

## A preliminary study on the effect of age and heart rate on the short-term heart rate variability

Rolinda Rajkumari <sup>1</sup>, Susie Keithellakpam <sup>2</sup>, Laishram Sureiya Devi <sup>3</sup>,  
Abhijeet Srivastava <sup>3\*</sup>

1. Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, JNIMS Imphal

2. Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, JNIMS, Imphal

3. Post graduate trainee, Department of physiology, JNIMS, Imphal

\*Corresponding Author: Abhijeet Srivastava

### Abstract:

Reduced heart rate variability (HRV) is associated with poor prognosis in diseases and healthy population. HRV is also influenced by physiological factors like age, gender, ethnic origin as well as various diseases. This study aims to establish the normal value of heart rate variability of subjects of Imphal-East, Manipur and also to see if there is any effect of age and heart rate on the HRV. Study included 100 subjects (66 males and 34 females) which was grouped into 2 according to age: group A (19-<40 years) and group B (>40-60 year). HRV analysis was done using the Lab Chart Prov8.1.13 with HRV module version 2.0; AD Instruments, Australia). Time-domain (SDNN and RMSSD) and frequency-domain (LF, HF and LF/HF ratio) were estimated. Comparison of variables between the two groups and also between the males and females were done using independent t-test. Correlation of HRV with age and heart rate were made using Pearson correlation test. Comparison of HRV indices between the groups are found to be statistically significant in all the variables except the LF/HF ratio. The SDRR, RMSSD, LF, HF showed statistically significant negative correlation with age except LF/HF. The HF shows significantly negative correlation with heart rate while the LF/HF shows positive correlation with heart rate which was statistically significant. We found that there is a negative correlation between age and HRV. We also observed inverse correlation between HF and heart rate, and a positive correlation between LF/HF ratio and heart rate.

Key words: HRV, age, gender, heart rate

---

Date of Submission: 26-08-2019

Date of Acceptance: 10-09-2019

---

### I. Introduction :

Heart rate variability (HRV), as recorded by ECG, is the variability of intervals between QRS complexes generated by sinus node depolarisation in one continuous recording.<sup>1</sup> These beat-to-beat variations are the result of the opposing influences of parasympathetic and sympathetic divisions of autonomic nervous system. The activation of sympathetic nervous system causes an increase in the heart rate while that of the parasympathetic nervous system leads to an inhibition of the cardiac rhythm activity and therefore, a decrease in the heart rate.<sup>1</sup> The higher the heart rate variability, the faster and more flexible the organism can adapt to internal and external influences, by optimizing the interplay of the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system. So, it reflects the ability of an organism to change the frequency of the cardiac rhythm on a beat-to-beat basis.

Many studies have revealed that reduced HRV is a strong, independent, and consistent risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in previous myocardial infraction.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, an association between reduced HRV and poor prognosis has also been shown for many other diseases, including diabetes mellitus, alcoholism, and dilatative cardiomyopathy.<sup>3,4,5</sup> Similarly, study in general population documented reduced HRV as a predictor of an adverse prognosis<sup>6</sup>.

The study of HRV offers a non-invasive and quantitative method of investigating autonomic effects on the heart. There are different methods available for the analysis of HRV. However, the most widely-used methods are the time domain, frequency domain and Poincare plot. According to Task force<sup>1</sup>, the following four are recommended for time-domain HRV assessment: Standard deviation of interbeat intervals of normal sinus

beats (SDNN; estimate of overall HRV); standard deviation of average normal to normal interval (SDANN; estimate of long-term components of HRV), and root mean square of successive differences between normal heart beats (RMSSD; estimate of short-term components of HRV). The RMSSD method is preferred to percentage of adjacent NN intervals that differ from each other by more than 50 ms (pNN50) and number of adjacent NN intervals that differ from each other by more than 50 ms (NN50) because it has better statistical properties. For the frequency domain (short term recordings) the three main spectral components are very low frequency (VLF,  $\leq 0.4$  Hz), low frequency (LF, 0.04-0.15 Hz), high frequency (HF, 0.15- 0.4 Hz) and ratio of LF/HF. HRV is influenced by physiological factors like age, gender, ethnic origin as well as various diseases.

Several changes in autonomic activity occur with healthy aging in adult. It has been reported that there is decrease of HRV with increasing age<sup>7</sup> which may be due to the offset of hemodynamic impact of the increases in sympathetic nervous system tone by the decrease in adrenergic sensitivity with the age.<sup>8</sup>

Cardiac autonomic modulation has been found to be significantly influenced by sex. Previous study has observed lower HRV in healthy women as compared to healthy men while the vagal modulation was similar in both sexes.<sup>9</sup> However, other study reported HF power was higher in young women and in middle aged women than in age-matched men.<sup>10</sup>

In a meta-analysis based on a systematic reference survey involving 17 studies and a total of 11,162 test persons, Hill et al<sup>11</sup> established a significantly higher short-term resting HRV in Afro-American persons than in American persons of European origin. Similarly, Choiet al<sup>7</sup> reported there is a significant ethnic disparity in correlation between age and HRV indices in African Americans and Caucasian Americans.

This study aims to establish the normal value of heart rate variability of subjects of Imphal-East, Manipur and also to see if there is any effect of age and heart rate on the HRV.

## **II. Materials and Methods:**

This is a cross-sectional study which consisted of 100 subjects (66males, 34 females), within the age group of 19 - 60 years. The subjects were students (medical and dental) and employees (medical and non-medical) of the Jawaharlal Nehru Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal-East, India. They were grouped into two according to the age: group A (63 subjects) comprised of age from 19 to 39 years and Group B (37 subjects) comprised of age from 40 to 60 years. They were given detailed verbal explanations regarding the nature, purpose and requirements of the study. The subjects were also given time to consider participation and written consent was taken from the participants after clearance from the Ethical Committee of the Institute.

Evaluation of the medical condition of the patients was based on full medical histories and undertaking a general physical and neurologic examination. Exclusion criteria included the presence of diseases known to affect HRV (eg, cardiovascular, endocrinologic, neurologic and psychiatric disorders). No subject took medications regularly, the only exceptions being oral contraceptives and the occasional intake of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (eg, acetylsalicylate or paracetamol).

All the subjects were asked to come to Autonomic Laboratory, Department of Physiology. Body mass index (BMI) was assessed using a standardised weighing machine and height scale according to the following formula:  $\text{Body mass index (BMI)} = \text{weight in kilogram} / (\text{height in meters})^2$ . Heart rate variability examinations were performed between 9:00 am and 12.00 noon. All participants were instructed to have a light meal only prior to the test. The subject was requested to lie down on the couch where electrodes for lead II ECG acquisition by Labchart Prov8.1.13(AD Instruments, Australia) were attached. The recording was performed in a controlled ambient temperature of 23 to 25°C. After an initial period of rest of 10 minutes, a 5-minute lead II ECG (sampling rate 1KHz) was recorded for later analysis. The subjects were asked to breathe regularly and calmly, and to avoid movement during data acquisition to prevent artefacts in the recording; they were also asked to stay awake.

ECG signal was stored by data acquisition software (Lab Chart Prov8.1.13 with HRV module version 2.0; (AD Instruments, Australia) using PowerLab 26T (AD Instruments, Australia). "R" waves were detected and artefact-free signals were stored for later analysis of time-domain and frequency-domain variables of HRV using Lomb Scargle Periodogram. Time domain variables of HRV consisted of SDRR (standard deviation of interbeat interval for all sinus beats) which denotes overall HRV and RMSSD (square root of the mean squares differences between adjacent RR intervals) which denotes vagal activity. Under frequency-domain, low frequency (LF: 0.04–0.15Hz) and high frequency (HF: 0.15–0.40Hz) power were calculated in absolute values of power ( $\text{ms}^2$ ); LF/HF ratio was also calculated. The High frequency power reflects vagal activity, low frequency denotes combination of sympathetic and parasympathetic input while LF/HF ratio is an indicator of sympathovagal balance.

### **Statistical Analysis:**

The data was analysed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Comparison of variables between the two groups and also between the males and females were done using

independent t-test. Correlation of HRV indices with age and heart rate were made using Pearson correlation test. All the values were expressed as mean ( $\pm$ SD) and "p value" less than 0.05 was taken as significant.

### III. Results:

Table 1 shows the mean values of age, height, weight and body mass index. The mean ages of group A and group B were  $23.11 \pm 3.83$  year and  $42.3 \pm 3.43$  year respectively. The average weight of group A was  $63.01 \pm 11.39$  Kg and that group B was  $67.96 \pm 9.26$  Kg which was statistically significant. BMI of group A was  $24.95 \pm 3.62$  Kg/m<sup>2</sup> as compared to  $27.51 \pm 3.01$  Kg/m<sup>2</sup> of group B which was statistically significant. The mean heart rates of group A and group B were  $81.69 \pm 7.75$  beats per minute and  $81.56 \pm 7.34$  beats per minute respectively which showed no significance.

Table 2 shows the normal values of heart rate variability of different age groups. The mean value of SDRR was  $41.82 \pm 14.33$  ms<sup>2</sup> and RMSSD was  $30.14 \pm 12.11$  ms<sup>2</sup> for time domain for group A. Again, the mean value of LF was  $866.41 \pm 662.70$  ms<sup>2</sup>, HF was  $608.01 \pm 603.56$  ms<sup>2</sup> and LF/HF ratio was  $1.68 \pm 0.73$  for Group A. Similarly, the mean value of SDRR was  $33.85 \pm 12.33$  ms<sup>2</sup> and RMSSD was  $21.65 \pm 6.49$  ms<sup>2</sup> for time domain for group B. Additionally, the mean value of LF was  $241.61 \pm 113.53$  ms<sup>2</sup>, HF was  $149.53 \pm 84.18$  ms<sup>2</sup> and LF/HF ratio was  $1.77 \pm 0.56$  for Group B.

Comparison of HRV indices between the groups was found to be statistically significant in all the variables except the LF/HF ratio (table 2).

Table 3 shows the comparison of HRV indices between the males and females. The average values of SDRR, RMSSD, LF, HF and LF/HF ratio for males were  $39.19 \pm 14.21$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $27.20 \pm 10.78$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $673.89 \pm 652.37$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $475.25 \pm 402.27$  ms<sup>2</sup> and  $1.74 \pm 0.71$  respectively. Similarly, the average values of SDRR, RMSSD, LF, HF, LF/HF ratio for females were  $40.67 \pm 14.37$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $29.28 \pm 13.23$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $738.98 \pm 574.42$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $491.50 \pm 326.13$  ms<sup>2</sup> and  $1.59 \pm 0.61$  respectively. All the HRV indices showed no significance statistically between males and females.

Table 4 shows correlation between the HRV indices and age and heart rate. The SDRR, RMSSD, LF, HF show statistically significant negative correlation with age except LF/HF. The HF shows significantly negative correlation with heart rate while the LF/HF shows positive correlation with heart rate which was statistically significant.

### IV. Discussion:

In the present study, the value of SDRR, RMSSD, LF, HF and LF/HF ratio were  $41.82 \pm 14.33$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $30.14 \pm 12.11$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $866.41 \pm 662.70$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $608.01 \pm 603.56$  ms<sup>2</sup> and  $1.68 \pm 0.73$  respectively for Group A. Similarly, the values of SDRR, RMSSD, LF, HF and LF/HF ratio were  $33.85 \pm 12.33$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $21.65 \pm 6.49$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $241.61 \pm 113.53$  ms<sup>2</sup>,  $149.53 \pm 84.18$  ms<sup>2</sup> and  $1.77 \pm 0.56$  respectively for Group B. Moodithaya and Avadhany<sup>12</sup> conducted study on 267 healthy volunteers between age group of 6-55 years in Bangalore, South India and reported the comparison of HRV (frequency-domain) between different age groups. The HRV indices in frequency-domain for young adults of their study are lower than ours while that of the middle-aged are higher than us.

The comparison of HRV indices between the men and women is a controversial issue. Some studies found the differences while others documented no differences. Stein et al<sup>13</sup> revealed that the HRV was comparable in older men and women. Another study by Corrales et al<sup>14</sup> has concluded from their study that there was no significant difference between men and women in HRV indices which is similar with our study. However, previous study has reported that the average levels of HRV were lower for men than for women for participants with cardiometabolic condition in cross sectional analysis.<sup>15</sup> Park et al<sup>16</sup> observed men had higher total power, LF and LF/HF values than women while there were no significant differences in SDNN, RMSSD and HF between sexes. Agelink et al<sup>17</sup> also revealed that women upto 55 years of age have lower LF and LF/HF ratio compared to age-matched men. Another study documented no influence of gender in HRV indices in children and middle aged while changes were observed in young adult and middle-aged suggesting possible involvement of female sex hormone in regulating the autonomic balance.<sup>12</sup> Eventhough, the values of HRV are different between sexes, these differences diminish with age<sup>18</sup> which is believed to have greater impact on HRV.

Our study also found a negative correlation between the age and HRV indices except for LF/HF ratio. Park et al<sup>16</sup> have done study on 637 healthy Koreans (366 males and 271 females) and found that all the values of short term HRV were significantly decreased with age except LF/HF ratio which are similar with our findings. Another study by Abhishekh et al<sup>19</sup> found a negative correlation of SDNN, RMSSD and total power with age while LF/HF ratio showed a positive correlation which suggested sympathetic tone increases with age.

HRV measures decreased significantly with aging which may be the result of gradual decrease of overall fluctuation in cardiac autonomic input and by gradual reduction in parasympathetic modulation.<sup>15</sup> These parasympathetic changes may be due to decrease in muscarinic receptor activity<sup>20</sup> or disturbed cardiac

acetylcholine release response to stimulation<sup>21</sup> with aging. Also adrenergic function decreases more linearly with age than parasympathetic function, which may be due to the decrease in sympathetic input<sup>22</sup> and /or reduced  $\beta$ -adrenoreceptor.<sup>23</sup>

Our study also revealed inverse correlation between HF and heart rate while the LF/HF ratio showed positive correlation with heart rate which was statistically significant. Agelink et al<sup>17</sup> reported a weak correlation of LF/HF with heart rate while there was a significant inverse correlation with heart rate and RMSSD. Bhati and Moiz<sup>24</sup> demonstrated a significant inverse correlation between HR and pNN50, RMSSD, and total power.

Our study demonstrated a significant inverse correlation between HF and heart rate which is indicator of a parasympathetic activity. The modulation of vagal tone helps maintain the dynamic autonomic regulation important for cardiovascular health. So, reduced vagal activity is implicated in increased morbidity.<sup>6</sup> LF/HF ratio reflects the absolute and relative changes between the sympathetic and parasympathetic components. The LF/HF ratio is used with the belief that there is a sympathovagal balance that modulates the sinus node activity (i.e., increased activity in one system is accompanied by decreased activity in the other system). So, the strong correlation between heart rate and HRV does have physiological significance.<sup>25</sup> Cardiac physiology aims to adapt quickly to any situation, implying flexibility and harmony between HRV and heart rate which deteriorate each time cardiac function is impaired.

#### **Limitations:**

Greater sample size would have been better in supporting the findings. The number of female subjects are much lesser than that of the males which might affect the result of comparison between males and females.

#### **V. Conclusion:**

We found a negative correlation between age and HRV. It reflects that with age there is a gradual decrease of overall fluctuation in cardiac autonomic input and reduction in parasympathetic modulation. The inverse correlation observed between HF and heart rate is an indicator of parasympathetic activity modulation which, maintains the dynamic autonomic regulation input for cardiovascular health.

#### **Acknowledgement:**

We are thankful to Dr. Priscilla Kayina, Assisstant Professor in Community Medicine Department for helping in the Statistical Analysis. We would like to thank all the staff and students who volunteered for the research work.

#### **References:**

- [1]. European Society of Cardiology and North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Heart rate variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. *Circulation* 1996; 93: 1043-1065.
- [2]. Kleiger RE, Miller JP, Bigger JT Jr, Moss AJ. Decreased heart rate variability and its association with increased mortality after acute myocardial infarction. *Am j Cardiol* 1987; 56: 256-62.
- [3]. Thomas Benichou, Bruno Pereira, Martial Mermillod, Igor Tauveron, Daniela Pfabigan, Salwan Maqdasy et al. Heart rate variability in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2018; 13(4): e0195166.
- [4]. Milovanovic B, Milinic N, Trifunovic D, Krotin M, Branka Filipovic, Bisenic V, et al. Autonomic dysfunction in alcoholic cirrhosis and its relation to sudden cardiac death risk predictors *Physiol. Biophys.* 2009; 28: 251–61.
- [5]. Szabo BM, van Veldhuisen D J, van der Veer N, et al. Prognostic value of heart rate variability in chronic congestive heart failure secondary to idiopathic or ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 1997; 79: 978-980.
- [6]. Tsuji H, Larson MG, Venditti FJ, Manders ES Jr, Evans JC, Feldman CL, et al. Impact of reduced heart rate variability on risk for cardiac events. The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 1996; 94: 2850-55.
- [7]. Choi JB, Hong S, Nelesen R, Bardwell WA, Natarajan L, Schubert C, et al. Age and ethnicity differences in short-term heart rate variability. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2006; 68: 421-26 .
- [8]. Davy, K. P., Seals, D. R., & Tanaka, H. (1998). Augmented cardiopulmonary and integrative sympathetic baroreflexes but attenuated peripheral vasoconstriction with age. *Hypertension*, 32, 298–304.
- [9]. Ramaekers, D., Ector, H., Aubert, A. E., Rubens, A., & Van de Werf, F. (1998). Heart rate variability and heart rate in healthy volunteers. *European Heart Journal*, 19, 1334–1341.
- [10]. Ryan, S. M., Goldberger, A. L., Pincus, S. M., Mietus, J., & Lipsitz, L. A. (1994). Gender-and age-related differences in heart rate dynamics: Are women more complex than men *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 24, 1700– 1707.
- [11]. Hill LK, Hue DD, Koenig J, Sollers III JJ, Kapuku G, Wang X, et al. Ethnic differences in resting heart rate variability: A systemic Review and meta-analysis. *Psychosom Med.* 2015; 77(1): 16-25.
- [12]. Moodithaya S and Avadhany ST. Gender differences in age-related changes in cardiac autonomic nervous function. *Journal of Aging Research* 2012
- [13]. Stein PHS, Kleiger RE, and Rottman JN. Differing effects of age on heart rate variability in men and women. *American Journal of Cardiology* 1997; 80: 302-305.
- [14]. Corrales MM, Torres BC, Esquivel AG, Salazar MAC, Orellana JN. Normal value of heart rate variability at rest in young, healthy and active Mexican population. *Health* 2012; 4(7): 377-85.
- [15]. Jandackova VK, Scholes S, Britton A, Steptoe A. Are Changes in Heart Rate Variability in Middle-Aged and Older People Normative or Caused by Pathological Conditions? Findings From a Large Population-Based Longitudinal Cohort Study. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2016;1:e002365doi: 10.1161/JAHA.115.002365.

[16]. Park SB, Lee BC, Jeong KS. Standardized tests of heart rate variability for autonomic function test in healthy Korean. Intern. J. Neuroscience 2007; 117: 1707-17.

[17]. Agelink MW, Malessa R, Baumann B, Majewski T, Akila F, Zeit T, et al. Standardized tests of heart rate variability: normal ranges obtained from 309 healthy humans, and effects of age, gender, and heart rate. Clinical Autonomic Research 2001;11:99-108.

[18]. Aubert A, Beckers F and Ramaekers D. Short-term heart rate variability in young athletes. Journal of Cardiology 2001;37:85-8.

[19]. Abhishekh H, Nisarga P, Kisan R, Meghana A, Chadran S, Trichu Raja, et al. Influence of age and gender on autonomic regulation of heart. J Clin Monit Comput. 2013; 27( 3): 259-64.

[20]. Brodde OE, Konschak U, Becker K, Ruter F, Poller U, Jakubetz J. Cardiac muscarinic receptors decrease with age. In vitro and in vivo studies. J Clin Invest. 1998; 101: 471-478.

[21]. Oberhauser V, Schwertfeger E, Rutz T, Beyersdorf F, Rump LC. Acetylcholine release in human heart atrium: influence of muscarinic autoreceptors, diabetes, and age. Circulation. 2001; 103: 1638-1643.

[22]. Palatini P. Heart rate: a strong predictor of mortality in subjects with coronary artery disease European Heart Journal, 2005; 26(10): 943-945.

[23]. Hayano J, Yamada A, Mukai S, Sakakibara J, Yamada M, Ohte N, et al. Severity of coronary atherosclerosis correlates with respiratory component of heart rate variability. American Heart journal 1999; 121: 1070-79.

[24]. Bhati P and Moiz JA. Correlation of heart rate with heart rate variability in sedentary young Indian women. Saudi Journal of Sports Medicine 2017; 17: 105-9.

[25]. Coumel P, Blanche PM, Catuli D. Heart rate and heart rate variability in normal young adults. J. Cardiovas. electrophysio 1994; 5: 899-911.

**Table 1:** The Mean ( $\pm$ Standard Deviation) Values of Demographic Data of different age groups.

Variable	Group A (n=63)	Group B (n=37)
Age (Years)	23.11 $\pm$ 3.83	42.3 $\pm$ 3.43
Ht (cm)	158.52 $\pm$ 9.91	157.46 $\pm$ 8.60
Wt (Kg)	63.01 $\pm$ 11.39	67.96 $\pm$ 9.26*
BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.95 $\pm$ 3.62	27.51 $\pm$ 3.01***
Heart rate (bpm)	81.69 $\pm$ 7.75	81.56 $\pm$ 7.34

n: number of subjects; P\* < 0.05; p\*\*\* < 0.001; Ht: Height ;Wt: Weight; BMI: Body mass index; bpm: beats per minute

**Table 2:** Normal ranges of heart rate variability of different age groups

Variables	Group A	Group B	p
SDRR (ms <sup>2</sup> )	41.82 $\pm$ 14.33	33.85 $\pm$ 12.33	.01*
RMSSD (ms <sup>2</sup> )	30.14 $\pm$ 12.11	21.65 $\pm$ 6.49	.001***
LF (ms <sup>2</sup> )	866.41 $\pm$ 662.70	241.61 $\pm$ 113.53	0.001***
HF (ms <sup>2</sup> )	608.01 $\pm$ 603.56	149.53 $\pm$ 84.18	0.001***
LF/HF ratio	1.68 $\pm$ 0.73	1.77 $\pm$ 0.56	0.553

P\* < 0.05; p\*\*\* < 0.001; SDRR: standard deviation of interbeat intervals for all sinus beats, RMSSD: square root of the mean squares differences between adjacent R R intervals; LF: low frequency ; HF: high frequency ; LF/HF ratio : ratio of low frequency and high frequency

**Table 3:** Comparison of HRV indices between the males and females

Variables	Male (mean $\pm$ SD) (n = 66)	Female (mean $\pm$ SD) (n=34)	p-value
SDRR (ms <sup>2</sup> )	39.19 $\pm$ 14.21	40.67 $\pm$ 14.37	0.64
RMSSD (ms <sup>2</sup> )	27.20 $\pm$ 10.78	29.28 $\pm$ 13.23	0.42
LF (ms <sup>2</sup> )	673.89 $\pm$ 652.37	738.98 $\pm$ 574.42	0.64
HF (ms <sup>2</sup> )	475.25 $\pm$ 402.27	491.50 $\pm$ 326.13	0.89
LF/HF ratio	1.74 $\pm$ 0.71	1.59 $\pm$ 0.61	0.33

n: number of subjects; SDRR: standard deviation of interbeat intervals for all sinus beats , RMSSD: square root of the mean squares differences between adjacent R R intervals; LF: low frequency ; HF: high frequency; LF/HF ratio: ratio of low frequency and high frequency

**Table 4:** Correlation between the HRV indices and age and heart rate

Variables	Age		Heart rate	
	correlation coefficient (r)	p-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	p-value
SDRR	-0.261	.009**	.007	0.94
RMSSD	-0.343	.001***	-0.147	0.14
LF	-0.48	.001***	-0.153	0.12
HF	-0.428	.001***	-0.30	0.002**
LF / HF	0.179	.07	0.316	0.001***

p\*\* < 0.01; p\*\*\* < 0.001; SDRR: standard deviation of interbeat intervals for all sinus beats , RMSSD: square root of the mean squared differences between adjacent R R intervals; LF: low frequency ; HF: high frequency; LF/HF ratio: ratio of low frequency and high frequency.