

Relationship between Lipid Profile and Carotid Artery Parameters among Mgbuoba Community Dwellers, Port Harcourt, Nigeria: A Random Survey.

Cookey SN, Nwazor EO, Martyns-Yellowe TB

Cookey S N

Consultant Cardiologist, Department of Internal Medicine.

Rivers State University Teaching Hospital

No 8-10 Harley Street, Old GRA

Port Harcourt, Rivers State

Nwazor EO

Consultant Neurologist, Department of Internal Medicine

Rivers State University Teaching Hospital

No 8-10 Harley Street, Old GRA

Port Harcourt, Rivers State

Martyns -Yellowe TB

SR Neurology, Department of Internal Medicine

Rivers State University Teaching Hospital

No 8-10 Harley Street, Old GRA

Port Harcourt, Rivers State

Abstract

Background: An important variable in apparently normal individuals that may affect findings on duplex carotid scan is their lipid numbers. In developing countries like Nigeria, routine health checks are not upheld and where available are not exhaustive. Carotid intima media thickness (cIMT) and cholesterol have been implicated as risk factors for stroke. Studies in diseased states have shown an association between lipid numbers and carotid IMT. Asymptomatic apparently healthy subjects are rarely targets for carotid evaluations except in limited circumstances. Some apparently healthy individuals may already have abnormal lipid numbers; thus this study seeks to assess the association between carotid parameters and lipid profile amongst apparently healthy subjects.

Method: This prospective, cross sectional, community-based study, assessed the extra-cranial common and internal carotid artery variables in 74 randomly selected apparently healthy individuals, from Mgbuoba community, Port Harcourt Rivers State. Four extra cranial vessels: left common carotid (LCC) and right common carotid (RCC) left internal carotid (LIC) and right internal carotid (RIC) were evaluated using a predefined protocol to assess parameters such as vessel diameters, peak systolic velocities (PSV), end diastolic velocities (EDV), resistivity index (RI) and intimal media thickness (cIMT). Fasting venous blood samples were collected and analysed using a Colour Spectrophotometer for Total Cholesterol (TC), High Density Lipoprotein (HDL), Low Density Lipoprotein (LDL) and Triglyceride (TG). The mean values for the common carotid artery variables, Total Cholesterol (TC), Low density Lipoprotein (LDL), High Density Lipoprotein (HDL) and Triglycerides (TG).

Result: Data was collected on Excel spread sheet and analysed using SPSS 23 statistical software package. Seventy-four (74) subjects comprising 30 (41%) males and 44(59%) females respectively were studied. Their mean age \pm SD was 38.60 ± 12.56 yrs. Mean Systolic blood \pm SD and diastolic blood pressure \pm SD were 122.94 ± 12.64 mmHg and 75.41 ± 9.20 mmHg respectively. There was negative correlation between total cholesterol and LCC PSV (-.31,.03), negative correlation between HDL and LCC diameter (-.29,.03) and positive correlation between LDL cholesterol and IMT (.30,.04). On the RCC there was a negative correlation between HDL and RI (-.38,.02). on the LIC there was negative correlation between TG (-0.33,.05). Plaques were identified in 2 with only mild stenosis.

Conclusion: The impact of cholesterol on the carotid artery parameters is not just in symptomatic or diseased states but also in apparently normal individuals. It is an important area and more studies are encouraged. It will be pertinent to explore this in a larger study population. An important area would be the impact of HDL on vessel distensibility.

Keywords: Carotid artery, Lipids, Cholesterol, cIMT, Triglycerides, HDL, LDL, TC, Plaques.

Date of Submission: 10-02-2022

Date of Acceptance: 24-02-2022

I. Introduction:

Carotid IMT, plaque and dyslipidaemia are individual risk factors for stroke and other cardiovascular diseases [1,2]. The American college of cardiology ACC has not recommended screening for asymptomatic healthy adult population [3]. Several factors have been shown to increase risk for carotid artery stenosis, including older age, male sex, hypertension, smoking, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, and heart disease [4,5]. Studies have shown the relationship between lipid numbers and carotid IMT amongst diseased patients [6,7]. However, studies on the relationship with cholesterol numbers and other carotid artery variables in apparently normal individuals are few. This study assessed the relationship between Carotid IMT and other doppler variables with lipid numbers. Carotid vascular scan is cheap and readily available and considering the huge burden of stroke in the Nigeria population, there is a growing need to include this investigation as a screening tool to prevent debilitating consequences of atherosclerotic disease in patients at high risk of cardiovascular disease.

II. Method:

Study design: This is a prospective cross-sectional survey, that took place in ad-hoc laboratory created in a room at the community hall after ethical clearance gotten from the Community leaders. Held in Mgbuoba community from September 2018 to October 2018. Using the Sonoscape SS1 8000 which is a duplex high-definition scanner with a 712(5mHz -12mHz) transducer.

Sample Size: the population of Mgbuoba community was obtained using the Taro Yamani Formula⁸

$$n = \frac{N}{1+N(e)^2}$$

Where, n= sample size required, N= the finite population, 1= constant, e= level of precision or sampling error (0.05).

The population of the Mgbuoba community from a projected estimate for 2019 was 73030 [9] from the above formula with finite N= 73030

$$73030/ 1+ 73030(0.05)^2$$

Subjects: The study assessed the extra-cranial common carotid artery variables in 74 randomly selected apparently normal individuals, from Mgbuoba in Obio/ Akpor community of Rivers State, two extra cranial vessels: left common carotid, and right common carotid were evaluated using a predefined protocol to involve the vessel diameters, the peak systolic velocities (PSV), the end diastolic velocities (EDV), resistivity index (RI) and intimal media thickness (IMT)

Subjects had their demographic data and their anthropometric measurements (patients had their weight and height assessed and body mass indices calculated by dividing their weights in kilograms by the square of their heights). Blood pressure was measured, and blood glucose measured to exclude diabetes mellitus.

All subjects were educated on the procedure and consent obtained required to fill a questionnaire with information on baseline demographics and history for smoking and alcohol consumption noted. Blood glucose was carried out on all subjects and blood pressure measured

Inclusion Criteria: Non hypertensives, non-diabetics, non-smokers were recruited.

Duplex carotid vascular scan was performed using a high frequency linear probe that gives high resolution (7-15mHz). Scanning employed both 2D real time imaging and still M-mode cuts for structural evaluation and the added value of colour flow and spectral that aids elimination of artefacts and help unmask hypochoic plaques.

Scanning Procedure: Examination was started proximally in transverse and followed distally to the bifurcation and beyond this to assess the presence of any intimal thickening or plaque. This was repeated in longitudinal plane to follow the course and measure intimal thickness as well as velocity of flow. Then colour flow was used to aid in assessing patency and direction of flow. The origins of the ICA and ECA arteries were noted, and their course followed. The pulse wave doppler and IMT was taken as the mid part of each vessel. Two values for Vessel diameter and IMT was taken, and two successive spectral wave was taken for PSV, EDV, RI and S/D and the average calculated.

Mosaic flow patterns, filling defects, retrograde flow, high peak systolic flow velocities, thickening of the intimal medial thickness (IMT), vascular plaques and absence of flow through a vessel are pointers to vascular diseases [10-12]. Care was needed to interpret the presence of a plaque; usually more than 1 of the points were employed in interrogation of a plaque [13]. Plaques can be defined as hypochoic (echo lucent) or

hyperechoic (echogenic) depending on the echogenicity^[14,15]. The echogenicity usually is a measure of its calcium content.^[16] It can also be classified as homogeneous or heterogeneous depending on the uniformity of its echogenic pattern. Plaque stability has been judged by its echogenicity. Hypoechoic or Eco lucent plaques have been shown to be associated with increased stroke^[14,15]. Data was collected on Excel spread sheet and analysed using SPSS 23 statistical software package.

Statistical Analysis: Data was collected on Excel spread sheet and analysed using SPSS 23 statistical software package The general mean for the population and sex differences was obtained for carotid artery variables and the mean cardiovascular parameters and fasting lipid numbers. They were expressed as tables. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to assess the relationship between age and carotid artery variables both vessels. The presence of plaques was noted and the percentage in the study population computed, in addition percentage of abnormal lipid variables was also noted.

III. Results.

Seventy-four (74) subjects consented to the study; 30 males and 44 females accounting for 53% and 46.9% respectively the mean age of the participants was 38.60 ± 7.12 yrs. Mean Systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were 127.29 ± 9.97 and 76.04 ± 8.15 mmHg respectively. For the cardiovascular parameters there was significant difference between mean ages for the sexes at 95% confidence interval (1.58,6.03) (The males were older with mean age 37.29 ± 11.23 yrs and females 33.48 ± 6.48 yrs.) others cardiovascular parameters did not show any significant differences. (See table 1 & 2).

The mean \pm SD for all parameters is as shown on table 1. Mean \pm SD of LCC IMT for the population was 0.07 ± 0.02 cm, mean \pm SD for LIC; 0.75 ± 0.15 cm, and mean \pm SD of RCC IMT; 0.07 ± 0.02 . mean \pm Sd for RIC; $.07 \pm .02$ cm

However, some subjects showed IMT greater than 0.09cm: 7 had IMT greater than 0.09cm on the LCC (4males and 3 females). Similarly, on RCC 7 also had IMT greater than 0.09 (5 females and 2 males). (see Table 8)

The mean total cholesterol was 4.25 ± 0.84 mmol/l with 10(12.8%) having values higher than normal range) and mean triglycerides was 2.42 ± 1.0 mmol/l with 1 (1.3%) having a value higher than normal range and mean low density lipoprotein cholesterol(LDL) was 2.24 ± 0.67 mmol/l with 13 (16.7%,) subjects having values higher than normal range and the mean High density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) was 1.45 ± 0.38 mmol/l with 19 (23.3%,) subjects having values lower than normal range, (See Table 3)

Plaques were only seen in two who had elevated TC and LDL their TG and both were hyperechoic.

Tables four to six(4-6) demonstrates the correlation between Lipid numbers and Carotid artery variables. The BMI of the subjects were classified into 5 Classes: Class 0: those with $BM < 18.5$ kg/m², Class 1: BMI 18.5 kg/m² - 24.9 kg/m², Class 2 : BMI 25 - 29.9 kg/m² and Class 3: BMI: 30 - 34.9 kg/m² and Class 4 ≥ 35 kg/m² Graphs were used to demonstrate the impact of BMI on the Carotid artery diameters and IMT. Sex differences of Carotid parameters is also demonstrated as graphs.

IV. Discussion:

Carotid artery parameters like the PSV, EDV, the RI and Sd have been associated with cardiovascular disease^[16,17,18]. There is the need to further explore the use of carotid doppler as a screening tool in apparently healthy individuals with dyslipidaemia and understand the relationship between cholesterol and carotid vascular disease, this will further explain the impact of cholesterol on cardiovascular disease. Carotid IMT has been as a surrogate for cardiovascular disease^[17]. The mechanisms by which cholesterol affects the carotid doppler velocities not yet fully understood. The present study examined the relationship between different cholesterol and carotid artery parameters, to include doppler velocities.

Due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, no causal relationship could be proved, as this will require longitudinal investigation, and this represents one limitation of our research. Another limitation was the small sample size.

This study demonstrated that apparently normal individuals showed abnormal lipid numbers in varying proportions of the types of cholesterol. 19% had abnormally low HDL cholesterol, high LDL was found in 16.7%, high Total Cholesterol was high in 12.8% and high triglycerides found in 1.2%. This can be further buttressed by the higher percentage of study population of 53% being with BMI equal or greater than 25.

The males had larger vessel diameters, thicker cIMT and higher doppler velocity as has been corroborated in other studies.

There was negative correlation between total cholesterol and LCC PSV ($-.31, .03$), negative correlation between HDL and LCC diameter ($-.29, .03$) and positive correlation between LDL cholesterol and IMT ($.30, .04$). On the RCC there was a negative correlation between HDL and RI ($-.38, .02$). on the LIC there was negative correlation between TG ($-0.33, .05$). Plaques were identified in 2 with only mild stenosis.

The Chinese study by Hou et al [20] showed that lipid parameters related more with plaques from a univariate analysis, but a multivariate analysis from the same study showed that gender, age diastolic blood pressure and total cholesterol showed more relationship with carotid plaques and age, gender systolic blood pressure related more with carotid IMT. This could imply how different cardiovascular parameters affected the formation of plaques and increased IMT differently. Understanding these individual predictors will guide better understanding of disease and possibly management modalities.

Studies in the past have shown the atherogenic nature of LDL and anti-atherogenic nature of HDL [21]. Triglycerides has also been implicated as a predictor of mortality and morbidity in coronary heart disease [22]. Wei et al [23] demonstrated that the formation of atherosclerosis was from an imbalance between the pro-atherosclerotic LDL and the anti-atherosclerotic HDL. They were able to demonstrate that in low stress environment, the increase in LDL outweighs the increase in HDL resulting in atherosclerosis and that in high stress environment, the increase in HDL outweighed the increase in LDL with resultant protective effect against atherosclerosis. **The high stress and low stress environments** in this study was determined by the flow velocities of the vessels.

The Wei research study showed the relationship between peak velocities with LDL and HDL. This would favour the thought that flow velocities would show a relationship with LDL and HDL.

This was corroborated by the index study that showed a negative correlation between the peak systolic velocity of the left carotid artery and the total cholesterol. There was no significant correlation with the doppler velocity and LDL or the HDL. However, HDL showed a negative correlation with the LCC diameter and increased LDL was associated with increase in cIMT. This corroborates the protective nature of HDL cholesterol and the atherogenic effect of LDL.

The protective role of HDL will be an interesting area, other studies performed in children have assessed the role of HDL in vascular distension. The negative correlation may imply a role of HDL in vascular distensibility or simply its anti-atherogenic function and preventing vascular stiffness.

V. Conclusion:

The impact of cholesterol on the carotid artery parameters is not just in symptomatic or diseased states but also in apparently normal individuals. It is an important area and more studies are encouraged. It will be pertinent to explore this in a larger study population. An important area would be the impact of HDL on vessel distensibility.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 6




TABLE 1: Mean of Study Variables:

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean ±Std. Deviation
AGE(yrs)	19.0	90.0	38.60 ± 12.56
BMI(kg/m ²)	17.63	37.65	26.11 ± 4.54
SYS BP(mmHg)	90.0	143.0	122.94 ± 12.64
DIA BP(mmHg)	58.0	92.0	75.41 ± 9.20
LCC/DIAM(cm)	.470	.870	0.67 ± 0.09
LCC/IMT(cm)	.020	.180	0.07 ± .02
LCC/PSV(cm/s)	20.28	97.81	57.11 ± 15.88
LCC/EDV(cm/s)	1.80	35.32	13.65 ± 5.50
LCC/RI	.59	.98	0.76 ± 0.08
LCC/SD	2.00	6.90	4.12 ± 0.98
RCC/DIAM(cm)	.46	.93	0.70 ± 0.01
RCC/IMT(cm)	.02	.11	0.07 ± 0.02
RCC/PSV(cm/s)	.40	88.63	58.29 ±18.56
RCC/EDV(cm/s)	3.61	29.80	11.20 ± 5.25
RCC/RI	0.50	0.98	0.76 ± 0.09
RCC/SD	2.31	9.80	4.78 ±1.69
LIC/DIAM(cm)	.46	1.15	0.75 ±.15
LIC/IMT(cm)	.040	.16	.065 ± .02
LIC/PSV(cm/s)	19.03	86.99	44.52±.32
LIC/EDV(cm/s)	4.00	21.29	10.79 ± .13
LIC/RI	.55	10.77	.94 ± 1.42
LIC/SD	2.20	10.79	4.16 ± .70
RIC/DIAM(cm)	.58	.97	.75 ± .10
RIC/IMT(cm)	.02	.11	0.06 ± .02
RIC/PSV(cm/s)	19.83	94.18	42.68 ± 15.98
RIC/EDV(cm/s)	2.25	25.27	11.27 ± 5.67
RIC/RI	.02	.91	0.69 ± .17
RIC/SD	1.00	11.59	4.54 ± 2.44

TABLE 2: Comparison Between Males and Female Clinical Parameters

CLINICAL PARAMETERS	MEAN ± SD Males	MEAN ± SD Females	STD ERROR OF		
			MEAN	t	Sig (2 tailed)
AGE	37.29 ±11.23	33.48 ± 6.48	1.09	3.49	.002
BMI(kg/m ²)	25.45 ± 3.45	26.60 ±4.99	-1.07	1.07	.293
PULSE(beats/min)	71.69 ± 9.86	78.41 ± 9.22	2.39	-2.81	.009
SBP(mmHg)	122.46 ± 11.74	122.07 ± 14.36	3.32	.12	.907
DBP(mmHg)	74.00 ± 9.75	75.68 ± 9.66	2.31	-.73	.473
HDL(mmol/l)	22.0	93.0	1.45 ± 0.38		

Table 3 Percentage With Abnormal Cholesterol in Population Studied

CHOLESTEROL(mmol/l)	No with mean > or < than normal for Population	Total No studied	Percentage of Study Population
TOTAL CHOLESTEROL	10	74	12.8%
TRIGLYCERIDES	1	74	1.3%
LOW DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN	13	74	16.7%
HIGH DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN	19(<)	74	19%

TABLE 4: CORELATION BETWEEN LIPIDS AND LCC PARAMETERS

		LCC/DIAM (cm)	LCC/IMT (cm)	LCC/PSV (cm/s)	LCC/EDV (cm/s)	LCC/RI	LCC/SD
AGE(yrs)	Pearson Correlation	.113	.194	-.224	-.027	-.107	-.032
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.344	.118	.060	.833	.417	.803
BMI(kg/m ²)	Pearson Correlation	.170	.094	-.206	-.129	-.130	-.044
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.150	.450	.083	.306	.319	.729
TC(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	-.178	.127	-.310*	.014	-.054	-.038
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.232	.402	.034	.926	.735	.809
TG(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	-.158	-.039	-.127	.026	-.068	-.120
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.288	.799	.394	.864	.667	.448
LDL(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	.014	.304*	-.249	-.132	.125	.208
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.924	.040	.091	.381	.429	.187
HDL(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	-.293*	-.139	-.143	.131	-.091	-.112
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.046	.359	.338	.387	.565	.482

TABLE 5: CORELATION BETWEEN LIPIDS AND RCC PARAMETERS

		RCC/DIAM (cm)	RCC/IMT (cm)	RCC/PSV (cm/s)	RCC/EDV (cm/s)	RCC/RI	RCC/SD
AGE(yrs)	Pearson Correlation	.239	.333*	-.083	.125	-.071	-.114
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.076	.014	.541	.356	.601	.402
BMI(kg/m ²)	Pearson Correlation	.025	.219	-.225	.081	-.287*	-.317*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.854	.112	.093	.548	.030	.017
TC(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	-.084	.266	.037	.271	-.227	-.233
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.616	.107	.821	.095	.164	.154
TG(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	.007	.087	-.182	.079	-.298	-.279
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.965	.602	.267	.633	.066	.086
LDL(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	-.049	.071	.191	.147	.095	-.014
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.771	.670	.244	.373	.566	.931
HDL(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	-.094	.185	-.082	.170	-.377*	-.219
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.574	.266	.622	.302	.018	.181

TABLE 6: CORELATION BETWEEN LIPIDS AND LIC PARAMETERS

		LIC/DIAM (cm)	LIC/IMT (cm)	LIC/PSV (cm/s)	LIC/EDV (cm/s)	LIC/RI	LIC/SD
BMI(kg/m ²)	Pearson Correlation	.224	.026	.017	.038	-.035	-.264
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.076	.850	.902	.784	.809	.064
AGE(yrs)	Pearson Correlation	.270*	.192	.095	-.028	.251	.095
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.031	.156	.492	.840	.079	.510
TC(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	.227	-.051	.035	.083	-.117	-.008
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.153	.763	.838	.627	.518	.967
TG(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	.031	.067	-.226	-.330*	.098	-.054
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.847	.688	.178	.046	.587	.765
LDL(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	.284	-.024	.184	.191	-.083	.193
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.072	.887	.276	.257	.645	.282
HDL(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	.091	.027	.028	.006	-.156	.053
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.572	.873	.871	.973	.386	.769

TABLE 7: CORELATION BETWEEN LIPIDS AND LCC PARAMETERS

		RIC/DIAM (cm)	RIC/IMT (cm)	RIC/PSV (cm/s)	RIC/EDV (cm/s)	RIC/RI	RIC/SD
AGE(yrs)	Pearson Correlation	.413**	.417**	-.035	-.302*	.203	.398**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.003	.004	.821	.046	.187	.007
BMI(kg/m ²)	Pearson Correlation	-.142	-.035	.030	-.057	.033	.119
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.319	.816	.849	.713	.830	.442
TC(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	-.008	.052	-.036	.158	-.233	-.051
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.964	.778	.851	.404	.216	.791
TG(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	.132	-.197	-.021	-.010	.007	-.066
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.458	.280	.914	.958	.971	.728
LDL(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	.164	-.036	.118	.149	-.084	.013
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.353	.845	.534	.433	.660	.944
HDL(mmol/l)	Pearson Correlation	-.231	.137	.038	.055	-.077	-.040
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.189	.455	.842	.772	.685	.833

TABLE 8: cIMT MEASUREMENT ACROSS HYPERTENSIVES

CAROTID VESSELS	IMT ≤ 0.09	IMT 0.09 – 1.9	IMT ≥ 2
Right Common Carotid	71	7	0
Right Internal Carotid	76	2	0
Left Common Carotid	71	7	0
Left Internal Carotid	76	2	0

TABLE 10: REPRESENTATION OF POPULATION BY CLASS OF BMI

	Frequency	Percent (%)	Valid Percent (%)	Cumulative Percent (%)
1.0	33	42.3	42.3	42.3
2.0	27	34.6	36.5	75.7
3.0	15	19.2	20.3	95.9
4.0	3	3.8	4.1	100.0
Total	78	100.0		

References

- [1]. Simon A, Garipey J, Chironi G, Megnien J, Levenson J. Intima-media thickness: a new tool for diagnosis and treatment of cardiovascular risk. *J Hypertens*. 2002;20:159–169.
- [2]. Bots ML, Hoes AW, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, Grobbee DE. Common carotid intima-media thickness and risk of stroke and myocardial infarction: the Rotterdam Study. *Circulation*. 1997;96:1432–1437.
- [3]. US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for Asymptomatic Carotid Artery Stenosis: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA*. 2021;325(5):476–481. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.26988.
- [4]. Boehme AK, Esenwa C, Elkind MS. Stroke Risk Factors, Genetics, and Prevention. *Circ Res*. 2017 Feb 3;120(3):472–495. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308398. PMID: 28154098; PMCID: PMC5321635.
- [5]. Meschia JF, Bushnell C, Boden-Albala B, et al; American Heart Association Stroke Council; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Functional Genomics and Translational Biology; Council on Hypertension. Guidelines for the primary prevention of stroke: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2014;45(12):3754–3832. doi:10.1161/STR.0000000000000046
- [6]. Ulgen MS, Bilici A, Acar M, et al. The relationship of coronary artery disease and carotid Doppler flow velocity and resistance index in patients with no significant carotid stenosis. *Angiology*. 2001;52(6):433–434.
- [7]. Yang C, Sun Z, Li Y, Ai J, Sun Q, Tian Y. The correlation between serum lipid profile with carotid intima-media thickness and plaque. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2014 Dec 9;14:181. doi: 10.1186/1471-2261-14-181. PMID: 25491329; PMCID: PMC4272763.
- [8]. Adam, A, Sample Size Determination in Survey Research, *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*. 2020/06/25: Vol:26: 90 - 97 - 10.9734/JSRR/2020/v26i530263
- [9]. Asa EB, Nwobidi EP, Wokekoro E, Influence of rapid urbanization on environmental quality in selected neighborhoods of Port Harcourt Metropolis. *Journal of Studies in Social Sciences and Humanities* <http://www.jssshonline.com/> Volume 6, No. 10, 2020, 244-257 ISSN: 2413-9270.
- [10]. Razzaq AA, Khan BA, Jadoon CK, et al. Carotid Doppler ultrasonography in young stroke patients. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 1999;49(4):97.
- [11]. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Cook NR, Bradwin G, Buring JE. Non-HDL cholesterol, apolipoproteins A-I and B100, standard lipid measures, lipid ratios, and CRP as risk factors for cardiovascular disease in women. *JAMA*. 2005;294:326–33.
- [12]. Kinoshian B, Glick H, Garland G. Cholesterol and coronary heart disease: predicting risks by levels and ratios. *Ann Intern Med*. 1994;121:641
- [13]. Pignoli P, Tremoli E, Poli A, Oreste P, Paoletti R. Intimal plus medial thickness of the arterial wall: a direct measurement with ultrasound imaging. *Circulation*. 1986 Dec; 74(6):1399–406. [PubMed] [Ref list]
- [14]. Lal BK, Hobson RW 2nd, Hameed M, Pappas PJ, Padberg FT Jr, Jamil Z, Durán WN. Noninvasive identification of the unstable carotid plaque. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2006 Mar;20(2):167–74. doi: 10.1007/s10016-006-9000-8. Epub 2006 Mar 30. PMID: 16572292

- [15]. M, U. K.-I., Young, V. & Gillard, J. H. Carotid-artery imaging in the diagnosis and management of patients at risk of stroke. *The Lancet. Neurology* 8, 569–580 (2009).
- [16]. Weaver J. Insights into how calcium forms plaques in arteries pave the way for new treatments for heart disease. *PLoS Biol.* 2013;11(4):e1001533. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001533. Epub 2013 Apr 9. PMID: 23585734; PMCID: PMC3621666.
- [17]. Doi Y, Iwashima Y, Yoshihara F, et al. Renal resistive index and cardiovascular and renal outcomes in essential hypertension. *Hypertension.* 2012;60(3):770–777.
- [18]. Chuang S-Y, Bai C-H, Cheng H-M, et al. Common carotid artery end-diastolic velocity is independently associated with future cardiovascular events. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2016;23(2):116–124
- [19]. Chuang S-Y, Bai C-H, Cheng H-M, et al. Common carotid artery end-diastolic velocity is independently associated with future cardiovascular events. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2016;23(2):116–124
- [20]. Hou Q., Li S., Gao, Y. et al. Relations of lipid parameters, other variables with carotid intima-media thickness and plaque in the general Chinese adults: an observational study. *Lipids Health Dis* 17, 107 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-018-0758-9>
- [21]. P Rubba, F Faccenda, S Di Somma, A Gnasso, N Scarpato, A Iannuzzi, G Nappi, A Postiglione, O De Divitiis, and M Mancini: Relationship between LDL blood flow velocities Aug 1993<https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.24.8.1154>Stroke. 22. 1993;24:1154–1161.
- [22]. Austin MA, Hokanson JE, Edwards KL. Hypertriglyceridemia as a cardiovascular risk factor. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;81:7B–12B.
- [23]. Wei Meng, Fengxu Yu, Huaiqing Chen, Jianmin Zhang, Eryong Zhang, Yingqiang Guo, Yingkang Shi, "Relationship between Concentration Difference of Different Density Lipoproteins and Shear Stress in Atherosclerosis", *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, vol. 2012, Article ID 135256, 7 pages, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/135256>.
- [24]. **Leeson,C,P, Whincup PH, Cook DG, Mullen MJ, Donald, AE Seymour CA** et al. Cholesterol and Arterial Distensibility in the First Decade of Life, A Population-Based Study. **Originally published** 4 Apr 2000<https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.101.13.1533>Circulation. 2000;101:1533–1538

Cookey SN, et. al. "Relationship between Lipid Profile and Carotid Artery Parameters among Mgbuoba Community Dwellers, Port Harcourt, Nigeria: A Random Survey." *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)*, 21(02), 2022, pp. 10-18.