IOSR Journal Of Applied Physics (IOSR-JAP)
e-ISSN: 2278-4861.Volume 18, Issue 4 Ser. 1 (July – August 2026), PP 19-23
www.Iosrjournals.Org

Mass As Medium: A Unified Interpretive Framework For Energy Propagation Across Classical, Quantum, And Relativistic Physics

Lyall Bakshinder Singh, MDS
Independent Researcher
Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, H.P., India

Abstract
Einstein's mass–energy equivalence established that mass and energy are manifestations of the same physical reality. Within modern quantum field theory (QFT), mass is understood not as an intrinsic substance but as an emergent consequence of energy interacting with fields — most notably the Higgs field and gluon binding within quantum chromodynamics (QCD). This article develops a unified interpretive framework in which mass is conceptualized as the energy content of a supporting medium, whether material, field-theoretic, or vacuum-based. Mechanical waves, quantum matter waves, electromagnetic radiation, and gravitational interactions are examined within this perspective. A generalized energy decomposition is presented that extends the mass–energy relation to distributed and field-based systems without introducing new dynamics or modifying established theory. The framework offers both pedagogical clarity and conceptual unification across the domains of classical mechanics, quantum mechanics, and general relativity.

Keywords: *mass–energy equivalence; Higgs mechanism; quantum field theory; emergent mass; energy propagation; medium; unified framework; foundations of physics*

Date of Submission: 14-07-2026 Date of Acceptance: 24-07-2026

I. Introduction

Einstein's celebrated relation

$$E = mc^2 \tag{1}$$

is often introduced as a statement of the interchangeability of mass and energy [1]. Yet within the modern understanding of particle physics and field theory, this equivalence runs deeper: mass is not an intrinsic or primitive property of matter but an emergent phenomenon arising from the interaction of energy with quantum fields [2–4].

In the Standard Model of particle physics, elementary particles acquire mass through their coupling to the Higgs field [5]. More significantly, the majority of observable mass in the universe — in protons, neutrons, and atoms — originates not from Higgs interactions but from the field energy and binding energy within quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [6]. In this sense, mass already encodes energy structured and localized by a medium.

This observation motivates a broader interpretive reframing: across all domains of physics, energy propagation is consistently associated with a supporting structure. Mechanical waves require a material medium [7]; quantum particles are described by spatially distributed wavefunctions [8,9]; electromagnetic radiation propagates through the quantum electromagnetic field [10]; gravitational effects are encoded in the geometry and energy–momentum content of spacetime [11]. In each case, what we call "mass" can be understood as the intrinsic energy associated with that supporting structure.

The present article develops this perspective into a unified interpretive framework. We examine the major domains of physics in turn, present a generalized energy decomposition that is consistent with established theory, and discuss implications for pedagogy and the philosophy of science. No new dynamics are proposed; the goal is conceptual coherence.

II. Theoretical Background: Mass As An Emergent Property

The Higgs Mechanism

In the Standard Model, the Higgs field acquires a nonzero vacuum expectation value that spontaneously breaks electroweak symmetry. Fermion masses arise from Yukawa couplings of the form

L_Yukawa "*f* " y_f <*f* H <È (2)

where y_f is the Yukawa coupling constant, <È is the fermion field, and H is the Higgs doublet [5,12]. After symmetry breaking, this term yields a mass m_f = y_f v / " 2, where v "H 246 GeV is the Higgs vacuum expectation value. Crucially, mass here is not a primary attribute of the fermion but a consequence of its interaction with the field.

Binding Energy and QCD

The proton, with mass approximately 938 MeV/c^2, is composed of quarks whose Higgs-derived masses account for only a few percent of this total. The dominant contribution comes from the kinetic energy of quarks and the energy stored in gluon fields within QCD [6]. This is precisely the mass–energy equivalence of Equation (1) applied at the hadronic scale: the mass of a composite system registers the total energy content structured within it by field interactions.

The general principle — mass as localized, structured energy — thus applies not only at the elementary particle level but across scales of physical organization.

Conceptual Restatement

Building on the above, we propose the following interpretive principle: mass may be understood as the intrinsic energy associated with the medium or field configuration that supports physical excitations. This is a conceptual extension, not a modification of any dynamical law. It invites a unified reading of the mass–energy relation across classical, quantum, and relativistic physics, as explored in the sections that follow.

III. Mechanical Waves

In classical mechanics, a wave propagates by transferring energy through the collective motion and elastic deformation of a medium. The energy of a harmonic oscillator of effective mass m_eff moving at velocity v is

$$E = \tfrac{1}{2}\, m\_eff\, v^2 \qquad (3)$$

In a continuous medium, the energy density associated with a mechanical wave takes the form [7]

u = ½ < k" ² Ò ² , s¾)² (4)

where <Á is the mass density of the medium, v the particle velocity field, K the elastic modulus, and ;¾ the displacement field. The two terms represent kinetic and potential (elastic) energy densities, respectively.

Within the present framework, the mass density <Á is identified with the intrinsic energy content of the medium per unit volume, scaled by c {²: <Á = u_medium / c². The energy of the wave then decomposes naturally into the energy of the supporting medium and the dynamic energy of the excitation propagating through it. This is the most direct realization of the "mass as medium" principle: the energy carrier is literally the massive medium.

IV. Matter Waves And Quantum Mechanics

The wave nature of matter, introduced by de Broglie [8] and formalized in wave mechanics, associates each particle with a spatially distributed wavefunction <,‡r, t) governed by the Schrödinger equation

i! " <,ò 'B Ò $ <È (5)

with Hamiltonian

B Ò !", û"ó&Ò' {" ² V(r) (6)

The total relativistic energy of such a system may be expressed as

E_total = mc² + 'è<‡Á$|<,~é (7)

where mc^2 is the rest-mass energy and 'è<‡Á$|<,~é is the expectation value of the kinetic and potential energy [9].

Within the present framework, the quantum wavefunction — or, more precisely, the quantum field of which it is a single-particle approximation — constitutes the medium through which matter-wave energy is distributed. The rest-mass term mc^2 represents the intrinsic energy of this field configuration; the Hamiltonian term governs the dynamics of excitations within it. This reading is consistent with the ontological interpretation of quantum mechanics developed by Bohm [9], in which the wavefunction is treated as a physically real guiding field, but is not limited to that interpretation.

Electromagnetic Radiation

Maxwell's theory assigns a well-defined energy density to the electromagnetic field, independent of any material carrier [10]:

u_EM = ½ (;R [" ² +"ó¼ €) (8)

Photons are the quantized excitations of this field. They carry energy $E = hf$ and momentum $p = E/c$, satisfying the relativistic energy–momentum relation

$$E^2 = (mc^2)^2 + (pc)^2 \tag{9}$$

with $m = 0$, so that $E = pc$ [10,12].

Although photons are massless, they propagate through the quantum electromagnetic field — a medium with definite energy content, described by its vacuum fluctuations and quantized excitation spectrum. In this sense, the field itself plays the role of the medium, and the photon's energy is carried entirely by the dynamic and momentum contributions, with no intrinsic (rest-mass) component. This provides a natural limiting case of the general framework: as the intrinsic energy of the medium (rest mass) tends to zero, the energy is carried entirely by field and momentum terms.

V. Gravitation And Spacetime Structure

General Relativity describes gravitational phenomena through the relationship between the curvature of spacetime and its energy–momentum content, encoded in the Einstein field equations [11]:

G_;Ã½ = (8< r ò 2 B' T_;Ã½ (10)

Here, G_;Ã½ is the Einstein tensor encoding spacetime curvature, and T_;Ã½ is the stress–energy tensor encoding the distribution of energy and momentum. Spacetime geometry is thus dynamically coupled to energy content: the "medium" of gravitational interaction is spacetime itself.

Quantum field theory further predicts that the vacuum is not empty but possesses a nonzero energy density arising from zero-point fluctuations [4,13]. This vacuum energy has observable consequences, including the Casimir effect [14] and, controversially, the cosmological constant [13]. If spacetime curvature responds to vacuum energy, then the vacuum constitutes a physically real medium with intrinsic energy content — consistent with the present framework.

Excitations of the gravitational field, whether classical gravitational waves or hypothetical gravitons, carry energy analogously to photons, with the quantum vacuum playing the role of the medium.

E = ! <É (11)

VI. Generalized Energy Decomposition

The cases examined above share a common structure: energy in any physical system can be decomposed into contributions from an intrinsic (medium) component and dynamic contributions from the excitation propagating within it. This motivates the following generalized decomposition:

$$E_{total} = m_{medium} c^2 + E_{kin} + E_{field} + E_{mom} \tag{12}$$

- $m_{medium} c^2$ — intrinsic energy of the supporting medium or field configuration (rest-mass energy in particle physics; elastic/inertial energy density in continuum mechanics; vacuum energy density in QFT);

- E_kin — kinetic energy of the medium or excitation;
- E_field — energy stored in associated fields (electromagnetic, quantum probability amplitude, spacetime curvature);
- E_mom — momentum-associated energy contribution, relevant when rest mass vanishes (photons, hypothetical gravitons).

Equation (12) is a conceptual bookkeeping identity rather than a new dynamical law. It reduces to Einstein's $E = mc^2$ for a particle at rest, to the full relativistic energy–momentum relation for free particles, and to the wave energy densities of continuum mechanics. Its utility lies in providing a unified vocabulary for energy accounting across physical domains.

Table 1. Correspondence between physical systems and the terms of Equation (12).

System	m_medium c²	E_kin	E_field	E_mom
Mechanical wave	Mass density of medium	Kinetic energy of oscillating medium	Elastic potential energy	—
Quantum matter wave	Particle rest mass	'èT0"~é	'èV'é, quantum field energy	—
Photon (massless)	0	—	EM field energy density	pc
Gravitational wave	Vacuum energy density	—	Spacetime curvature energy	! <’öc

'èT0"~’ Ò ¶–æWF–2 VæWrgy expectation value; 'èV'é = potential energy expectation value.

VII. Discussion

Pedagogical and Conceptual Value

One persistent difficulty in teaching modern physics is the apparent discontinuity between classical and quantum descriptions of energy. Mechanical energy requires a tangible medium; photons travel "through vacuum"; particles have both wave and particle character. The present framework addresses these tensions by providing a unified conceptual vocabulary: in every case, energy propagation involves a medium — material, field-theoretic, or vacuum-based — and what we call "mass" is the intrinsic energy of that medium.

Analogies familiar from classical physics — energy stored in a compressed spring, or carried by water waves — can be extended naturally to the quantum and relativistic domains, where the "spring" becomes a quantum field and the "water" becomes the vacuum. This has direct value for physics pedagogy [15].

Philosophical Implications

The interpretive principle advanced here is consistent with the broader movement in philosophy of science toward ontic structural realism — the view that what is physically real are not substances or intrinsic properties but relational structures and their dynamical laws [16]. Mass, on this view, is not a primitive property of particles but a relational property arising from the interaction of energy with field structure. The present framework makes this relational character explicit and extends it across all domains of physics.

This perspective also aligns with the view, articulated by Wilczek [6], that the origin of mass is largely a question about the structure of quantum fields and their vacuum states, not about any fundamental "massiness" of matter.

Scope and Limitations

Several important qualifications must be noted. First, the framework is interpretive and does not propose new mathematical structures or make novel empirical predictions. It is a conceptual synthesis, intended to promote coherence in how energy and mass are understood across domains. Second, the treatment of gravitational energy in general relativity involves well-known subtleties: the stress–energy tensor T_;Ã½ does not in general admit a unique local energy density for the gravitational field itself [11]. Third, the status of vacuum energy and its gravitational effects remains one of the outstanding unsolved problems in theoretical physics [13]. These issues are noted but not resolved here.

Future work could explore whether the framework generates new pedagogical tools for quantum field theory education, and whether it offers useful organizing principles in the context of quantum gravity research.

VIII. Conclusion

We have proposed a unified interpretive framework in which mass is understood as the intrinsic energy associated with the medium — whether material, field-theoretic, or vacuum-based — that supports physical excitations. This perspective:

- provides conceptual continuity across mechanical, quantum, and relativistic physics without modifying any established dynamical law;

- makes explicit the emergent character of mass as recognized in modern quantum field theory, including the Higgs mechanism and QCD binding energy;
- offers a unified vocabulary for energy decomposition applicable across all domains, formalized in Equation (12);
- has implications for physics pedagogy and aligns with contemporary perspectives in the philosophy of science.

The framework invites further exploration of energy propagation through physical fields and their vacuum structures, and may serve as a bridge between domain-specific formulations in classical mechanics, quantum mechanics, and general relativity.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks colleagues for discussions on the foundations of quantum field theory and the philosophy of physics.

References

[1]. Einstein, A. (1905). Zur Elektrodynamik Bewegter Körper. Annalen Der Physik, 17, 891–921.
[2]. Einstein, A. (1905). Ist Die Trägheit Eines Körpers Von Seinem Energieinhalt Abhängig? Annalen Der Physik, 18, 639–641.
[3]. Peskin, M. E., & Schroeder, D. V. (1995). An Introduction To Quantum Field Theory. Westview Press.
[4]. Weinberg, S. (1989). The Cosmological Constant Problem. Reviews Of Modern Physics, 61, 1–23.
[5]. Higgs, P. W. (1964). Broken Symmetries And The Masses Of Gauge Bosons. Physical Review Letters, 13, 508–509.
[6]. Wilczek, F. (2003). Origins Of Mass. MIT Physics Annual, 24–35.
[7]. Landau, L. D., & Lifshitz, E. M. (1986). Theory Of Elasticity (3rd Ed.). Pergamon Press.
[8]. De Broglie, L. (1925). Recherches Sur La Théorie Des Quanta. Annales De Physique, 10, 22–128.
[9]. Bohm, D. (1952). A Suggested Interpretation Of The Quantum Theory In Terms Of "Hidden" Variables. Physical Review, 85, 166–193.
[10]. Jackson, J. D. (1999). Classical Electrodynamics (3rd Ed.). Wiley.
[11]. Einstein, A. (1916). The Foundation Of The General Theory Of Relativity. Annalen Der Physik, 49, 769–822.
[12]. Griffiths, D. J. (2008). Introduction To Elementary Particles (2nd Ed.). Wiley-VCH.
[13]. Carroll, S. M. (2001). The Cosmological Constant. Living Reviews In Relativity, 4, 1.
[14]. Casimir, H. B. G. (1948). On The Attraction Between Two Perfectly Conducting Plates. Proceedings Of The Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie Van Wetenschappen, 51, 793–795.
[15]. Hobson, A. (2013). There Are No Particles, There Are Only Fields. American Journal Of Physics, 81, 211–223.
[16]. Ladyman, J., & Ross, D. (2007). Every Thing Must Go: Metaphysics Naturalized. Oxford University Press.