

Heterosis studies in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Debashis Saren^{1*}, Asit Baran Mandal¹, Chaitan Soren²

¹Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, ²Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, BCKV, Mohanpur-741252, Nadia, India

*Corresponding author: Debashis Saren

Abstract: A 6 × 6 parent full diallel set of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was studied for better parent and standard variety. The magnitude of heterosis from F₁s was calculated for days to heading, days to maturity, tillers per plant, plant height, spike length, spikelets per spike, grain yield and 1000 grain weight. This study showed that the manifestation of heterosis in F₁ hybrids over better parents and standard variety were present for grain yield and yield components. In general positive heterosis was observed for grain yield for both the best parent and standard variety. The increase in grain yield in F₁ hybrids seemed to be due to high manifestation of hybrid vigour in the yield components. The maximum heterosis for better parent and standard variety for yield per plant were 194.10% and 193.97% respectively.

Key words: Bread Wheat, Plant Height, Grain Yield, Better Parent, Standard Variety

Date of Submission: 28-08-2018

Date of acceptance: 11-09-2018

I. Introduction

Exploitation of heterosis is considered to be one of the outstanding achievements of plant breeding. In a self pollinated crop like wheat the scope of utilization of heterosis depends mainly on the direction and magnitude of heterosis. Estimation of heterosis over better parent (heterobeltiosis) may be useful in identifying true heterotic cross combinations but these cross combinations may be of immense value if they show superior to the standard variety or the best variety of the area. The present study was undertaken to quantify the magnitude of heterosis over the standard variety of bread wheat apart from that of better parent in grain yield and seven other yield components viz., days to heading, days to maturity, tillers per plant, plant height, spike length, spikelets per spike and 1000 grain weight.

The aim of heterosis is to exploit increased vigour in self-pollinated crops like wheat in order to getting increased yield. Heterosis in wheat was first reported in 1919 by Freeman. It was first observed by Englendow and Pal for grain yield in 1934 and subsequently by other workers. For exploitation of heterosis there is necessary for male sterile line. However discovery of cytoplasmic male sterility in this crop was reported by Kihara (1951), Fukasawa (1953) and Wilson and Ross (1961, 1962) and restorer genes by Schmidt, Johnson and Mann (1962) which was important for exploitation of commercial hybrid wheat. Workers like Wilson and Ross (1962) reported 71 percent seed set on male sterile plants under naturally cross-pollinated conditions. However, there is disadvantage also. Lahr and Atkinsons (1965) obtained only 1.8 to 14.1 percent seed set. Porter and Atkinsons (1963) and Miri (1966) have reported on the problems and potentials of economic production of hybrid wheat.

II. Materials and methods

Genotypes of bread wheat viz., HD 2888, C306, DBW 39, PBW 343, K 8027 and HD 3083 were crossed in all possible combinations excluding reciprocals at Jaguli Instructional Farm, B.C.K.V, West Bengal. The 6 parents and 15 F₁s were grown in a randomised Block Design with 3 replications with normal sown and irrigated condition (three irrigation). Each plot consisted of single row of 4 meter with a spacing 23 cm. Plant to plant distance was kept for 10 cm apart. The data were recorded on 10 randomly selected plants from each row for 8 characters Viz., days to heading, days to maturity, tillers per plant, plant height, spike length, spikelets per spike, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant. Heterosis over better parent (BP) and standard variety (SV) was calculated as per standard procedures in the following ways-

$$\text{Heterosis over better parent} = \frac{F_1 - BP}{BP} \times 100, \text{ where, BP is Better Parent}$$

And heterosis over standard variety = $\frac{F_1-SV}{SV} \times 100$, where, SV is Standard Variety

S.E of heterosis over better parent = $\sqrt{\frac{3Me}{r}}$ Where, Me = error mean square of ANOVA,
 r = number of replication

S.E of over standard variety = $\sqrt{\frac{2Me}{r}}$

C.D at 5% or 1% = S.E \times t_{5% or 1%} error d.f

Genotype K 8027 was selected as standard variety of bread wheat for the present study.

III. Results and Discussion

The range of heterosis, number of economic crosses (in parenthesis) and number of crosses showing significant economic heterosis over better parent and over standard variety for all the 15 crosses were presented in table-1. Maximum heterosis for grain yield per plant over better parent (BP) and standard variety (SV) was observed to be 194.10% and 193.97% respectively. Heterosis over better parent for grain yield was reported by several wheat workers in the past (Briggle *et al.* 1967, Yadav and Murty 1967, Gyawali *et al.* 1968, Sharma *et al.* 1986 and Prasad *et al.* 1998) some workers (Singh and Kandola 1969, and Singh and Singh 1978) reported grain yield to show maximum heterosis than any other character they studied. In the present study also heterosis observed on grain yield per plant was maximum. Heterosis was found in tillers per plant next to grain yield per plant. In case of standard variety heterosis was maximum in grain yield and next heterosis was found in tillers per plant also.

From the table -2 it was seen that non-additive components were greater than additive components for all the characters studied except for days to maturity. This is desirable in case of studying heterosis.

From the table-3 it was quite evident that in better parent heterosis for yield per plant was negatively related with days to flowering, days to maturity, plant height and 1000 grain weight and positively relation with tillers per plant, spike length and spikelets per spike. Days to flowering and maturity showed negative heterosis by workers like Patwary *et al.* (1986) and Sadeque *et al.* (1991). Early maturity type was desirable where multiple cropping were followed. Plant height in the present study was followed negative heterosis. Negative heterosis of plant height was recorded by Sadeque *et al.* (1991); Bhutta *et al.* (2005), Ilkar *et al.* (2010) and Bilgin *et al.* (2011). Inamulla *et al.* (2006) reported that taller plant are likely to lodge quite often. Tall plants require more energy to translocate solutes to grain and Jan *et al.* (2005) also reported that negative heterosis is desirable when breeding for lodging resistance was required. In the present study 14 out of 15 crosses exhibited positive heterosis for better parents for spike length. Higher and lower values from the hybrids were C306 \times PBW 343 (36.08) and C306 \times K 8027 (3.00). These findings were supported by Moisc *et al.* (1984), Cifci and Yagdi (2000), Chowdhury *et al.* (2005), Bilgin *et al.* (2011) and Cifci (2012). Significant and positive heterosis values for spikelets per spike were found for 12 out of 15 crosses. These findings were in agreement with Singh *et al.* (2004), Ilker *et al.* (2010) and Cifci (2012). For tillers per plant all of the 15 crosses exhibited significant positive values.

Heterosis was estimated over wide adapted and high yield variety K8027 and results were presented in table-4. The significant standard heterosis in desirable direction was observed in C 306 \times PBW 343 and K 8027 \times HD 3083 for early flowering and grain yield per plant. Standard heterosis for number of tillers per plant was observed in all the crosses studied. The standard heterosis for plant height in desirable direction was observed in 7 crosses out of 15. Eight crosses in economic heterosis for spike length and 6 crosses for spikelets per spike were observed in desirable direction. 10 crosses out of 15 for 1000 grain weight and 14 crosses out of 15 were observed for grain yield. Hence Enhancement of grain yield is possible through hybridization. The crosses which exhibited significant economic heterosis for grain yield per plant were HD 2888 \times C306, HD 2888 \times DBW 39, HD 2888 \times PBW 343, HD 2888 \times K 8027, HD 2888 \times HD 3083, C 306 \times DBW 39, C 306 \times PBW 343, C 306 \times K 8027, C 306 \times HD 3083, DBW 39 \times PBW 343, DBW 39 \times K 8027, DBW 39 \times HD 3083, PBW 343 \times K 8027 and K 8027 \times HD 3083. It indicated that high yielding varieties involved in the crosses were

predominantly responsible for enhancing the yield. Similar findings were reported by Sharma *et al.* (1991) and Kumar *et al.* (2011).

Table 1: Range of heterosis, number of economic crosses (in parenthesis) and significant economic crosses for eight characters in bread wheat

| Characters | Range of heterosis | | Number of crosses showing heterosis | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | BP | SV | BP | SV |
| Days to heading | -15.8-5.35 | -10.34-10.34 | 4(15) | 6(15) |
| Days to maturity | -9.99-0.29 | -2.02-7.05 | 6(15) | 5(15) |
| Number of tillers per plant | 45.66-173.99 | 43.50-173.97 | 15(15) | 15(15) |
| Plant height | -25.29-4.71 | -25.30-5.65 | 8(15) | 7(15) |
| Spike length | 0.01-36.08 | -5.58-36.08 | 14(15) | 11(15) |
| Spikelets per spike | -5.26-24.14 | -10.34-24.15 | 12(15) | 14(15) |
| Grain yield per plant | 9.85-194.10 | 7.34-193.97 | 13(15) | 14(15) |
| 1000 grain weight | -21.86-0.29 | -20.50-27.92 | (15) | 12(15) |

BP = Better Parent, SV = Standard Variety

Table 2: Analysis of variance among parents and hybrids and estimates of additive and non-additive components for eight different characters in bread wheat

| Source | d.f | Days to 50 % flowering | Days to maturity | Tillers per plant | Plant height (cm) | Spikelets per spike | Grain yield per plant | 1000 grain weight (g) | Spike length (cm) |
|-------------|-----|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Parents | 5 | 11.83 | 57.02** | 10.36 | 77.70* | 3.16* | 112.55 | 87.65** | 0.63 |
| Hybrids | 14 | 66.34** | 26.21** | 108.42** | 269.71** | 7.50** | 731.64** | 33.40** | 4.42** |
| Error | 40 | 7.13 | 2.85 | 5.12 | 25.82 | 1.01 | 331.88 | 2.10 | 0.41 |
| δ^2A | | 1.79 | 7.17 | 10.26 | 23.71 | 0.58 | 33.00 | 9.16 | 0.33 |
| δ^2D | | 17.82 | 3.83 | 93.70 | 61.52 | 2.46 | 38.74 | 9.96 | 1.63 |

*, ** Significant at 5% and 1% respectively.

Table 3: Heterosis of grain yield and yield components over better parents in bread wheat

| Crosses showing significant positive heterosis and negative heterosis over better parent | Grain yield per plant | Days to 50% flowering | Days to maturity | Tillers Per Plant | Plant Height | Spike Length | Spikelets per Spike | 1000 Grain Weight |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| HD2888 X C306 | 50.36** | - | -4.05** | 152.35** | - | 9.09** | 9.63** | -3.43** |
| HD2888 X DBW39 | 61.02** | - | -9.99** | 92.85** | -9.14* | 15.18** | 9.45** | -21.86** |
| HD2888 X PBW343 | 33.70** | - | - | 84.62** | - | 15.18** | 9.62** | -12.64** |
| HD2888 X K8027 | 88.88** | - | - | 95.69** | - | - | -3.41** | -15.55** |
| HD2888 X HD3083 | 89.41** | - | - | 146.15** | - | 6.09** | -3.51** | -7.72** |
| C306 X DBW39 | 69.18** | - | -9.46** | 109.50** | - | 9.09** | 7.58** | -8.98** |
| C306 X PBW343 | 37.93** | -13.76** | -5.67** | 78.57** | -16.57** | 21.18** | 5.88** | -15.26** |
| C306 X K8027 | 194.10** | - | -4.05** | 173.97** | - | 36.08** | 24.14** | -11.02** |
| C306 X HD3083 | 150.30** | - | - | 169.07** | - | 3.00** | -5.26** | -9.22** |
| DBW39 X PBW343 | 90.88** | - | - | 84.61** | -23.58** | 21.18** | 18.91** | -21.76** |
| DBW39 X K8027 | 129.40** | - | - | 117.41** | -12.50** | 13.91** | 8.62** | - |
| PBW343 X HD3083 | - | - | - | 100.00** | -25.29** | 9.09** | 12.26** | -21.66** |
| PBW343 X K8027 | 96.53** | -15.80** | -3.19** | 45.66** | -10.39** | 8.33** | 3.46** | -14.87** |
| PBW343 X HD3083 | - | - | - | 94.84** | -14.89** | 18.18** | 5.26** | -21.35** |
| K8027 X HD3083 | 91.26** | 11.20** | - | 63.07** | -7.43** | 13.91** | 1.75* | -3.82** |

*, ** Significant at 5% and 1% respectively.

Table 4: Heterosis of grain yield and yield components over standard variety in bread wheat

| Hybrids | Days to 50% flowering | Days to maturity | Tillrs per plant | Plant height | Spike length | Sppikelet per spike | Grain yield per plant | 1000 grain weight |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| HD 2888X C 306 | 6.03** | 4.41** | 130.46** | 5.65 | 0.00 | -1.70* | 48.26** | 27.92** |
| HD 2888X DBW 39 | -3.01 | -2.02 | 76.12** | -8.33** | 5.50** | 0.00 | 58.77** | 3.49** |
| HD 2888X PBW343 | 1.29 | 1.47 | 56.55** | 0.89 | 5.50** | -1.70* | 31.83** | 15.72** |
| HD 2888X K8027 | -0.86 | 0.88 | 95.69** | -0.59 | 0.00 | -3.46** | 88.87** | 11.86** |
| HD 2888X HD3083 | 0.00 | 2.64** | 108.74** | -1.78 | -2.83** | -5.17** | 86.73** | 22.24** |
| C306 X DBW39 | 3.01 | -1.47 | 91.32** | -2.98 | 0.00 | -1.70* | 61.83** | 11.99** |
| C306 X PBW343 | -8.18** | 2.64** | 63.07** | -11.60** | 11.08** | -6.88** | 34.79** | 9.38** |
| C306 X 8027 | 2.58 | 4.41** | 173.97** | 5.35 | 36.08** | 24.15** | 193.97** | 9.3** |
| C306 X 3083 | 10.34** | 7.05** | 145.66** | -5.66 | -5.58** | -6.88** | 139.38** | 13.77** |
| DBW39 X PBW343 | -0.86 | 1.47 | 56.55** | -19.05** | 11.08** | 8.63** | 86.53** | 0.98 |
| DBW39 X K8027 | 0.42 | -1.47 | 117.41** | -12.50** | 13.83** | 8.63** | 129.28** | 3.85** |
| DBW39 X HD 3083 | 5.59** | -0.88 | 43.50** | -25.30** | 0.00 | 10.34** | 11.73** | -1.82 |
| PBW343 X K8027 | 3.87* | -1.76 | 45.66** | -5.06 | 8.33** | 3.46** | 96.53** | 12.42** |
| PBW343 X HD3083 | -10.34** | 0.59 | 65.23** | -9.82* | 13.83** | 1.70* | 7.34 | 1.49 |
| K8027 X HD3083 | -10.34** | 0.29 | 63.07** | -7.44* | -8.33** | -10.34** | 91.22** | 20.56** |

*, ** Significant at 5% and 1% respectively.

References

- [1]. Bhutta, W.M., J. Aktar, M. Anvar-UI-Haq, I. Muhammad 2005. Estimation of heritability of some important traits in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Caderno de Pesquisa Serie Biology, Santa cruz do Sul., 17(1): 20-27.
- [2]. Bilgin, O., A. Balkin, K.Z. Korkut, and I. Baser 2011. Heterotic and heterobeltiotic potentials of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) hybrids for yield and yield components. J. Tekirdag Agricultural Faculty., 8(2): 133-142.
- [3]. Briggie, L.W., F.L. Cox, R.N. Hayes. 1967. Performance of spring wheat hybrids F2, F3 and parent varieties at 5 population levels. Crop Sci., 7: 6465-6470.
- [4]. Chowdhary, M.A, Parveen N, Khaliq I, Khalif M. 2005. Estimation of heterosis for yield and yield components in bread wheat. J. Agri. Social Sci., 1(4): 304-308.
- [5]. Cifci, A.E. 2012. Estimate of heterosis, correlation and Path analysis for grain yield per spike and some agronomic traits on durum wheat (*Triticum durum* DESF). The journal of Animal & Plant Sciences., 22(3): 747-752.
- [6]. Cifci, A.E., Yogdi. 2007. Determination of some agronomic traits by diallel hybrid analysis in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Ankara University Faculty of Agriculture, J. Agricul. Sci., 3(4): 354-364.
- [7]. Englendow, M.A. and B.P. Pal. 1934. Investigation on yield in cereals VIII. Hybrid vigour in wheat. J. Agric.sci., 24: 390-409.
- [8]. Freeman, G.F. 1919. Heredity of quantitative characters in wheat. Genetics. 4: Tokyo., 18: 167-175.
- [9]. Fukasawa,, H. 1953. Studies on restoration and substitution of nucleus of Aegilotriticum. I. Appearance of male sterile durum in substitution and crosses. Cytologia, Tokyo., 18: 167-175
- [10]. Gandhi, S.X.M, T. Uma menon, P.D. Bhargava, and M.P. Bhatnagar. 1961. Studies on hybrid vigour in wheat. Indian J. Of Genet., 21: 1-10.
- [11]. Gyawali, K.K., C.Q. Qualset, W.T. Yamazaki. 1968. Estimates of heterosis and combining ability in winter wheat. Crop Sci., 8 : 322-324.
- [12]. Ilker E., F.A. Tonk, M. Tosun. 2010. Heterosis for yield and its components in bread wheat crosses among powdery mildew resistant and susceptible genotypes. Pakistan J. Bot., 42(1): 513-522.
- [13]. Inamullah, H.A, F. Mohammadi, S.U. Din, G. Hassan, and R. Gul. 2006. Evaluation of the heterotic and heterobeltiotic potential of wheat genotypes for improved yield. Pakistan J. Bot., 38(4): 1159-1167.
- [14]. Jan M., I. Khalil, and Razuiddin. 2005. Estimates of heterosis and heterosis for morphological traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Pakistan J. Biol. Sci., 8(9): 1261-1266.
- [15]. Kihara, H. 1951. Substitution of nucleus and its effects on genome manifestation. Cytologia, Tokyo., 16: 177-193.
- [16]. Kumar Ashutosh., V.K. Mishra, R.P. Vyas, and V. Singh. 2011. Heterosis and combining ability analysis in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop science., 3(1): 209-217.
- [17]. Mahmood, N., M.A. Chowdhry. 2000. Inheritance of flag leaf in bread wheat genotypes. Wheat Information Service., 90: 7-12.
- [18]. Miri, R.K. 1966. Hybrid wheat problems and prospects. Fifth All India wheat Research Workers conference at Jaipur held from August 17 to 21, ICAR (Mimiographed).
- [19]. Moise, A., G. Nedeba, and V. Sonea. 1984. Heterosis in components of wheat yield. Agronomic., 19: 73-80.
- [20]. Patwary, A.K., M.U. Ghani, and M.M. Rahman. 1986. Heterosis in wheat. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 56: 382-384.
- [21]. Porter, KB., K.A. Lahr, and I.M. Atkins. 1965. Cross pollination of male sterile winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) having *Aegilops scandata* L. and *Aegilops ovata* L. cytoplasm. Crop Sci., 5: 161-163.
- [22]. Prasad, K.D., M.F. Haque and D.K. Ganguli. 1998. Heterosis studies for yield and its components in bread wheat. Indian J. Genet., 58: 97-100.
- [23]. Sadeque, Z., A. Bhowmik, and M.S. Ali. 1991. Estimates of heterosis in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Annals of Bangladesh Agriculture., 1: 75-79.
- [24]. Sharm, S.K., I. Singh, and K.P. Singh. 1986. Heterosis and combining ability in wheat. Crop Improvement., 13: 101-103.
- [25]. Schmid, J.W., V.A. Johnson, and S.S. Mann. 1962. Hybrid wheat. Nebr. Exp. Sta. Qtrly., 9:9.
- [26]. Sharma S.K., K.P. Singh, and I. Singh. 1991. Selection responses for grain weight in some intermated population of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Proc. Golden Jubilee Symp. Indian Soc. Genet. Plant Breed. New Delhi, Abstr. 11: 357.

- [28]. Singh, H., S.N. Sharma, and R.S. Sain. 2004. Heterosis studies for yield and its components in bread wheat over environments. *Hereditas*. 141: 106-114.
- [29]. Singh, K.B., and Kandola. 1969. Heterosis in wheat. *Indian J. Genet.* 29: 53-61.
- [30]. Singh, S., R.B. Singh. 1978. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in six wheat crosses. *Indian J. Genet.* 38: 168-172.
- [31]. Wilson, J.A., and W.M. Rose. 1961. Cross breeding in wheat. *Triticum aestivum*. I. Frequency of the pollen restoring character in hybrid wheat having *Aegilops ovata* cytoplasm. *Crop Sci.*, 1: 191-193.
- [32]. Yadav, S.P., and B.R. Murty. 1976. Heterosis and combining ability in crosses of different categories in bread wheat. *Indian J. Genet.* 36: 184-196.
- [33]. Yagdi, K., S. Karau. 2000. Hybrid vigour in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Turk J. Agric. For.*, 24: 231-236.

Debashis Saren. " Heterosis studies in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)." *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)* 11.9 (2018): 80-84.