preparations. *J Oral Rehabil* 2005; 32: 441-7.
- [20]. Mehl C, Harder S, Steiner M, Vollrath O, Kern M. Influence Of Cement Film Thickness On The Retention Of Implant-Retained Crowns. *J Prosthodont* 2013; 22: 618-25.

**Table 1: Descriptive statistics of study variables**

Variable	Count	Mean	SD	Minimum	Median	Maximum
Conventional FDP (MPa)	20	2.0245	0.3849	1.46	1.965	2.83
DMLS FDP (MPa)	20	2.1715	0.2321	1.67	2.2	2.6

SD –standard deviation

**Table 2: Comparison of mean retention values of study variables**

Variable	Count	Mean	SD	P value	t value	
Conventional FDP (MPa)	20	2.0245	0.3849	0.028*	- 2.38	
DMLS FDP ( MPa)	20	2.1715	0.2321			

\* denotes statistical significance



**Figure 1**



**Figure 2**



**Figure 3**



**Figure 4**



**Figure 5**



**Figure 6**