

To Evaluate the Sero-Occurrence of Brucellosis in Buffalo and Goat through the Contrast of Serological Assessments in Tandojam (Pakistan)

¹Adnan Yousaf, ²Khalil-ur-Rahman, ³Rehana Shahnawaz

³Department of Veterinary Pharmacology

^{1,2}Faculty of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Science Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam (Pakistan)

Abstract: Sero-occurrence of brucellosis in 526 buffalos in addition to 310 goats from Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam, Sindh government livestock farm and private livestock farm (Fayaz Qurashi Dairy Farm Tandojam) remained obtained through accomplishment Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT) and serum agglutination test (SAT) at Civil Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (CVDL) Tandojam through out of last 5 years (2015-2010). Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT) Positive animals, 25.66% buffalos and 30.96% goats remained originate sero-positive after smeared serum agglutination test (SAT).

Key words: Brucellosis, sero-occurrence, buffalos, goats, serological tests, Tandojam

I. Introduction

Generally the Brucellosis is caused in buffalo by bacteria *Brucella abortus*, not as much of by *brucella melitensis* and infrequently by *Brucella suis*. It cause abortion, through secretion of the organisms in uterine release and in milk. Main financial fatalities consequence after abortion. Loss of calves, low milk yield in females and sterility in males (WHO, 1971). This is a zoonotic diseases and a very thoughtful danger to community health. Sufferers owing to abortion or motionless births, uneven breeding, loss of milk and meat production and sterility remain considerable. Away from each other of this, human sufferings also anguish remains huge. The influence of the concluding can scarcely be measured in therapeutic precaution only as Shepherd *et al.*, (1979) assessed every incident at US\$ 3320. Similarly, it is determined that diseased non-aborting dairy cows produced 15% under possible and abortions at 20%. They additional projected that 10-35% of diseased cows abort every year. Abortion regarded up to 50% in goats take remained documented by Nicoletti (1982). This is chief impairment of the profession. Expiry may happen as a consequence of serious metritis, monitored by retained fetal membranes (Radostits *et al.*, 200). While particular occurrence of the brucellosis in buffalo in Tandojam (Pakistan) is not identified but has been documented to differ from 3.50 to 4.46 percent in different regions of Pakistan (Naem *et al.*, 1990).

In the current research work the serological review of brucellosis in buffalo and goats via RBPT and SAT reserved at numerous government and private livestock farms in Tandojam (Pakistan) has remained designated to an evaluate the present position of the infection in such area.

II. Materials And Methods

An over-all of 981 blood simple of buffalos and goats were collected from Government (Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam livestock farm) also from a private livestock farm (Fayaz Qurashi livestock Farm Tandojam). Amongst these, 526 trials remained taken from buffalo and 310 from goats. The leading serological trial used for analysis of brucellosis remained the Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT), which has extraordinary (99%) sensitivity however little specificity (Barrios *et al.*, 2002) However, the positive prognostic significance of this test is little and a positive result is necessary to be definite by several other additional definite test such as serum agglutination test (SAT). Nevertheless, the negative predicative significance of RBPT is maximum for example it excludes lively brucellosis with a maximum grade of inevitability. The SAT test is suggested for assembly of numerical statistics on susceptible reactions. This is the maximum regularly used assenting serological test and it is standard technique for the analysis of the brucellosis. Sensitivity and specificity of the SAT are 96.5 and 100%, individually (Memish *et al.*, 2002). All the serum samples was analysis on Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT) then individuals having positive response then analysis on serum agglutination test (SAT) (MAFF, 1987). Rose Bengal and determined antigen remained obtained from Sindh Poultry Vaccine Center (SPVC) Karachi.

The effects of agglutination in SAT remained documented by interpretation the grade of clearance and sedimentation. A titer of 1:40(i.e.50% clumping at 1:40) or above was considered positive whereas 50% or above reaction in titer of 1:20 and less than 50% response at1:40 was acknowledged as doubtful. A titer of 1:10 was preserved as negative (Alton *et al.*, 1975).

III. Results And Discussion

In buffalos, RBPT showed 175 (33.26%) and in goat, showed 140 (45.16%) at Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam (Government livestock farm) and private livestock farms. After RBPT positive samples remained exposed to SAT, 135 (25.66%) exposed positive response for brucellosis in buffalos and 96 (30.96%) remained confirmed positive in goats (Table 1).

The current research study outcomes of two sero investigative tests showed that RBPT identified maximum percentage of seropositive animals as related to SAT. Conferring to Flad (1983), RBPT is quick, unpretentious and sensitive but has little specificity. Comparable research was directed by Sanga et al. (1986) and Sarkar et al (1987), who start an occurrence of 22.50 and 18.32% respectively during the examination of brucellosis amongst cattle and buffaloes at prepared private farms.

Successful on RBPT the generally occurrence documented in the current research study in buffalos and goats remained 37.67% wherever proceeding on SAT this remained 27.63% (Table1) which is considerable maximum as compared documented by Nasir et al. (2004) He documented that the whole positive percentage in cattle and buffaloes at Government and private livestock farms as 17.20% on RBPT, while on SAT it was 8.0%.

The current research study the sero occurrence of brucellosis in buffalos and goats remained documented such as 25.66% and 30.96% individually which is not in conformism with that of El-Gohary and Hattab (1992) who documented 8.9% in buffalos and 10.7% in goats. The purpose of dissimilarity would be the occurrence of the disease and the region dependent on livestock particularly goats. However the conclusions of the current research in buffalos (25.66%) and goats (30.96%) disagree with that of Sher et al, (2007) who documented 8% and 1% in buffalos and goats individually. The purpose might be accomplish psychological performs.

Table 1. Sero Occurrence of brucellosis in buffalo and goats

SPECIES	TOTAL	RBPT	% RBPT	SAT	% SAT
Buffalo	526	175	33.36%	135	25.66%
Goats	310	140	45.16%	96	30.96%
Total	836	315	37.67%	231	27.63%

The current study consequence showed that serological analysis of brucellosis in goats and buffalos are maximum. The widespread distribution and maximum commonness of brucellosis in goats and buffalos at private farms strength be due to regular introduction of fresh maximum production animals into the farms without appropriate serological test and maximum occurrence of abortions.

The *brucella* bacterium can pass through unbroken skin and can live in water up to 100 days then on soil up to 30 days. Whole abolition is nowadays an accurate chance, consequently it is important to remember all features of the current concentrated programmed. This comprises complete crowd and pre-movement testing, blood sampling at slaughter plants/ houses, additional effective indicative actions and quick discarding once the disease is identified and methodology is obligatory to avoid additional feast of the disease.

References

- [1]. Alton, G. G., L. M. Jones and D. E. Pietz. Laboratory Techniques in Brucellosis, 2nd Ed. FAO/WHO, Geneva. (1975)
- [2]. Barrose, G. P., C. P. R. Rodriguez, B. G. Extremera, M. A. Maldonado, G. G. Huuertas and M. A. Salguero. Study of 1,595 brucellosis cases in the Almeria province (1972-1998) based onepidemiological data from disease reporting. Rev. Clin. Espanola, 202: 577-582. (2002).
- [3]. El-Gohary, A. H. and S. A. Hattab. Zoonotic importance of Brucellosis at Behera Governorate in Egypt. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 62(11), 1015-1017 (1992).
- [4]. Flad, S. Some observations on the use of Rose Bengal Plate, tube agglutination, heat inactivation and Rivanol tests in caprine brucellosis. Trop. Vet., 1: 49-53 (1983).
- [5]. Maff. Brucellosis diagnosis In: Standard Laboratory Techniques Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Central Vet. Lab., Surrey, U.K. (1987).
- [6]. Memish, Z. A., M. Almuneef, M. W. Mah, L. A. Qassem and A. O. Osoba. Comparison of the Brucella Standard Agglutination Test with the ELISA IgG and IgM in patients with Brucellabacteremia. Diagn. Microbial. Infect. Dis., 44: 129-132 (2002).
- [7]. Naeem, K., S. Akhtar and N. Ullah. The serological survey of bovine brucellosis in Rawalpindi, Islamabad District. Pakistan Vet. J., 10: 154-156. (1990).
- [8]. Nasir, A. A., Z. Parveen, M. A. Shah and M. Rashid. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in animals at government and private livestock farms in Punjab Pakistan Vet. J., 24(3): 144 (2004).
- [9]. Nicoletti, P. L. Diagnosis and vaccination for the control of Bruceellosis in the near East. F.A.O. Animal Health and Production. Rome, Italy (1982).
- [10]. Radostits, O. M., C. C. Gay, D. C. Blood and K. W. Hinchcliff Vet. Medi., 9th Ed., ELBS Bailliere ,Tindall, London, U.K., pp: 870-871 (2000).
- [11]. Sanga, J. F., D. J. A. Mukangi and T. Graza. Bovine brucellosis in the Lakezone of Tanzania. Thepresent situation Bull. Anim. Hlth. Prod. Africa, 34: 230-234 (1986).
- [12]. Sarkar, S. C., K. K. Boxi and S. S. Sodi. Studies on the incidence of infertility and abortion in dairyanimals. J. Res. Punjab. Agri. Univ. India, 24: 105-110. (1987).
- [13]. Shepherd, A. A., B. H. Simpson and R. M. Davidon. An economic evaluation of the New Zealand Bovine Brucellosis Eradication scheme. Second Int. Symp. Vet. Epid. and Econ. PP 443- 447. (1979).
- [14]. Sher, B., A. Ali., K. Ikhwan, Jehangir and S. Rahimullah. Sero-prevalence of brucellosis in domestic animals in and around Peshawar NWFP Pakistan. Life Sciences Inter. J. 1(4): 440-443 (2007).
- [15]. WHO, Technical Report Series, Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee in Brucellosis, 5th report: No. 464.(1971)