

## Evaluation of sealing ability of Biodentine and Mineral Trioxide Aggregate in primary molars using dye extraction method-an invitro study.

Dr. Vidhya R<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Kannan Vadakkepurayil<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(senior resident, Department of Pedodontics, Government Dental College, Kozhikode, Kerala, India).<sup>2</sup>(Professor and Head, Department of Pedodontics, Government Dental College, Kozhikode, Kerala, India).

---

### Abstract:

#### Objective:

The aim of this study was to compare the sealing ability of Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (MTA) and Biodentine when used to repair the furcal perforations in primary molars using dye extraction method.

#### Study design:

Recently extracted primary molars were collected and randomly sealed with MTA and biodentine after preparing perforations in the furcation area by using a high speed long shank round bur No:4. After setting of the material specimens were kept in methylene blue dye for 24 hours to check the microleakage by dye extraction method. Samples were then placed in vials containing 1ml of 65% nitric acid for 3 days for the extraction of the dye. The vials were centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 5 minutes to separate debris from the extracted dye. About 200 microlitres of the supernatant from each sample was then analyzed in a UV-visible spectrophotometer at 550nm wavelength using concentrated nitric acid as the blank, and readings were recorded as absorbance units.

#### Results:

All teeth showed microleakage. But MTA gave higher UV spectrophotometric absorbance values than biodentine (mean absorbance 0.2693 and 0.0861).

#### Conclusion:

Based on the results of this study, Biodentine showed better sealing ability compared to MTA and thus may be a good alternative to MTA.

**Key words:** Biodentine, furcation, mineral trioxide aggregate, UV spectrophotometer.

---

Date of Submission: 07-08-2020

Date of Acceptance: 21-08-2020

---

### I. Introduction:

Maintaining the integrity of natural dentition is important for proper function and aesthetics of an individual. Endodontic therapy can play a vital role in achieving this goal. Occasionally mishaps occur during endodontic treatment, one of them is perforation of the root canal wall and furcation which can significantly impact the long term survival of the tooth. Perforations can be defined as the mechanical or pathological communications between the root canal system and the external tooth surface. These perforations can be repaired nonsurgically with suitable biocompatible, nontoxic, radiopaque and nonabsorbent material, thus preventing bacterial contamination. In permanent teeth, several materials have been suggested for perforation repair such as amalgam, calcium hydroxide, reinforced zinc oxide-eugenol cements, mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), calcium-enriched mixture (CEM) cement, and Biodentine.

MTA is the commonly used material with wide range of qualities. Since its introduction by Mahmoud Torabinejad in 1992 it gained an important role and emerged as a widely accepted material for various purposes.

MTA was introduced by Lee *et al.* in 1993 for repair of lateral root perforations.[2] It consists of dicalcium silicate, tricalcium silicate, tricalcium aluminate, and tetracalcium aluminoferrite. Although MTA has certain drawbacks such as long setting time, difficulty in manipulation and relatively high price, it has a superior sealing ability compared to other restorative materials when used for repairing perforations.

Furcal repair in primary teeth has become more essential than extraction, to prolong the longevity of the tooth. Oliveira *et al.*[3] showed that the tooth with furcal perforation treated with MTA was asymptomatic after 20 months and also concluded that bone formation was seen in the furcation area.

In 2011 M/S Septodont introduced their new tricalcium silicate based restorative cement under the name, Biodentine. It is mainly composed of highly pure tricalcium silicate, which regulates the setting reaction, calcium carbonate (filler), zirconium dioxide (radiopacifier), calcium chloride (setting accelerator), water

reducing agent (superplasticizer), and water. It has been claimed that this material can be used for pulp capping, pulpotomy, apexification, root perforation, internal and external resorption and also as a root-end filling material in periapical surgery. It is easy to handle, has a short setting time (12 minutes), has high alkaline Ph(12) and is a biocompatible material. These properties make it a favourable material for the repair of perforation.

## II. Materials And Method

A randomized controlled *in vitro* trial was planned, and the study was conducted in the department of Pedodontics and preventive dentistry, Govt Dental College, Kozhikode, Kerala in collaboration with the College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Govt Medical College, Kozhikode.

520 primary molars were divided into 2 sub groups each having 260 teeth each.



Molars were amputated 3mm below the furcation area. Endodontic access cavity was prepared. Orifices of the canals were negotiated and temporary filling was placed over the orifices of each canal. Perforation was created between the orifices to the furcation area by using a high speed long shank round bur No:4. Tooth was completely covered including cavity walls and pulpal floor by two successive layers of nail varnish except the area 1mm around the margin of the perforation.

Group 1: MTA was mixed according to the manufacturer's instruction and carried to the perforation site with the help of MTA gun and adapted to the perforation defect with the help of hand pluggers. Moist cotton pellet was placed over MTA to allow its setting for 24 hours.

Group 2: Biodentine was mixed according to the manufacturer's instruction and carried to the perforation site with the help of amalgam carrier and adapted to the perforation defect with the help of plugger.

After sealing of the defect and setting of the material all specimens were kept in 100% humidity for 24 hours. 2% methylene blue dye was applied inside access cavity of all the teeth for 24 hours to check the microleakage. Teeth were placed under running tap water for 30 minutes to remove all residual dye material.

Samples (10 teeth) were then placed in vials containing 65% concentrated nitric acid for 3 days for the extraction of the dye. The vials were centrifuged at 14000rpm for 5 minutes to separate debris from the extracted dye. About 2ml of the supernatant was then analyzed in a UV visible spectrophotometer at 550nm wavelength using concentrated nitric acid as the blank, and readings were recorded as absorbance units.





UV spectrophotometer

**III. Result:**

520 teeth were selected. Of which 260 teeth were sealed with MTA and the remaining with biodentine. The absorbance value of 10 teeth were recorded at a time .26 such such samples were evaluated.

The data obtained was tabulated and computed statistically using independent T test.

Mean and standard deviation were estimated for each group to evaluate the absorbance of MTA and biodentine.


**Table 1: Mean value of absorbance for MTA and biodentine:**

| GROUP | MATERIAL USED | N  | MEAN ABSORBANCE |
|-------|---------------|----|-----------------|
| 1     | MTA           | 26 | 0.2693          |
| 2     | BIODENTINE    | 26 | 0.0861          |

**Table 2: Standard deviation and p value of absorbance in primary teeth:**

| GROUP | MATERIAL USED | N  | STANDARD DEVIATION | P VALUE |
|-------|---------------|----|--------------------|---------|
| 1     | MTA           | 26 | 0.0215             | 0.001   |
| 2     | BIODENTINE    | 26 | 0.0401             | 0.001   |

**Graph 1: Comparison of mean absorbance values of MTA and Biodentine:**



#### IV. Discussion

The success of the furcation repair depends on various factors like size and location of the defect, time and duration of exposure to contamination, the material used for repair, the possibility of sealing the perforation etc. Factor that is under the control of the operator is the choice of material to be used that enhances treatment outcome. To obtain success, the perforation repair material should ideally trigger the formation of new bone, periodontal ligament and cementum. In search for the ideal material, numerous sealing materials and techniques have been tested over the years with varying success. The search for alternative agents has been aimed to overcome the drawbacks of previously used materials to reduce the cost and to increase the feasibility to both professionals and patients. This present study is the first of its kind to compare the sealing ability of Biodentine and MTA in repairing the furcal perforation in primary molars using dye penetration technique.

There are several methods that can be employed to evaluate the sealing ability of repair materials other than dye penetration technique, like SEM, fluid filtration technique, bacterial and protein leakage model etc. Recent methods include radioactive isotopes, artificial caries, neutron activation analysis and electrical conductivity. The present study have utilized methylene blue as a dye because it is inexpensive, easy to use, has a high degree of staining and a molecular weight even lower than that of bacterial toxins. With regard to dyes particle size, pH and chemical reactivity are believed to affect the degree of penetration.

Biodentine is very similar to MTA in basic composition. The manufacturers claim that the addition of setting accelerators and softeners, in a new predosed capsule formulation for use in a mixing device predominantly improves the physical properties of the material, making it more user-friendly. Biodentine does not require two-step obturation as the setting is faster and thus has a lower risk of bacterial contamination making it superior to MTA.

Allwyn Samuel *et al* (2016) evaluated the sealing ability of biodentine and MTA in primary molars using SEM and concluded that biodentine showed significantly less leakage (0.149) compared to MTA (0.583).

Soundappan *et al* (2014) evaluated the marginal adaptation of Biodentine in comparison with MTA and intermediate restorative material (IRM) using SEM. They conducted the study using thirty permanent central incisors and stated that in overall comparison, MTA and IRM were significantly superior when compared to Biodentine in terms of marginal adaptation when used as retrograde filling material.

El khodary HM *et al* (2011) evaluated sealing ability of four calcium containing cements (MTA, Portland cement, biodentine and tech biosealer). The study showed there was no significant difference between the mean microleakage values obtained in the four tested materials after 24 hours, 1 month, 6 months and 1 year. But the microleakage values for each individual material were significantly higher at 24 hours than at the other time intervals.

#### V. Conclusion:

From this *in vitro* study, it can be concluded that Biodentine showed lesser microleakage compared to MTA and may be a good alternative to MTA in sealing the furcal perforations in primary molars, thereby increasing the life of the tooth.

#### Financial support and sponsorship:

Nil.

#### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- [1]. Haghgoo R, Arfa S, Asgary S. Microleakage of CEM cement and ProRoot MTA as furcal perforation repair materials in primary teeth. *Iran Endod J.* 2013;8:187–90. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [2]. Lee SJ, Monsef M, Torabinejad M. Sealing ability of a mineral trioxide aggregate for repair of lateral root perforations. *J Endod.* 1993;19:541–4. [PubMed]
- [3]. Oliveira TM, Sakai VT, Silva TC, Santos CF, Machado MA, Abdo RC. Repair of furcal perforation treated with mineral trioxide aggregate in a primary molar tooth: 20-month follow-up. *J Dent Child (Chic)* 2008;75:188–91. [PubMed]
- [4]. Malkondu Ö, Karapinar Kazandag M, Kazazoglu E. A review on biodentine, a contemporary dentine replacement and repair material. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;2014:160951. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [5]. Arens DE, Torabinejad M. Repair of furcal perforations with mineral trioxide aggregate: Two case reports. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 1996;82:84–8. [PubMed]
- [6]. Orosco FA, Bramante CM, Garcia RB, Bernardineli N, de Moraes IG. Sealing ability, marginal adaptation and their correlation using three root-end filling materials as apical plugs. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2010;18:127–34. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [7]. Sahebi S, Moazami F, Sadat Shojae N, Layeghneghad M. Comparison of MTA and CEM cement microleakage in repairing furcal perforation, an *in vitro* study. *J Dent (Shiraz)* 2013;14:31–6. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [8]. Soundappan S, Sundaramurthy JL, Raghu S, Natanasabapathy V. Biodentine versus mineral trioxide aggregate versus intermediate restorative material for retrograde root end filling: An *in vitro* study. *J Dent (Tehran)* 2014;11:143–9. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [9]. Kaup M, Schäfer E, Dammaschke T. An *in vitro* study of different material properties of Biodentine compared to ProRoot MTA. *Head Face Med.* 2015;11:16. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- [10]. Kokate SR, Pawar AM. An *in vitro* comparative stereomicroscopic evaluation of marginal seal between MTA, glass ionomer cement and biodentine as root end filling materials using 1% methylene blue as tracer. *Endodontology.* 2012;24:36–42.